

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(23): 1012-1018, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.93066 ISSN: 2320-7035

Evaluation of Different doses and Modes of Application of Ferrous Ammonium Sulfate for Maximizing Rice Production

Sudhanshu Shukla ^a , S. B. Agrawal ^a , Badal Verma a*, Mahendra Anjna ^a and Tanjeem Ansari ^a

^aDepartment of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur, (MP)-482004, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232510

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93066

Original Research Article

Received 27 August 2022 Accepted 29 October 2022 Published 02 November 2022

ABSTRACT

The field experiment on rice was carried out during the *Kharif* season 2021 at Agricultural Engineering Research Farm, JNKVV, Jabalpur (M.P.) to evaluate the effect of ferrous ammonium sulfate (FASt) on rice production. Eight treatments were applied, namely RDF- Recommended dose of fertilizer (N:P:K) (120:60:40), RDF + FASt at 15 kg/ha for soil application, RDF + FASt at 25 kg/ha for soil application, RDF + FASt at 35 kg/ha for soil application, RDF + 2 Spray of FASt 0.25% at 25 and 45 DAT, RDF + 2 Spray of FASt 0.50% at 25 and 45 DAT, RDF + 1 Spray of FASt 0.25% at 25 DAT, RDF + 1 Spray of FASt 0.50% at 25 DAT. The studies revealed that applying FASt to transplanted rice significantly increased grain yield over the control. All the growth parameters and yield attributes were found highest in the treatment soil application of ferrous ammonium sulfate (FASt) at 35 kg/ha with a recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) and more effective comparatively foliar application of FASt at 0.25% and 0.50%. It recorded a maximum grain yield (5188 kg/ha) with a higher harvest index (38.85).

___ *Keywords: Ferrous ammonium sulfate; foliar application; recommended dose of fertilizer; Transplanted rice.*

^{}Corresponding author: E-mail: badalv82282@gmail.com;*

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important staple food crop of the world, feeding more than half of its population every day. Globally it is cultivated in an area of 161.28 million hectares with an annual production of 715.75 million tonnes. It accounts for about 42% of the country's total food grain production and 55% of cereal production. In Asia, about 90% of the rice is produced and consumed as a staple food [1,2]. In India, rice contributes 45% to the total food grain production and is grown in an area of 44.1 million ha with a production of 106.64 million tonnes and productivity of 2.42 t/ha [3]. In Madhya Pradesh, it occupies an area of 2.29 ha with a production of 4.23 MT and productivity of 1847 kg/ha [4].

Most Indian soils are deficient in S, Zn, M, Cu, B, Fe and Mo. Rice crops under the Rice-Wheat cropping system (RWCS) struggle with iron and zinc deficiency. Iron chlorosis is most severe when the coarse-textured soils are brought into wider rice cultivation for the first time. Sometimes severe chlorosis due to Fe deficiency can lead to complete failure of the rice crop [5]. It happens even though the total iron content in soils is extraordinarily high. However, the amount of plant-usable iron is relatively low to moderate and depends on soil properties, cropping patterns and environmental conditions.

Sulfur is the fourth element essential for plant growth after nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. It is involved in amino acid and protein synthesis, enzymatic and metabolic activities in plants, which account for approximately 90% of organic S in the plant [6,7]. The deficiency of sulfur is rising speedily in regions wherein constantly sulfur-free fertilizers like DAP and urea are being used. When S becomes limiting, adding N does not change plants' yield or protein level. Sulfur is needed early in the growth and development of rice plants. If it is limiting during the early growth period followed by tillering, finally, the yield will be reduced [8,9].

Ammonium salts, which are used as nitrogen fertilizers in paddy fields, also impair the establishment of seedlings in submerged soil. It was the most detrimental among the major ammonium fertilizers for non-coated seeds in cold regions, although they did not discuss the possibility of sulfide ion generation as a cause of the impaired establishment associated with

