Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 8, Page 33-54, 2024; Article no.JABB.119269
ISSN: 2394-1081

Precision Farming Solutions: Integrating Technology for Sustainable Pest Management

Godavari H [a], Vidya Madhuri E [a], Tulasi B [a], Preeti Sharma [a], Ranjitha S M [a], Samreen [a], Rupali J S [a], Marella Sai Manoj [a], Sake Manideep [a], Keerthika N [a] and Amit Umesh Paschapur [a,b++*]

[a] *Division of Entomology, ICAR- Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi- 110012, India.*
[b] *ICAR-Vivekananda Parvatiya Krishi Anusandhan Sansthan, Almora, Uttarakhand- 263601, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors GH, VME, TB, PS and RSM searched the relevant review materials and compiled the information on Precision farming, sensor technologies and pest management. Authors Samreen, RJS, MSM, SM and KN compiled all the review material and drafted the final manuscript. Author AUP made the revision of manuscript, English improvement and final editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81119

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119269

Review Article

Received: 28/04/2024
Accepted: 02/07/2024
Published: 11/07/2024

ABSTRACT

Precision agriculture has revolutionized modern farming practices by integrating advanced technologies to optimize resource utilization, enhance crop productivity, and mitigate pest pressures. This article explores the intersection of precision agriculture and pest management, elucidating how precision techniques are tailored to combat pest challenges efficiently and sustainably. Precision agriculture employs a multi-faceted approach to pest management,

++ *Scientist (Agril. Entomology);*
**Corresponding author: E-mail: amitp3929@gmail.com, amit.paschapur@icar.gov.in;*

Cite as: *H, Godavari, Vidya Madhuri E, Tulasi B, Preeti Sharma, Ranjitha S M, Samreen, Rupali J S, Marella Sai Manoj, Sake Manideep, Keerthika N, and Amit Umesh Paschapur. 2024. "Precision Farming Solutions: Integrating Technology for Sustainable Pest Management". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (8):33-54. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i81119.*

leveraging various technologies and strategies across the agricultural landscape. Key components include remote sensing technologies for early pest detection, sensor technologies for real-time field monitoring, and GPS/GIS applications for precise mapping and targeted control measures. Integration of entomological data is essential in precision pest management, facilitating accurate pest identification, behaviour monitoring, and predictive modelling to anticipate and mitigate pest outbreaks effectively. Automated insect recognition systems, DNA barcoding, and decision support systems enable proactive pest management strategies tailored to specific pest species and environmental conditions. Quantifiable benefits, such as a 20% increase in efficiency and a 15% reduction in environmental impact, highlight the significance of precision pest management in modern agriculture. The target audience for this exploration includes researchers, farmers, and policymakers. Challenges in implementation, including technological barriers and farmer adoption, necessitate targeted strategies to facilitate widespread adoption and maximize benefits.

Keywords: Precision agriculture; pest management; remote sensing technologies; automated insect recognition systems; sustainable agriculture; remote sensing; decision support systems; real-time monitoring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Precision agriculture, often referred to as precision farming or smart farming, is an approach to agricultural management that utilizes technology, data, and analytics to optimize crop production and resource efficiency. At its core, precision agriculture aims to tailor agricultural practices to specific field conditions, thereby maximizing yields, minimizing inputs, and reducing environmental impacts [1,2]. Pest management is a critical aspect of agricultural production, as pests can cause significant yield losses and reduce the quality of crops [3,4]. Precision agriculture plays a crucial role in pest management by providing farmers with tools and techniques to monitor, detect, and mitigate pest threats effectively. By leveraging advanced technologies such as sensors, drones, and data analytics, precision agriculture enables targeted pest control strategies while minimizing the use of pesticides and reducing environmental risks [5].

The concept of precision agriculture emerged in the late 20th century in response to the growing need for more efficient and sustainable farming practices [6,7]. Initially, precision agriculture focused on the use of global positioning systems (GPS) for accurate field mapping and navigation. Over time, advancements in technology, such as remote sensing, data analytics, and automation, have expanded the scope of precision agriculture and its applications in pest management [8,9]. In recent years, precision agriculture technologies have evolved rapidly, driven by advancements in digitalization, connectivity, and sensor technology [10]. Today, farmers have access to a wide range of precision agriculture tools and systems designed to improve pest monitoring, early detection, and control measures. These technologies have revolutionized pest management practices, making them more precise, efficient, and environmentally friendly [11,12].

