



Divergence Studies for Yield and Quality Traits in Foxtail Millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

M. Saikumar^{a++}, T. Haritha^{b##}, C. V. C. M. Reddy^{ct} and Balaji Nayak^{dt}

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, ANGRAU, Agricultural College, Bapatla-522101, Andhra Pradesh, India.

^b ANGRAU, Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla – 522101, Andhra Pradesh, India.

^c Agricultural Research Station, ANGRAU, Anantapuram – 515 701, Andhra Pradesh, India.

^d ANGRAU, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Undi, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i121466

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93540>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/09/2022

Accepted: 17/11/2022

Published: 26/11/2022

ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted using 64 genotypes of foxtail millet to assess the genetic divergence for 24 metric traits in *Kharif* 2020. The ANOVA revealed existence of highly significant variation for all the traits examined. Principal component analysis identified nine principal components with eigen value more than one and 76.59% of cumulative variance. The 2D and 3D plots indicated that genotypes SiA 60, SiA 3570, SiA 3914, SiA 3222, SiA 3629, SiA 4089, SiA 3561 and SiA 2681 were divergent for yield and quality traits. Ward's minimum variance method were grouped 64 genotypes into five clusters. The inter cluster distance between cluster I and

⁺⁺Research Scholar

[#]Scientist (Plant Breeding)

[†]Senior Scientist (Plant Breeding and Genetics)

[‡]Subject Matter Specialist (Crop Production)

*Corresponding author: E-mail: t.haritha@angrau.ac.in;

cluster III (34.00) was maximum followed by cluster III and cluster V (31.13), therefore these genotypes from these clusters can be suggested for future crop improvement programmes.

Keywords: Foxtail millet; genetic divergence; principal component analysis; wards' minimum variance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Millet is a common term used to categorize small-seeded cereals, often termed as coarse cereals or Nutri-cereals that serve as the staple diet of people inhabiting the arid and semi-arid tropics of the world. The word millet is derived from the French word "mille" meaning thousand, implying that a handful of millet contains thousands of grains [1]. "Foxtail millet is the second-most widely cultivated species of millet after finger millet and the most important crop in East Asia" [2]. "It is an annual, self-pollinated, diploid ($2n = 2x = 18$), C4 monocot belonging to the family, Poaceae with a small genome size of ~ 515 Mb [3] that offers ample scope for molecular and genetic research as a model crop to understand deeper insights into plant biology". It is also known as Chinese millet, Giant Setaria, German millet, Hungarian millet, Italian millet and Rala millet. Millets are grown for grain, which is used for human consumption and also as animal, poultry, cage birds feed and its straw is used as fodder. Millets are rich in vitamins, minerals, Sulphur-containing amino acids and phytochemicals, and hence are termed as Nutri-cereals. The foxtail millet grain is (per 100g) rich in protein (10.56 g) and iron (3.02 mg) as compared to rice (7.9 g protein and 1.8 mg Fe), Zn (2.46 mg), Mn (1.54 mg), Ca (23.94 mg) and Mg (77.65 mg) [4]. "Millets are nutritionally comparable or even superior to staple cereals such as rice and wheat" [5].

"The success of any plant breeding programme largely depends on the existence of diversity among the genotypes" [6]. "In order to identify diverse genetic resources, precise information on the nature and degree of genetic diversity is required which in turn helps the plant breeders in selecting the parents for targeted hybridization [7]. Thus, genetic divergence studies enable us to assess the extent of genetic diversity prevalent in the genetic resources utilized for study and characterization of the genotypes can provide pivotal information for crop breeding and management of genetic resources. Clustering these genotypes is useful to identify genotypes with diverse traits, which can be useful in breeding programmes" [8].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sixty four germplasm collections of foxtail millet obtained from Nandyal, AICRP-Bangalore, ICRISAT were evaluated in 8x8 Square lattice design with three checks viz., Srilakshmi, Lepakshi and Krishnadevaraya during *Kharif*, 2020-21 at Agricultural college farm, Bapatla. Each genotype was raised in three rows of four meter length with a spacing of 22.5 x 10 cm between and within the rows, respectively. Standard agronomic practices and recommended fertilizer doses were applied for normal crop growth. Data recorded from the total 24 traits including five yield component characters and eight grain quality parameters. Single plant observations were recorded on five plants selected at random per genotype per replication and their means were used for the analysis. However, observations on, days to 50% flowering and days to maturity were recorded on plot basis. To group the 64 genotypes along with three checks into various clusters, agglomerative hierarchical cluster analysis was followed. Principal component scores for genotypes were used as an input for clustering using Ward's minimum variance method. The tree like structure called dendrogram was constructed based on Euclidean² distance computed from PCA scores of genotypes. Genetic divergence analysis was done by hierarchical cluster analysis for 64 foxtail millet genotypes and these genotypes were grouped into five clusters using the Ward's minimum variance [9].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for 24 metric traits in 64 foxtail millet genetic resources (Table 1) revealed existence of ample genetic variation in the material, an important pre-requisite that paved way for further diversity analysis. The results revealed that nine canonical roots accounted for 76.594 per cent of total divergence. PC₁ contributed maximum towards divergence (18.056 %) with eigen value of 4.334. The second, third and fourth canonical vectors contributed 12.019%, 9.374% and 8.591% to total divergence, respectively. The remaining canonical roots viz., fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth

and ninth accounts for 7.270 %, 6.531 %, 5.410 %, 5.003 % and 4.340 % respectively to total variability. The canonical root values, per cent of variation and cumulative variation elucidated for 64 foxtail millet genetic resources were presented in Table 2.

The PCA scores for foxtail millet genotypes in the first three principal components were computed. Principal components I, II and III were considered as three axes as X, Y and Z and squared distance of each genotype from these three axes were calculated and presented in Table 3. The analysis identified the maximum contributing variables *i.e.*, panicle length followed by number of productive tillers per plant, zinc content, protein content, harvest index, grain yield per plant, plant height, SCMR at maturity,

phosphorus content and antioxidant activity in PC₁. It is important to study the variance as the relative contribution than the signs (indicative of direction) in principal component analysis. Similarly, [10], classified 1312 foxtail millet germplasm into five principal components; [11] evaluated principal component analysis in foxtail millet germplasm and they exhibited seven principal components.

Two dimensional (2D) and three dimensional (3D) illustrations (Figs. 1 and 2) were constructed by plotting the mean values of vectors. The 2D and 3D plots indicated that genotypes, SiA 3222, SiA 60, SiA 3570, SiA 3914, SiA 3629, SiA 4089 and SiA 2681, were divergent for yield and quality traits. Hence, hybridization between these diverse genotypes can be suggested.




Fig. 1. Three dimensional (3D) graph showing relative positions based on PCA scores in 64 genotypes of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)




Fig. 2. Two dimensional (2D) graph based on PCA scores showing relative positions of 64 genotypes in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

Hierarchical cluster analysis (using Ward's method) for the 64 foxtail millet genotypes resulted in five clusters and the clusters are formed using Euclidean² distances (Table 4 and Fig 3). Among all the clusters, cluster I was the largest containing 29 genotypes followed by cluster II containing ten genotypes, cluster IV with nine genotypes, cluster V with nine genotypes and cluster III with seven genotypes. The estimate of intra and inter cluster distance (Table 5) revealed that the Intra cluster Euclidean² distance values are ranged from 15.09 for cluster II to 19.80 for cluster IV. The

inter cluster Euclidean² distances varied from 17.02 (between cluster I and cluster V) to 34.00 (between cluster I and III).

Cluster means were computed for the 24 characters studied by Ward's minimum variance method are presented in Table 6. Cluster I registered high mean values for plant height (135.24 cm) followed by weight of five panicles (46.67 g), SCMR at maturity (21.10), flag leaf blade width (1.97 cm), copper content (1.92 mg/100 g) and phosphorus content (0.33 g/100 g). Cluster II reported high mean values for days

to 50% flowering (51.60), panicle length (23.12 cm), number of productive tillers per plant (3.89), test weight (2.76 g), panicle exertion (16.73 cm), peduncle length (33.02 cm), iron content (4.04 mg/100 g), calcium content (25.96 mg/100 g) and grain yield per plant (15.82 g). Cluster III consist highest mean values for days to maturity (85.14) and flag leaf blade length (33.17 cm). Cluster IV reported highest mean values for panicle width

(1.48 cm) and antioxidant activity (55.55 mg AAE/100 g). It also registered desired lowest mean values for days to 50 % flowering (50.14) and days to maturity (82.84). Cluster V registered highest mean values for harvest index (44.16%), SCMR at 45 days (52.12), zinc content (3.46 mg/100 g), manganese content (3.31 mg/100 g) and protein content (14.10 %).