ammonium sulfate treatment [10]. One such product, which is the multi-nutrient fertilizer
ferrous ammonium sulfate $((NH4)_2)$ ferrous ammonium sulfate ((F e(SO₄)₂.6H₂O), claims to increase $Fe(SO₄)₂.6H₂O$, claims to increase rice productivity. It is a crystalline water-soluble solid containing iron, nitrogen, and sulfur. It is used mainly in soils where iron is deficient, and the soil is neutral to alkaline. It eliminates iron chlorosis by enhancing chlorophyll synthesis and helps conditioning alkaline soils to allow the uptake of immobilized soluble micronutrient salts [11]. Hence, a study was undertaken to test ferrous ammonium sulfate's effect on rice growth and yield along with a recommended dose of fertilizer.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Agricultural Engineering Research Farm, JNKVV, Jabalpur (M.P.), during the *Kharif* season of 2021. The statistics on the weather conditions during the crop growing season of the ongoing study, including maximum weekly temperatures ranging from (26.8 to 35.7ºC) and minimum weekly temperatures ranging from (10.5 to 25.4ºC), maximum and minimum relative humidity morning (75 to 95%) and evening (33 to 85%), sunshine hours (0.2 to 9.0 hour) and total seasonal rainfall (462.1 mm) received with 43 rainy days. During the crop's entire growing period, the crop is exposed to a total of 118.2 hours of sunlight. All the treatments were arranged in a randomized block design with eight treatments and three replications. Total eight treatments, comprising RDF- Recommended dose of fertilizer (N:P:K) (120:60:40), RDF + FASt at 15 kg/ha for soil application, RDF + FASt at 25 kg/ha for soil application, RDF + FASt at 35 kg/ha for soil application, RDF + 2 Spray of FASt 0.25% at 25 and 45 DAT, RDF + 2 Spray of FASt 0.50% at 25 and 45 DAT, RDF + 1 Spray of FASt 0.25% at 25 DAT, RDF + 1 Spray of FASt 0.50% at 25 DAT. The soil was clay loam in texture, having 0.58% organic carbon, low in available nitrogen (238.14 kg/ha), medium in phosphorus (16.17 kg/ha), rich in available potassium (305.12 kg/ha) and neutral in reaction (pH 7.1) with normal electrical conductivity. The gross plot size was 5.0 m \times 4.0 m. Dry seeds of the Kranti variety were sown in the bed and covered with FYM. Irrigation was applied to ensure proper germination of seeds in a nursery bed. In all plots, two seedlings per hill were manually transplanted at a planting geometry of 20 cm \times 15 cm when they were 25 days old. Urea, single super phosphate, and muriate of potash were

used to apply the recommended doses of plant nutrients at 120:60:40 NPK kg/ha, respectively. A spray of ferrous ammonium sulfate has been done by making a solution of 500 g FASt in 100 litres of water for 0.50% concentration. For 0.25% concentration, 250 g of FASt in 100 litres of water should be dissolved. After that, spray with the help of a knapsack sprayer was done at 25 and 45 DAT. The data from the various observations were tabulated for statistical analysis, and the analysis of variance (ANOVA) method was applied to analyze the data statistically. The F test was then used to evaluate the treatment. A critical difference (CD) was computed for each character at a 5% level of significance to assess the differences between treatment means. The data on weed population and dry weight were square roots transformed, i.e., √x+0.5, before analyzing variance, and only transformed values were compared.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

The present results show the effect of different doses and modes of application of FASt on plant height, tillers per hill, dry weight per hill, and leaf area index (Table 1). Significant differences were noticed among the treatments regarding plant height at 60 DAT. The treatment, which consisted of soil application of FASt at 35 kg/ha with RDF, registered the highest plant height (105.5 cm); however, it was found at par with treatment, which received RDF with 2 foliar applications of FASt at 0.50% at 25 and 45 DAT. Because foliar application of micronutrient significantly increased the plant height which might be attributed to the adequate supply of micronutrient, contributed to accelerate the enzymatic activity and auxin metabolism in plants [12]. While control plot, which received only RDF, recorded the lowest plant height (82.1 cm) among all the treatments. Similar results were reported by [13].

Significant variation was found among different treatments in the case of number of tillers per hill due to different levels of FASt at 60 DAT. The minimum number of tillers (7.9/hill) occurred under controlled plot due to the non-availability of micronutrient, where only RDF was given. However, soil application of FASt at 15 kg/ha with RDF treatment marginally increases the number of tillers. The treatment, which received soil application of FASt at 35 kg/ha with RDF bears maximum tillers (10.4/hill) and proved significantly superior over all the treatments. This

might be due to improved metabolic activity with micronutrients that enhanced the floral primordia development in many tillers. [14] also made similar observations.