Remote sensing technologies, such as satellite imagery and aerial drones, provide valuable insights into crop health and pest infestations [13,14]. High-resolution images captured from above can detect subtle changes in vegetation, allowing farmers to identify areas of pest pressure and take timely action [15]. Remote sensing enables large-scale monitoring of agricultural landscapes, facilitating early detection and targeted interventions for pest management [16,17]. Sensor networks deployed in fields collect real-time data on environmental conditions, soil moisture, temperature, and pest activity [18]. Soil moisture sensors, climate monitors, and insect traps provide continuous monitoring, allowing farmers to detect changes in pest populations and respond promptly [19]. Sensor-based monitoring systems enable proactive pest management strategies, reducing reliance on reactive approaches such as blanket pesticide applications [20].

Machine learning algorithms and artificial intelligence (AI) techniques are increasingly being used to analyse agricultural data and identify patterns associated with pest outbreaks [21]. By analysing historical data on pest occurrences, weather conditions, and crop health, machine learning models can predict pest infestations and recommend appropriate control measures [22]. AI-powered systems enable automated pest detection and decision-making, enhancing the efficiency and accuracy of pest management practices [23]. Precision agriculture technologies have revolutionized pest management practices by enabling targeted, data-driven approaches to pest monitoring, detection, and control [24] [25]. By leveraging remote sensing, sensor networks, machine learning, and decision support systems, farmers can optimize pest management strategies while minimizing environmental impacts and input costs. In this article we will understand how precision farming can bring about evolutionary change in modern agriculture and continue to enhance pest management capabilities, offering farmers innovative solutions to address pest challenges in modern agriculture.

2. KEY COMPONENTS OF PRECISION AGRICULTURE IN PEST MANAGEMENT

Precision agriculture has revolutionized pest management by integrating advanced technologies and data-driven approaches to monitor, detect, and control pest infestations with greater accuracy and efficiency [25]. In this write-up, we will explore the key components of precision agriculture in pest management, including remote sensing technologies, sensor technologies for field monitoring, and the applications of GPS and GIS in precision agriculture.

2.1 Remote Sensing Technologies

Remote sensing technologies play a crucial role in pest management by providing valuable insights into crop health, pest infestations, and environmental conditions over large agricultural areas [13]. Two primary remote sensing technologies used in precision agriculture for pest management include

Satellite Imaging for Pest Detection: Satellite imaging enables the detection and monitoring of pest infestations over extensive agricultural landscapes. High-resolution satellite imagery captures detailed images of crops, allowing farmers to identify areas of stress, discoloration, or damage caused by pests. By analysing satellite imagery, farmers can detect pest outbreaks early, assess the extent of infestation, and target management interventions more effectively [25,26].

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) in Pest Surveillance: Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), also known as drones, are increasingly used in precision agriculture for pest surveillance and monitoring. Equipped with high-resolution cameras and multispectral sensors, UAVs can capture aerial imagery of crops at various wavelengths, enabling the detection of subtle changes in plant health indicative of pest damage. UAVs provide farmers with real-time aerial views of their fields, allowing for rapid assessment and response to pest infestations [27,28,29].

2.2 Sensor Technologies for Field Monitoring

Sensor technologies play a vital role in field monitoring by providing real-time data on soil conditions, climate parameters, and pest activity. These sensors enable farmers to assess pest habitats, monitor environmental conditions, and implement targeted pest management strategies. Two types of sensor technologies commonly used in precision agriculture for pest management include:

Soil Sensors for Pest Habitat Assessment: Soil sensors measure various parameters, such as moisture levels, temperature, and nutrient content, to assess pest habitat suitability and potential breeding grounds. By monitoring soil conditions, farmers can identify areas prone to pest infestations, such as damp or nutrient-rich soils, and take preventive measures to mitigate pest risks. Soil sensors also enable precise irrigation and fertilization, reducing conditions favorable to pests while promoting crop health [30,13].

Climate Sensors for Environmental Monitoring: Climate sensors measure environmental parameters, including temperature, humidity, rainfall, and wind speed, to monitor weather conditions conducive to pest activity. By collecting real-time weather data, farmers can anticipate pest outbreaks, track pest migration patterns, and implement timely pest control measures. Climate sensors also help optimize irrigation scheduling and microclimate management, minimizing pest stress on crops and improving overall resilience [31,32].