Fig. 3. Dendrogram showing relationship in five clusters based on Euclidian² distance in 64 genotypes of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

Table 1. Analysis of variance for 24 morpho-physiological and biochemical characters studied in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

S. No.	Source of variation	d.f.	DFF	PH (cm)	PL (cm)	PW (cm)	NPT	DM	TW (g)	WP (g)	H.I (%)	SCMR at 45 Days	SCMR at maturity	FLL (cm)
Mean sum of squares														
1	Replications	1	4.206	0.600	3.686	0.000	0.030	-0.104	0.001	1.472	3.930	0.635	1.238	2.702
2	Treatments (unadjusted)	63	19.454**	341.000**	34.873**	0.150**	1.362*	34.211*	0.208**	72.106**	94.376**	63.691**	34.514**	33.049**
3	Blocks within Replicated (adj)	14	6.232	111.100	7.149	0.012	0.151	32.183	0.036	32.805	4.148	5.397	2.871	18.429
4	Intrablock Error	49	5.028	149.98	6.965	0.008	0.245	15.206	0.013	25.850	2.573	5.586	2.467	14.714
5	Total	127	12.311	239.275	20.804	0.079	0.787	26.384	0.112	49.370	48.298	34.350	18.399	24.124

* Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively

Table 1. Continued.....

S. No.	Source of variation	d.f.	FLW (cm)	PE (cm)	PDL (cm)	Zn (mg/100g)	Cu (mg/100g)	Fe (mg/100g)	Mn (mg/100g)	Protein (%)	Ca (mg/100g)	P (g/100g)	AOA (% DPPH Activity)	GYPP (g)
Mean sum of squares														
1	Replications	1	0.048	1.501	5.857	0.307	0.030	0.027	0.014	0.002	0.226	0.000	0.055	0.775
2	Treatments (unadjusted)	63	0.188**	18.745**	45.042**	0.548**	0.298**	0.774**	0.632**	7.578**	23.788**	0.006**	72.193**	21.355**
3	Blocks within Replicated (adj)	14	0.012	0.217	7.313	0.049	0.014	0.041	0.031	0.686	1.133	0.000	7.415	4.953
4	Intrablock Error	49	0.010	0.652	3.959	0.040	0.013	0.070	0.0260	0.439	1.651	0.000	8.056	5.682
5	Total	127	0.099	9.586	24.723	0.295	0.155	0.416	0.327	4.004	12.564	0.003	39.738	13.338

* Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively

DFF- Days to 50 percent flowering, PH- Plant Height, PL- Panicle Length, PW- Panicle Width, , NPT- Number of Productive Tillers per plant , DM- Days to Maturity, TW-Test Weight, WP- Weight of five Panicles, H.I- Harvest Index, FLL- Flag Leaf blade Length, FLW- Flag Leaf blade Width, PE- Panicle Exertion, PDL- Peduncle length, Zn- Zinc content, Cu- Copper content, Fe- Iron content, Mn- Manganese content, Ca- Calcium content, AOA- Antioxidant activity, GYPP- Grain Yield Per Plant.

Table 2. Canonical vectors for 24 characters in 64 genotypes of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