FASt nutrition significantly influenced the dry matter production of rice at 60 DAT. The controlled plot received only RDF, producing the least amount of dry matter (42.8 g/hill). However, the soil application of FASt at 35 kg/ha with RDF produced the maximum amount of dry matter production (54.8 g/hill), and it was outperformed among all the treatments, and it was at par with the foliar application of 2 sprays of 0.50% FASt at 25 and 45 DAT along with the RDF. The enhanced availability of nutrients, especially iron, might have led to a better accumulation of photosynthates in the form of dry matter. Application of sufficient amount of NPK nutrients along with ferrous sulfate could have enhanced the photosynthetic activity of the crop and ultimately the dry matter production. Our results closely conform to those of [15].

The leaf area index (LAI) at 60 DAT was significantly influenced by different treatments. The treatment, which consisted of soil application of FASt at 35 kg/ha with RDF, recorded the maximum LAI (4.02) and outperformed all the treatments. However, it was found at par with treatment which received RDF with 2 foliar applications of FASt at 0.50% at 25 and 45 DAT. While control plots recorded a minimum LAI (3.72), supplemented with RDF only due to absence of micronutrients (FASt). Our results confirm the findings of [16,17].

3.2 Yield Attributes and Grain Yield

Effective tillers per hill, grains per panicle, grain yield, and harvest index were affected by different doses and modes of application of ferrous ammonium sulfate (Table 2). The number of effective tillers per hill was found to increase significantly with an increase in the levels of FASt. Treatment having RDF application only recorded a minimum number of effective tillers (7.0/hill), which were increased in plots getting either soil or foliar application of FASt. However, soil application of FASt at 35kg/ha with RDF recorded maximum effective tillers (10.1/hill). It proved significantly superior over all the doses of FASt because FASt increases plants nutrient uptake capacity and makes them macronutrients available through the chelation process. Also iron reduces chlorosis in plant and induces the photosynthetic process which result in more tillers. Similar results were noted by [18].

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Tillers/hill	dry weight/hill (g)	Leaf area index
RDF-Recommended dose of fertilizer	82.1	7.9	42.8	3.72
RDF + FASt @ 15kg/ha for soil application	86.8	8.6	43.7	3.82
RDF + FASt @ 25kg/ha for soil application	95.4	9.7	48.8	3.89
RDF + FASt @ 35kg/ha for soil application	105.5	10.4	54.8	4.02
RDF + 2 Spray of FASt 0.25% at 25 and 45 DAT	100.8	9.2	47.6	3.91
RDF + 2 Spray of FASt 0.50% at 25 and 45 DAT	103.3	9.4	50.2	3.94
RDF + 1 Spray of FASt 0.25% at 25 DAT	99.8	8.6	43.5	3.83
RDF + 1 Spray of FASt 0.50% at 25 DAT	100.2	8.8	45.4	3.87
CD (P=0.05)	4.34	0.42	5.51	0.05

Table 1. Effect of different doses and modes of application of FASt on growth parameters of rice at 60 DAT

The number of grains per panicle was significantly affected by different levels of FASt. The highest number of grains per panicle was noticed in soil application of FASt at 35 kg/ha with RDF treatment (178), followed by RDF $+ 2$ Spray of FASt 0.50% at 25 and 45 DAT. These might be due to better translocation of accumulated photosynthates to the sink because iron help in the photosynthesis process. However, the lowest number of grains per panicle was found in the controlled plot, which is applied with RDF only (141). These results follow the findings of [19,20].

There was a positive response in attaining increased grain yield of rice with different FASt graded levels. Maximum grain yield (5188 kg/ha) was recorded under soil application of FASt at 35kg/ha with RDF and proved significantly superior over the rest of the treatments. Moreover, the soil application of 25 kg FASt with RDF and 2 sprays of 0.50% of FASt at 25 and 45 DAT with RDF proved statistically equally good for grain yield. It may be due to better improvement in growth and yield parameters through adequate availability of primary and micronutrients in soil, which favorably influenced physiological processes and the build-up of photosynthates. While the lowest grain yield (3269 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment, which

consisted of RDF only due to non-availability of micronutrient, resulting in poor growth parameters and yield attributing traits and finally grain yield was reduced. This result was conformity with those of [21,22].

The harvest index of rice was significantly influenced by micronutrient management practices to a considerable extent. The lowest harvest index was recorded at a controlled plot consisting of RDF only (33.33%), and the highest harvest index associated with soil application of FASt at 35 kg/ha with RDF (38.85%) indicated the optimum vegetative growth and better source-sink relationship [23].