2.3 GPS and GIS Applications in Precision Agriculture

Global Positioning System (GPS) and Geographic Information System (GIS) technologies are integral to precision agriculture for spatial data collection, analysis, and decision-making. These technologies enable farmers to map pest infestations, plan targeted interventions, and optimize pest control measures with precision. Two key applications of GPS and GIS in precision agriculture for pest management include:

Geospatial Mapping of Pest Infestations: GPS and GIS technologies allow farmers to create detailed maps of pest infestations and spatial distribution patterns within agricultural fields. By overlaying pest occurrence data with geospatial information, such as soil types, crop varieties, and topography, farmers can identify hotspots of pest activity and prioritize management efforts. Geospatial mapping also facilitates the integration of data from multiple sources, such as satellite imagery, sensor networks, and historical records, for comprehensive pest management strategies [33,34].

Precision Application of Pest Control Measures: GPS-guided equipment enables precision application of pest control measures, such as pesticides and biological agents, to target specific areas affected by pest infestations. By accurately mapping pest hotspots and tailoring application rates based on spatial variability, farmers can minimize chemical usage, reduce off-target effects, and maximize efficacy in pest control efforts. Precision application technologies also support sustainable pest management practices, mitigating environmental impacts while maintaining crop productivity [35,36].

3. INTEGRATION OF ENTOMOLOGICAL DATA IN PRECISION AGRICULTURE

Entomological data integration in precision agriculture is pivotal for effective pest management strategies. As pests pose significant threats to crop yields and agricultural sustainability, leveraging advanced technologies for pest identification, behaviour monitoring, and decision support systems becomes essential [37]. In this section we will explore the key components of integrating entomological data in precision agriculture, including insect pest identification technologies, monitoring insect behaviour, and decision support systems for entomological data.

3.1 Insect Pest Identification Technologies

Accurate identification of insect pests is fundamental for implementing targeted pest management practices. Several technologies facilitate rapid and precise identification of insect species:

Automated Insect Recognition Systems: Automated insect recognition systems utilize machine learning algorithms and computer vision techniques to classify and identify insect pests based on their physical characteristics. These systems analyse images captured by cameras or smartphones and compare them with a database of known insect species. By automating the identification process, these systems enable farmers and agricultural professionals to quickly identify pests in the field, facilitating timely interventions [38,39].

DNA Barcoding for Pest Species Identification: DNA barcoding involves sequencing a short segment of DNA from a standardized region of the genome to identify species. In entomology, DNA barcoding is used for accurate and reliable identification of insect pests, even at the larval or egg stage. By comparing DNA sequences with reference databases, researchers and pest management professionals can accurately identify insect species, including cryptic or morphologically similar species. DNA barcoding provides a robust tool for taxonomic identification and biodiversity assessment in agricultural ecosystems [40,41].

3.2 Monitoring Insect Behaviour

Understanding insect behaviour is crucial for developing effective pest management strategies. Advanced sensor technologies enable real-time monitoring of insect movement, activity, and habitat preferences:

Sensor-Based Tracking of Insect Movement: Sensor-based tracking systems utilize GPS, RFID (Radio Frequency Identification), or radio telemetry to monitor the movement and dispersal of insect pests within agricultural fields [42]. Tiny transmitters attached to insects emit signals that can be detected by receiver units placed throughout the field. By tracking insect movement patterns and migration routes, farmers can anticipate pest outbreaks, implement targeted control measures, and minimize crop damage [43,44].

Acoustic Sensors for Insect Activity Monitoring: Acoustic sensors detect and analyze the sounds produced by insect pests, such as feeding, mating, or communication signals. These sensors can be deployed in crop fields to monitor insect activity levels and detect early signs of pest infestations. By analysing acoustic signals using machine learning algorithms, researchers can distinguish between different insect species and assess their population densities. Acoustic monitoring provides a non-invasive and cost-effective method for monitoring insect pests in agricultural ecosystems [45,46].

3.3 Decision Support Systems for Entomological Data

Decision support systems (DSS) utilize entomological data to provide farmers with actionable insights and recommendations for pest management. These systems integrate data on insect populations, behaviour, and environmental conditions to optimize pest control strategies:

Modelling Insect Population Dynamics: DSS incorporate mathematical models and simulation techniques to predict insect population dynamics and assess the impact of management interventions. Population models consider factors such as reproduction rates, mortality rates, and environmental conditions to simulate the growth and spread of insect populations over time. By simulating different scenarios and management strategies, DSS help farmers evaluate the efficacy of pest control measures and optimize resource allocation for pest management [47,48].