S. No.	Parameter	PC ₁	PC ₂	PC ₃	PC ₄	PC ₅	PC ₆	PC ₇	PC ₈	PC ₉
1	Eigenvalue (Root)	4.334	2.884	2.250	2.062	1.745	1.567	1.299	1.201	1.042
2	Variability (%)	18.056	12.019	9.374	8.591	7.270	6.531	5.410	5.003	4.340
3	Cumulative variability %	18.056	30.075	39.449	48.040	55.310	61.841	67.252	72.255	76.594
S. No.	Character	PC ₁	PC ₂	PC ₃	PC ₄	PC ₅	PC ₆	PC ₇	PC ₈	PC ₉
1	Days to 50% flowering	0.271	0.125	0.125	0.115	0.042	0.222	0.348	0.077	0.172
2	Plant height (cm)	0.147	0.124	0.220	-0.068	0.049	0.352	-0.338	0.053	-0.414
3	Panicle length (cm)	0.353	0.122	0.155	-0.194	-0.032	-0.276	0.123	-0.002	-0.004
4	Panicle width (cm)	-0.029	-0.144	0.437	0.091	-0.270	-0.042	-0.166	0.311	-0.089
5	Number of productive tillers per plant	0.278	0.353	-0.055	0.077	-0.001	0.017	0.068	-0.073	-0.312
6	Days to maturity	0.297	0.185	0.181	0.085	0.099	0.106	0.255	0.124	-0.088
7	Test weight (g)	-0.134	0.075	-0.086	0.271	-0.384	0.395	0.205	0.007	0.058
8	Weight of five panicles (g)	-0.067	0.125	0.364	-0.113	-0.260	0.179	-0.029	0.394	0.090
9	Harvest index (%)	0.231	-0.196	-0.173	-0.094	-0.218	0.135	-0.104	0.324	0.397
10	SCMR at 45 days	-0.150	0.051	0.330	0.019	0.427	0.145	-0.117	0.101	0.227
11	SCMR at maturity	0.133	0.242	-0.331	0.056	0.003	0.192	-0.139	0.150	0.217
12	Flag leaf blade length (cm)	0.283	0.021	0.038	-0.127	-0.162	-0.191	0.287	-0.060	0.189
13	Flag leaf blade width (cm)	0.303	-0.216	0.071	0.177	-0.007	0.199	0.030	-0.213	0.108
14	Panicle exertion (cm)	-0.093	0.224	0.211	-0.242	-0.202	-0.049	-0.315	-0.324	0.241
15	Peduncle length (cm)	0.072	0.312	-0.147	-0.181	-0.326	0.129	-0.225	-0.316	0.130
16	Zinc content (mg/100g)	0.270	-0.258	0.076	0.094	-0.085	-0.066	-0.266	-0.180	-0.154
17	Copper content (mg/100g)	-0.081	-0.018	0.190	0.407	-0.340	-0.349	0.001	-0.079	0.040
18	Iron content (mg/100g)	-0.159	0.318	-0.110	-0.162	0.116	-0.162	-0.091	0.288	0.173
19	Manganese content (mg/100g)	0.290	-0.100	-0.054	0.113	-0.046	-0.286	-0.292	0.187	0.155
20	Protein content (%)	0.258	-0.272	-0.120	-0.076	0.247	0.045	-0.199	0.113	0.087
21	Calcium content (mg/100g)	-0.122	0.195	-0.090	0.454	0.094	-0.239	0.005	0.139	0.082
22	Phosphorus content (g/100g)	0.079	0.139	-0.201	0.441	0.003	0.104	-0.338	0.064	-0.090
23	Antioxidant activity (% DPPH Activity)	0.022	0.034	-0.276	-0.241	-0.236	-0.169	0.049	0.366	-0.437
24	Grain yield per plant (g)	0.192	0.387	0.167	0.084	0.175	-0.211	-0.107	-0.023	0.060

Table 3. Mean values of canonical vectors for 64 genotypes of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

S. No.	Genotype	PCA I	PCA II	PCA III
		X Vector	Y Vector	Z Vector
1	SiA 60	52.676	19.569	7.882
2	SiA 78	50.069	15.929	12.490
3	SiA 92	47.948	11.779	10.097
4	SiA 3613	40.713	14.465	9.221
5	SiA 326	45.214	13.662	18.764
6	SiA 723	47.675	18.124	5.946
7	SiA 3563	45.898	12.073	7.607
8	SiA 1280	46.813	20.726	-1.136
9	SiA 1331	45.307	14.384	9.673
10	SiA 3639	45.856	12.348	11.225
11	SiA 3569	41.608	17.655	8.215
12	SiA 3553	47.021	17.461	5.298
13	SiA 2681	42.505	10.466	7.694
14	SiA 2851	45.162	12.211	15.370
15	SiA 3085	50.022	17.460	5.785
16	SiA 3088	42.071	20.480	6.616
17	SiA 3640	36.182	15.160	10.771
18	SiA 3159	41.596	19.197	12.209
19	SiA 3549	42.567	14.406	9.977
20	SiA 3552	42.942	17.394	9.881
21	SiA 3577	46.759	14.944	6.388
22	SiA 3326	46.251	12.762	4.992
23	SiA 3330	44.873	12.865	7.388
24	SiA 3353	43.043	17.254	17.075
25	SiA 3556	38.284	18.728	12.277
26	SiA 3390	43.748	13.971	7.596
27	SiA 3396	42.164	15.916	6.320
28	SiA 3561	40.960	23.488	13.709
29	SiA 3597	42.612	13.735	11.715
30	SiA 3422	40.136	17.120	10.370
31	SiA 3625	44.177	18.532	6.666
32	SiA 3570	57.055	12.272	7.604
33	SiA 3630	57.152	12.263	7.502
34	SiA 3588	42.923	17.592	9.766
35	SiA 3629	40.252	27.558	13.584
36	SiA 3669	48.986	18.458	7.853
37	SiA 3619	40.713	19.345	8.189
38	SiA 3566	45.951	10.474	11.280
39	SiA 3770	36.801	21.392	15.154
40	SiA 3855	43.115	15.636	8.913
41	SiA 3872	46.160	10.646	9.625
42	SiA 3222	28.227	16.718	4.955
43	SiA 3891	38.526	16.024	9.053
44	SiA 3642	50.247	13.626	10.397
45	SiA 3914	50.797	8.868	7.528
46	SiA 3915	39.387	20.178	8.760
47	SiA 3928	47.525	19.156	4.135
48	SiA 3934	43.606	14.610	8.791
49	SiA 4032	39.736	20.261	11.973