The optimal dose and time of ferrous ammonium sulfate fertilization for the cultivation of rice and other crops in the tropics can vary widely according to weather conditions [24,25,26]; and soil [27,28]. Based on research and field tests carried out more than ten years ago, the appropriate fertilization strategies were established for conventional rice plantings currently used in countries with a tropical climate, as well as in other crops such as corn [29], tomato [30], potato [31], Onion [32] and bananas [33,34,35].

Table 2. Effect of different doses and modes of application of FASt on yield attributes and yield of rice

4. CONCLUSION

It was concluded that soil application of ferrous ammonium sulfate (FASt) at 35 kg/ha with a recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) was found suitable for enhancing the growth and grain yield of rice with a higher value of harvest index.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to the College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP), for providing all the facilities during this experimental work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anonymous. Rice statistics online query facility. International Rice Research Institute; 2016-a.
- 2. Wang Z, Zhang W, Beebout SS, Zhang H, Liu L, Yang J, et. al. Grain yield, water and nitrogen use efficiencies of rice as influenced by irrigation regimes and their interaction with nitrogen rates. Field Crops Research. 2016;193:54-69.
- 3. Verma Badal, Bhan Manish, Jha AK, Singh Vikash, Patel Rajendra, Sahu MP, Kumar Vijay. Weed management in direct-seeded rice through herbicidal mixtures under diverse agroecosystems. AMA, Agricultural

Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 2022;53(4):7299-7306.

- 4. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Directorate of economics and statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Govt. of India, New Delhi. 2018; 78-85.
- 5. Katyal JC, Sharma BD. New technique of plant analysis to resolve iron chlorosis. Plant and Soil. 1980;55(1):105-109.
- 6. Najafian S, Zahedifar M. Antioxidant activity and essential oil composition of Satureja hortensis L. as influenced by sulfur fertilizer. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2015;95(12):2404- 2408.
- 7. Singh Anil Kumar, Bhushan Mani, Meena MK, Upadhyaya Ashutosh. Effect of Sulphur and Zinc on Rice Performance and Nutrient Dynamics in Plants and Soil of Indo Gangetic Plains. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012;49(11):162-170.
- 8. Hussain N, Yasmeen A, Bilal M. The application of ammonium sulphate and amino acid on cotton: effects on can improve growth, yield, quality and nitrogen absorption. Brazilian Journal of Biology. 2022;82.
- 9. Fageria NK, Santos Dos AB, Moraes MF. Influence of urea and ammonium sulfate on soil acidity indices in lowland rice production. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2010;41: 1565–1575.
- 10. Hara Yoshitaka. Suppressive effect of sulfate on establishment of rice seedlings in submerged soil may be due to sulfide

generation around the seeds. Plant Production Science. 2013;16(1):50-60.

- 11. Dwivedi BS, Singh VK, Meena MC, Dey A, Datta SP. Integrated nutrient management for enhancing nitrogen use efficiency. Indian Journal of Fertilisers. 2016;12: 62-71.
- 12. Sudha S and Stalin P. Effect of zinc on yield, quality and grain zinc content of rice genotypes. International Journal of Farm Sciences. 2015;5(3):17-27.
- 13. Syed SA, Patil PR, Karanje SV, Karpe AH, Gharge PV. Effect of multinutrient fertilizer FASt (Ferrous, Ammonium, Sulphate) on growth, yield contributing characters and yield of rice. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(3):239-242.
- 14. Kumar V, Kumar D, Singh YV, Raj R. Effect of iron fertilization on dry-matter production, yield and economics of aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2015;60(4):547-553.
- 15. Meena BL, Rattan RK, Datta SP. Efficacy of seed treatment in ameliorating iron deficiency in aerobic rice on a calcareous soil. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2013;61(2):147-152.
- 16. Mahajan G, Khurana MPS. Enhancing productivity of dry-Seeded Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in North-West India through foliar application of Iron and Potassium Nitrate. VEGETOS. 2014;27(2):301-306.
- 17. Gill JS, Walia SS. Effect of foliar application of iron, zinc and manganese on direct seeded aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013;59(1):80-85.
- 18. Yogesh TC, Viswanath AP, Thimmegowda P. Yield and economics of aerobic paddy with application of zinc, iron and microbial inoculants. Journal of Environmental Science, Computer Science and Engineering & Technology. 2013;2(1): 100-104.
- 19. Baishya LK, Sarkar D, Ansari MA, Singh KR, Meitei CB, Prakash N. Effect of micronutrients, organic manures and lime on bio-fortified rice production in acid soils of Eastern Himalayan region. Ecology, Environment and Conservation. 2016;22(1):199-206.
- 20. Sunil CM, Shankarlingappa BC. Influence of integrated package of agrotechniquies on quality parameters of aerobic rice. Journal of Agronomy. 2014;13(2):58-64.
- 21. Jhadhav KT, Lokhande DC, Asewar BV. Effect of ferrous sulphate and zinc