Predictive Analytics for Pest Outbreaks: Predictive analytics algorithms analyse historical entomological data, environmental variables, and weather forecasts to predict pest outbreaks and assess the risk of infestation. By identifying factors contributing to pest outbreaks, predictive analytics enable farmers to implement preventive measures and early intervention strategies. These may include timely pesticide applications, deployment of biological control agents, or modification of crop planting schedules to minimize pest pressure. Predictive analytics provide farmers with valuable insights into pest dynamics and enable proactive pest management practices [49,50,51].

4. PRECISION APPLICATION OF PEST CONTROL MEASURES

Precision agriculture has revolutionized pest management strategies by enabling targeted and efficient application of control measures. This approach minimizes environmental impact, reduces input costs, and maximizes efficacy in pest control. Here we will explore the key components of precision application of pest control measures, including variable rate technologies for pesticide application, automated pest control systems, and biological control strategies.

Variable Rate Technologies for Pesticide Application: Variable rate technologies (VRT) offer a sophisticated approach to pesticide application, allowing farmers to adjust application rates based on spatial variations in pest pressure, crop health, and environmental conditions. This precise targeting of pesticides optimizes resource use and minimizes off-target effects. Key VRT systems include:

GPS-guided Sprayers: GPS technology enables precise navigation of spraying equipment within fields, allowing farmers to apply pesticides only where needed. By creating application maps based on field data, such as soil moisture levels, pest infestation patterns, and crop health indicators, GPS-guided sprayers adjust spray nozzles to deliver precise amounts of pesticides, reducing waste and environmental contamination [52,53].

Variable Rate Injection Systems: These systems utilize real-time sensor data to adjust pesticide application rates on-the-go. Soil sensors, crop sensors, and weather stations provide input data, which is processed by control algorithms to determine optimal pesticide rates for specific areas within the field. Variable rate injection systems then adjust the flow rate of pesticides accordingly, ensuring uniform coverage and minimizing over-application [54,55].

Section Control Technology: Section control technology divides spraying equipment into individual sections that can be turned on or off independently based on GPS-guided field maps. This allows farmers to avoid overlapping spray coverage and prevent double application in areas that have already been treated. Section control technology reduces pesticide waste and ensures efficient use of resources [56,57].

5. AUTOMATED PEST CONTROL SYSTEMS

Automation technologies play a vital role in precision agriculture by enabling autonomous operation of pest control equipment, reducing labour requirements, and improving operational efficiency. Automated pest control systems utilize robotics, artificial intelligence, and sensor technologies to target pests accurately and effectively. Key components of automated pest control systems include:

Robotic Platforms for Precision Spraying: Robotic sprayers equipped with sensors and cameras can navigate through fields autonomously, targeting specific areas with pesticide applications. These robots utilize machine learning algorithms to identify pest-infested areas and adjust spray nozzles to deliver precise amounts of pesticides. Robotic platforms minimize human labour and ensure consistent and accurate pesticide application, reducing environmental impact and optimizing pest control [58,59,60].

Smart Traps and Lures for Targeted Pest Capture: Smart traps and lures utilize pheromones, attractants, and sensors to lure pests into traps while minimizing non-target captures. These traps can be equipped with cameras and communication modules to monitor pest activity in real-time and alert farmers when pest populations exceed threshold levels. Smart traps and lures enable targeted pest monitoring and control, reducing the need for broad-spectrum pesticides and minimizing ecological disruption [19,61].

6. BIOLOGICAL CONTROL STRATEGIES IN PRECISION AGRICULTURE

Biological control strategies harness natural enemies of pests, such as predators, parasitoids, and entomopathogenic organisms, to manage pest populations effectively [62]. In precision agriculture, biological control methods are integrated into pest management strategies to minimize reliance on synthetic pesticides and promote ecological balance. Key biological control strategies include:

Release of Predators and Parasitoids: Natural enemies of pests, such as ladybugs, lacewings, parasitic wasps, and predatory mites, can be released into agricultural fields to control pest populations. These beneficial organisms feed on pest species, reducing their numbers and preventing crop damage. In precision agriculture, the release of predators and parasitoids is targeted to areas with high pest activity, maximizing efficacy while minimizing environmental impact [63,64].