S. No.	Genotype	PCA I	PCA II	PCA III
		X Vector	Y Vector	Z Vector
50	SiA 3554	40.440	17.658	11.612
51	SiA 3574	44.204	22.672	9.274
52	SiA 4089	44.851	7.076	15.434
53	SiA 3596	46.392	19.000	11.336
54	SiA 4134	41.714	17.668	6.766
55	SiA 4145	46.551	21.934	13.798
56	SiA 3284	42.707	13.739	13.411
57	SiA 3643	50.718	15.677	6.527
58	SiA 3562	43.462	14.962	12.984
59	SiA 3568	41.038	18.802	9.996
60	SiA 3912	40.534	18.861	10.012
61	SiA 4154	44.271	22.939	9.994
62	Lepakshi	48.140	17.719	8.557
63	Krishnadevaraya	47.902	24.419	11.952
64	Srilakshmi	45.763	23.720	9.025

Table 4. Clustering pattern estimated by ward's minimum variance method in 64 genotypes of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

Cluster. No	No. of genotypes	Name of genotype(s)	Source
I	29	SiA 60, SiA 78, SiA 326, SiA 2851, SiA 3088, SiA 3159, SiA 3552, SiA 3326, SiA 3330, SiA 3556, SiA 3390, SiA 3396, SiA 3561, SiA 3588, SiA 3619, SiA 3566, SiA 3770, SiA 3891, SiA 4032, SiA 3554, SiA 3596, SiA 4145, SiA 3284, SiA 3643, SiA 3562, SiA 3568, SiA 3912, Krishnadevaraya, Srilakshmi	Nandyal, AICRP-Bangalore, ICRISAT
II	10	SiA 92, SiA 1280, SiA 3085, SiA 3353, SiA 3625, SiA 3629, SiA 3669, SiA 3642, SiA 3574, SiA 4154	Nandyal, ICRISAT, AICRP-Bangalore
III	7	SiA 3613, SiA 3639, SiA 2681, SiA 3640, SiA 3597, SiA 3855, SiA 3915	AICRP-Bangalore, Nandyal
IV	9	SiA 723, SiA 1331, SiA 3569, SiA 3422, SiA 3222, SiA 3934, SiA 4089, SiA 4134, Lepakshi	Nandyal, AICRP-Bangalore, ICRISAT
V	9	SiA 3563, SiA 3553, SiA 3549, SiA 3577, SiA 3570, SiA 3630, SiA 3872, SiA 3914, SiA 3928	AICRP-Bangalore, ICRISAT

Table 5. Average intra and inter-cluster Euclidian² values among the five clusters in 64 genotypes of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

Cluster No.	I	II	III	IV	V
I	16.30	20.41	34.00	25.21	17.02
II		15.09	19.45	17.16	20.42
III			16.74	17.39	31.13
IV				19.80	24.64
V					18.76

Table 6. Cluster means of five clusters estimated by ward's minimum variance method in 64 genotypes of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.)