sulphate management practices on rice under aerobic condition. Advanced Research Journal of Crop Improvement. 2014;5(2):131-135.

- 22. Suresh S, Salakinkop SR. Growth and yield of rice as influenced by biofortification of zinc and iron. Journal of Farm Science. 2016;29(4):443-448.
- 23. Ram US, Srivastava VK, Hemantaranjan A, Sen A, Singh RK, Bohra JS, Shukla U. Effect of Zn, Fe and FYM application on growth, yield and nutrient content of rice. Oryza. 2013;50(4):351-357.
- 24. Olivares B. Tropical conditions of seasonal rain in the dry-land agriculture of Carabobo, Venezuela. La Granja: Journal of Life Sciences. 2018;27(1):86-102. Available:http://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n27.20 18.07
- 25. Olivares B, Hernández R. Regional analysis of homogeneous precipitation areas in Carabobo, VenezuelaAnálisis regional de zonas homogéneas de precipitación en Carabobo, Venezuela. Revista Lasallista de Investigación. 2019; 16(2):90-105.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22507/rli.v16n2a9 10.22507/rli.v16n2a9

- 26. Olivares B, Zingaretti ML. Aplicación de métodos multivariados para la caracterización de periodos de sequía meteorológica en Venezuela. Revista Luna Azul. 2019;48:172:192 (In Spanish). Available:10.17151/luaz.2019.48.10
- 27. Olivares B. Descripción del manejo de suelos en sistemas de producción agrícola del sector Hamaca de Anzoátegui, Venezuela. La Granja: Revista de Ciencias de la Vida. 2016;23(1): 14–24. (In Spanish). Available:https://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n23.2

016.02

28. Olivares B, Araya-Alman M, Acevedo-Opazo C, et al. Relationship between soil properties and banana productivity in the two main cultivation areas in Venezuela. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2020;20(3):2512-2524. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-

020-00317-8

29. Olivares B, Hernández R, Arias A, Molina JC, Pereira Y. Zonificación agroclimática del cultivo de maíz para la sostenibilidad de la producción agrícola en Carabobo, Venezuela. Revista Universitaria de Geografía. 2018;27(2):139-159. (In Spanish).

Available:https://n9.cl/ah6c

- 30. Olivares B, Hernandez R, Arias A, Molina JC, Pereira Y. Eco-territorial adaptability of tomato crops for sustainable agricultural production in Carabobo, Venezuela. Idesia. 2020;38(2):95-102. Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718- 34292020000200095
- 31. Olivares B and Hernández R. Ecoterritorial sectorization for the sustainable agricultural production of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in Carabobo, Venezuela. Agricultural Science and Technology. 2019;20(2):339-354. Available:https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol2 0_num2_art:1462
- 32. Olivares B, Hernández R, Arias A, Molina JC and Pereira Y. Identificación de zonas agroclimáticas potenciales para producción de cebolla (*Allium cepa* L.) en Carabobo, Venezuela. Journal of the Selva

Andina Biosphere. 2018;6(2):70-82. (In Spanish).

Available:https://n9.cl/nbl6

- 33. Olivares B, Vega A, Calderón MAR, Rey JC, Lobo D, Gómez JA, Landa BB. Identification of Soil Properties Associated with the Incidence of Banana Wilt Using Supervised Methods. Plants. 2022;11(15):2070. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/plants111 52070
- 34. Olivares BO, Rey JC, Perichi G, Lobo D. Relationship of microbial activity with soil properties in banana plantations in Venezuela. Sustainability. 2022;14:13531. Available[:https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013](https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013531) [531](https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013531)
- 35. Olivares BO. Determination of the potential influence of soil in the differentiation of productivity and in the classification of susceptible areas to banana wilt in Venezuela. UCOPress, Spain; 2022.

___ *© 2022 Shukla et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93066*