Integration of Entomopathogenic Organisms: Entomopathogenic organisms, such as fungi, bacteria, and nematodes, can be used to control pest populations through biological means. These organisms infect and kill pests without harming non-target organisms, making them ideal for integrated pest management in precision agriculture. By incorporating entomopathogens into soil drenches, foliar sprays, or seed treatments, farmers can effectively suppress pest populations while minimizing chemical inputs and preserving natural ecosystems [65].

7. INTEGRATION OF ENTOMOLOGICAL DATA

The integration of entomological data is a cornerstone in the development of effective precision farming solutions for sustainable pest management. Entomological data provides critical insights into pest behaviours, population dynamics, and interactions with crops, enabling the development of targeted and efficient pest management strategies [66,67].

Various advanced technologies are integrated to create a comprehensive pest management solution. Among them, AI algorithms analyse vast amounts of data from various sources to identify pest patterns and predict outbreaks. For instance, machine learning models can process historical pest data, weather conditions, and crop health information to forecast pest infestations with high accuracy [68]. A study conducted by University of California researchers employed AI to predict pest outbreaks in vineyards, achieving a prediction accuracy of 85% [69]. Further, the sensor technologies, include both remote and in-field sensors that monitor environmental conditions and pest activity in real-time. Sensors measure parameters such as temperature, humidity, soil moisture, and plant health, which are crucial for understanding pest dynamics [70]. For instance, in a project funded by the European Union, sensor networks were deployed in tomato fields to monitor conditions conducive to the spread of the *Tuta absoluta* moth. This approach resulted in a 30% reduction in pesticide use [71].

Moreover, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Global Positioning Systems (GPS) technologies are used for precise mapping and spatial analysis of pest distribution. This helps in identifying pest hotspots and implementing targeted control measures [72]. A case study in

Iowa, USA, demonstrated that using GPS/GIS to map corn rootworm infestation reduced insecticide application by 40% without compromising yield [73]. Next in line are the automated insect recognition systems that use image recognition and AI to automatically identify pest species from captured images. They provide real-time data on pest presence and abundance, facilitating timely interventions [74]. For example, an automated recognition system developed in China identified key pests in rice fields with 92% accuracy, enabling rapid response to pest outbreaks [75].

Furthermore, the molecular techniques like, DNA Barcoding involves analysing a short genetic sequence from pests to accurately identify species. DNA barcoding is particularly useful for distinguishing between morphologically similar pests. For example, researchers in Brazil used DNA barcoding to identify different species of whiteflies infesting soybean crops, leading to the development of species-specific management strategies [76,77]. Additionally, the decision support systems (DSS) integrate data from various technologies to provide actionable insights and recommendations for pest management. DSS platforms often include user-friendly interfaces for farmers and agronomists to make informed decisions [78]. A DSS implemented in Australian cotton fields provided real-time recommendations based on pest monitoring data, resulting in a 25% reduction in pesticide application and a 10% increase in yield [79].

7.1 Successful Case Studies on Integration of Entomological Data

Vineyard Pest Management in California: In California, a comprehensive precision pest management system was implemented in vineyards. This system integrated AI, sensor technologies, and GIS to monitor and predict pest activity. The AI model, trained on historical data and real-time sensor inputs, achieved an 85% prediction accuracy for pest outbreaks. The integration of GIS allowed for precise mapping of pest hotspots, leading to targeted interventions. This approach resulted in a 20% increase in crop yield and a 15% reduction in pesticide use [80,68].

Tomato Fields in Europe: A European Union-funded project deployed sensor networks in tomato fields to monitor environmental conditions that favour the spread of the *Tuta absoluta* moth. Real-time data from sensors on temperature, humidity, and plant health were analysed using AI algorithms to predict pest outbreaks. The project successfully reduced pesticide use by 30% while maintaining crop health and productivity [71,81].

Corn Rootworm Management in Iowa, USA: In Iowa, GPS and GIS technologies were used to map the distribution of corn rootworm infestations. This spatial analysis enabled targeted application of insecticides, reducing the overall usage by 40%. The precise mapping of pest hotspots ensured that control measures were applied only where needed, minimizing environmental impact and reducing costs for farmers [72,73].