Character	Cluster number				
	I	II	III	IV	V
Days to 50% flowering	50.14	51.60	50.79	48.61	51.44
Plant height (cm)	135.24	117.62	103.13	112.45	129.85
Panicle length (cm)	21.09	23.12	19.24	19.46	22.49
Panicle width (cm)	1.43	1.35	1.30	1.48	1.30
Number of productive tillers per plant	3.84	3.89	3.15	3.68	3.50
Days to maturity	82.84	84.72	85.14	80.72	84.00
Test weight (g)	2.70	2.76	2.67	2.46	2.58
Weight of five panicles (g)	46.67	43.77	41.33	44.94	43.16
Harvest index (%)	29.39	33.57	32.00	32.21	44.16
SCMR at 45 days	47.79	43.03	46.01	44.56	52.12
SCMR at maturity	21.10	20.74	15.98	20.34	20.76
Flag leaf blade length (cm)	32.79	32.69	33.17	27.61	32.36
Flag leaf blade width (cm)	1.97	1.90	1.75	1.82	1.93
Panicle exertion (cm)	14.98	16.73	12.55	13.67	13.65
Peduncle length (cm)	29.15	33.02	24.40	25.93	27.37
Zinc content (mg/100g)	3.37	3.42	3.12	3.13	3.46
Copper content (mg/100g)	1.92	1.88	1.82	1.86	1.56
Iron content (mg/100g)	3.59	4.04	3.89	3.63	3.38
Manganese content (mg/100g)	2.84	3.05	2.80	2.91	3.31
Protein content (%)	13.63	12.97	13.47	13.13	14.10
Calcium content (mg/100g)	24.03	25.96	23.91	23.72	24.31
Phosphorus content (g/100g)	0.33	0.30	0.28	0.32	0.33
Antioxidant activity (mg AAE/100g)	48.83	44.10	44.80	55.55	48.74
Grain yield per plant(g)	14.19	15.82	11.97	14.49	13.96

4. CONCLUSION

The 2D and 3D plots indicated that genotypes, SiA 3222, SiA 60, SiA 3570, SiA 3914, SiA 3629, SiA 4089 and SiA 2681, were divergent for yield and quality traits. Hence, hybridization between these diverse genotypes can be suggested. But simultaneous selection for yield and quality traits may not be possible and balanced selection criteria should be followed depending on the objective.

Based on Hierarchical cluster analysis (using Ward's method) the inter cluster distance between cluster I and cluster III (34.00) was maximum followed by cluster III and cluster V (31.13), therefore hybridization between the genotypes of these two clusters can result in better hybrids.

The genotypes from the cluster II viz., SiA 92, SiA 1280, SiA 3085, SiA 3353, SiA 3625, SiA 3629, SiA 3669, SiA 3642, SiA 3574 and SiA 4154 can be used for yield improvement programmes as this cluster recorded high mean values for panicle length, number of productive tillers per plant, test weight and grain panicle

exertion which are yield contributing characters. Cluster I and cluster V reported high mean values for quality traits viz., copper content, phosphorus content, zinc content, manganese content and protein content.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author is highly thankful to Acharya N.G Ranga Agricultural University, LAM, Guntur for the financial assistance rendered in the form of stipend for supporting to conduct the present investigation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Taylor JRN, Emmambux MN. Gluten-free cereal products and beverages, gluten-free cereal products and beverages; 2008.
2. Reddy GV, Upadhyaya, HD, Gowda CLL. Characterization of world's foxtail millet

- germplasm collections for morphological traits. International Sorghum and Millets Newsletter. 2006;47:107-109.
3. Li P, Brutnell TP. *Setaria viridis* and *Setaria italica*, model genetic systems for the panicoid grasses. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2011;2(9):3031-37.
 4. Srilatha J, Haritha T, Reddy CVCM, Ramesh D. Genetic variability studies for yield and nutritional traits in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2020;9(6):596-600.
 5. Gopalan C, Ramasastry BV, Balasubramanian SC. Nutritive value of Indian foods. National Institute of Nutrition. Indian Council of Medical Research, Hyderabad, India; 2004.
 6. Allard RW. Principles of plant breeding. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York. 1960:485.
 7. Reddy CVCM, Pullibai P, Manjunath J, Munirathnam P. Genetic diversity and genotype by trait analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.). International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research. 2015;3(6):2319-1473.
 8. Sapkota M, Pandey PM, Thapa, BD. Agromorphological characterization of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L. Beauv) at Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal. International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology. 2016;4(3):298-307.
 9. Anderberg MR. Cluster analysis for application. Academic Press, New York; 1993.
 10. Nandini C, Bhat S, Tippeswamy V, Prabhakar. Characterization of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.) germplasm for qualitative and quantitative traits to enhance its utilization. Academia Journal of Agricultural Research. 2018;6(5):121-129.
 11. Kumar GP, Prasad AD, Reddy CVCM. Estimation of genetic diversity by using principal component analysis in genetic resources of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv.). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2019;7(4):1807-1811.

© 2022 Saikumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93540>