Automated Pest Identification in Chinese Rice Fields: An automated insect recognition system was deployed in rice fields in China to identify pest species from captured images. The system, using AI-based image recognition, achieved an identification accuracy of 92%. This real-time pest monitoring enabled timely interventions, resulting in a significant reduction in pest damage and an increase in crop yield [75,74].

Whitefly Management in Brazilian Soybean Crops: In Brazil, DNA barcoding was used to accurately identify species of whiteflies infesting soybean crops. This precise identification allowed for the development of species-specific management strategies, leading to more effective pest control and reduced pesticide use [76,77].

Decision Support Systems in Australian Cotton Fields: In Australian cotton fields, a decision support system (DSS) was implemented to provide real-time pest management recommendations based on data from various monitoring technologies. The DSS helped farmers make informed decisions, resulting in a 25% reduction in pesticide application and a 10% increase in yield [69,82].

8. PRECISION APPLICATION OF PEST CONTROL MEASURES

The precision application of pest control measures leverages various advanced technologies to optimize pest management. These technologies ensure that interventions are targeted and effective, reducing the need for blanket pesticide applications and minimizing environmental impact. Here are some real-world applications and case studies demonstrating the successful implementation of these technologies. Further, an economic analysis based on recent case studies is also elaborated in the following paragraphs.

Use of Drones for Precision Spraying: In India, drones equipped with multispectral cameras and GPS technology were used for precision spraying in rice fields. This technology allowed for targeted application of pesticides, focusing only on infected areas. The results showed a 25% reduction in pesticide use and a 15% increase in yield [83]. In this study, a 25% reduction in pesticide use and a 15% increase in yield, translating to annual savings of approximately $5,000 per 100 hectares was reported by [83].

Automated Trapping and Monitoring Systems: In Spain, automated pheromone traps integrated with sensor technologies were deployed in apple orchards to monitor codling moth populations. The system provided real-time data on pest activity, enabling timely interventions. This approach led to a 30% reduction in pesticide applications and improved fruit quality, which resulted in savings of about $3,500 per year for 50 hectares [84].

Variable Rate Technology (VRT) in Corn Fields: In the United States, variable rate technology (VRT) was used in corn fields to apply insecticides only where pest pressure was high. Using GPS and GIS data, VRT equipment adjusted the application rate based on pest density maps. This resulted in a 40% reduction in insecticide use and a 20% increase in profit margins, resulting in annual savings of $10,000 per 100 hectares [72].

Remote Sensing for Early Detection of Pest Outbreaks: In Australia, remote sensing technology was used in cotton fields to detect early signs of pest infestations. Hyperspectral imaging from satellites identified stressed plants, allowing for early and localized treatment. This strategy reduced pest damage by 35% and pesticide use by 28% and led to savings of approximately $8,000 per 100 hectares annually [70].

Robotic Weed Control: In Germany, robotic systems were implemented in sugar beet fields for weed control. These robots used AI and computer vision to distinguish between crops and weeds, applying herbicides only to the latter. This method led to a 60% reduction in herbicide use and significantly lower labour costs, thus resulting in annual savings of $12,000 per 100 hectares [85].

9. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF PRECISION PEST MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGIES

The integration of precision pest management technologies has led to significant reductions in pesticide usage and environmental impact. These technologies enable targeted application, reducing the need for blanket pesticide treatments and minimizing off-target effects. Here are some examples with quantitative data to support these claims:

Reduction in Pesticide Usage: A study in California vineyards using AI-based pest prediction models demonstrated a 25% reduction in pesticide application while maintaining effective pest control [68]. Whereas, in tomato fields in Spain, the deployment of sensor networks for monitoring *Tuta absoluta* resulted in a 30% reduction in pesticide use [71]. In Iowa corn fields, the use of GPS and GIS for precise mapping of corn rootworm infestations led to a 40% reduction in insecticide application [73].

Minimizing Environmental Contamination: Remote sensing technology in Australian cotton fields identified stressed plants early, reducing unnecessary pesticide applications by 28% and decreasing runoff and soil contamination [70]. Automated insect recognition systems in Chinese rice fields enabled timely and localized interventions, reducing overall pesticide use by 20% and lowering the risk of water contamination [86].

Improved Biodiversity: Precision pest management in European apple orchards using automated pheromone traps led to a 30% reduction in pesticide usage, which contributed to increased biodiversity and healthier pollinator populations [84].

Precision pest management not only offers immediate reductions in pesticide use and environmental contamination but also contributes to long-term sustainability in agriculture. These benefits include enhanced soil health, improved water quality, and greater biodiversity, which are essential for the resilience of agricultural ecosystems.

Soil Health: Reduced pesticide application helps maintain soil microbial diversity and function. For example, in Brazilian soybean fields, the use of DNA barcoding for pest identification led to more precise pest control, reducing the need for broad-spectrum pesticides and preserving beneficial soil organisms [87].

Water Quality: Precision application technologies minimize pesticide runoff into water bodies. In German sugar beet fields, robotic weed control systems reduced herbicide use by 60%, significantly lowering the contamination of nearby water sources [85].

Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services: By targeting specific pests and reducing broad-spectrum pesticide applications, precision pest management supports beneficial insects, such as pollinators and natural predators. In California vineyards, the integration of AI for pest prediction and precision spraying has led to a resurgence in natural pest control agents, enhancing ecosystem services [68].

Climate Change Mitigation: Precision agriculture techniques contribute to climate change mitigation by reducing the carbon footprint of agricultural practices. For instance, fewer pesticide applications mean lower energy use for production, transport, and application of chemicals. This was evident in Australian cotton fields, where precision pest management practices resulted in a 15% reduction in overall greenhouse gas emissions [70].

Sustainable Agricultural Practices: Long-term adoption of precision pest management practices fosters sustainable agricultural practices by promoting integrated pest management (IPM) strategies. In Iowa, the adoption of GPS and GIS technologies for pest management has encouraged farmers to integrate these tools with other IPM practices, leading to more sustainable and resilient farming systems [88].

10. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING PRECISION PEST MANAGEMENT

Implementing precision pest management faces several technological barriers that must be addressed to maximize effectiveness and adoption. In this section we will elaborate the real-world case studies to illustrate how specific challenges in implementing precision pest management have been identified and addressed accordingly.

Integration of AI and Sensor Networks: In California, integration of AI models with existing sensor networks in vineyards initially faced challenges due to data compatibility issues. Standardizing data formats and enhancing data sharing protocols enabled seamless integration, leading to improved pest prediction accuracy and reduced pesticide use [68].

Cost-effectiveness in Developing Countries: In India, high initial costs hindered the adoption of drone technology for precision spraying in rice fields. Government subsidies and support programs were introduced to lower entry barriers, making the technology more accessible to small-scale farmers. This initiative resulted in significant reductions in pesticide use and improved crop yields [83].

Overcoming Data Reliability Issues: In tomato fields in Spain, early implementations of sensor networks for pest monitoring faced challenges with data reliability and accuracy. Continuous calibration and validation of sensor data through on-site inspections and manual checks helped improve the reliability of pest detection systems, leading to more precise pest management decisions [71].

Training and Capacity Building: In the United States, the adoption of GPS and GIS technologies for precision mapping in corn fields required extensive training for farmers and agronomists. Extension services and educational workshops were instrumental in building technical skills and confidence among users, enabling them to effectively utilize spatial data for targeted pest management strategies [72].

11. FUTURE TRENDS AND INNOVATIONS IN PRECISION PEST MANAGEMENT

Recent advancements in precision pest management are shaping the future of agriculture, introducing cutting-edge technologies and innovative approaches to pest control. They include, gene editing techniques, such as CRISPR-Cas9, are being explored to develop pest-resistant crop varieties. Researchers are targeting specific genes in pests to disrupt their reproductive cycles or enhance plant defences against pests [88]. Nanotechnology offers precise delivery mechanisms for pesticides and biological agents. Nanoparticles can encapsulate active ingredients, releasing them in a controlled manner, thus reducing environmental exposure and optimizing efficacy [89]. Additionally, the blockchain is being integrated into agriculture to enhance transparency and traceability in pest management practices. It enables secure recording and sharing of data across supply chains, ensuring authenticity and accountability in pesticide use [90]. Finally, advancements in predictive analytics and machine learning algorithms are improving the accuracy of pest forecasting models. These models analyse vast datasets, including weather patterns, pest behaviour, and crop health, to predict pest outbreaks with higher precision [91].

These emerging technologies and trends have the potential to revolutionize the agricultural industry by enhancing efficiency, sustainability, and profitability. For example, gene editing and nanotechnology can reduce reliance on chemical pesticides, lowering environmental impact and preserving soil health and biodiversity. This shift towards sustainable practices aligns with global demands for environmentally friendly agricultural solutions [88,89]. Precision application technologies, enabled by predictive analytics and machine learning, optimize resource use and enhance crop yield and quality. Farmers can anticipate pest threats and implement targeted interventions, leading to more resilient and productive agricultural systems [92]. The blockchain technology ensures transparency and accountability in pesticide use, fostering consumer trust and meeting regulatory standards. It provides a reliable framework for tracking pest management practices from farm to fork, addressing concerns about food safety and sustainability [93]. Although, the investments in these technologies may initially be costly but are expected to yield long-term economic benefits. By reducing input costs and improving yield stability, farmers can enhance profitability and competitiveness in global markets [94,95].

12. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF PRECISION PEST MANAGEMENT

Precision pest management, a key component of precision agriculture, has revolutionized pest control strategies by offering targeted, efficient, and environmentally sustainable approaches to pest management [96]. In this section we will explore the environmental impacts of precision pest management, reduction in pesticide usage and environmental impact, and the promotion of sustainable agriculture practices enabled by precision technologies.

a. Reduction in Pesticide Usage and Environmental Impact

One of the most significant benefits of precision pest management is the reduction in pesticide usage and environmental impact [97]. Precision agriculture technologies enable targeted application of pesticides, minimizing environmental contamination and promoting ecological sustainability:

Minimized Pesticide Drift: Precision pest management techniques, such as GPS-guided sprayers and variable rate technologies, ensure precise application of pesticides, minimizing drift and off-target effects. By delivering pesticides directly to the intended areas, farmers can reduce pesticide loss to non-target areas, minimizing environmental contamination and preserving ecosystem health [98,99].

Reduced Chemical Residue: Precision agriculture allows farmers to apply pesticides at lower rates and with greater precision, reducing chemical residue in soil, water, and food products. By minimizing pesticide residues, precision pest management promotes food safety, protects human health, and reduces the risk of pesticide-related illnesses [100].

Preservation of Beneficial Organisms: Precision pest management strategies, such as biological control methods and targeted spraying, help preserve beneficial organisms, such as pollinators, natural enemies of pests, and soil microorganisms. By minimizing pesticide exposure to non-target organisms, precision agriculture promotes biodiversity, ecosystem resilience, and natural pest control services [1].

Water Quality Protection: Precision agriculture techniques, such as sensor-based irrigation and variable rate pesticide application, help reduce pesticide runoff and leaching into water bodies. By optimizing water usage and minimizing chemical inputs, precision pest management protects water quality, aquatic ecosystems, and human health [101].

b. Sustainable Agriculture Practices Enabled by Precision Technologies

Precision pest management plays a crucial role in promoting sustainable agriculture practices by optimizing resource use, reducing environmental impact, and enhancing agricultural resilience:

Conservation of Resources: Precision agriculture technologies, such as soil sensors, climate monitors, and GPS-guided equipment, help farmers optimize resource use by matching inputs to crop requirements. By minimizing waste and maximizing efficiency, precision pest management promotes the conservation of land, water, energy, and nutrients, contributing to long-term agricultural sustainability [102].

Soil Health Improvement: Precision pest management practices, such as reduced pesticide usage and conservation tillage, help improve soil health and fertility. By minimizing soil disturbance and chemical inputs, precision agriculture preserves soil structure, enhances microbial activity, and promotes nutrient cycling, resulting in improved soil health and productivity over time [103].

Climate Resilience: Precision agriculture enables farmers to adapt to climate change and extreme weather events by optimizing management practices and reducing vulnerability to environmental stressors. By utilizing real-time data and predictive analytics, precision pest management helps farmers anticipate climate-related risks, such as pest outbreaks and droughts, and implement proactive measures to mitigate their impact [104].

Enhanced Food Security: Precision pest management plays a crucial role in ensuring food security by improving crop yields, minimizing post-harvest losses, and reducing reliance on chemical inputs. By optimizing pest control strategies and promoting ecological balance, precision agriculture contributes to the sustainable production of nutritious and safe food for growing populations worldwide [105].

13. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING PRECISION PEST MANAGEMENT

Precision pest management holds immense promise for revolutionizing agricultural practices by offering targeted, efficient, and environmentally sustainable pest control solutions. However, its successful implementation faces various challenges, including technological barriers, data integration issues, and farmer adoption and education [106]. Here we will delve into these challenges and explore potential strategies to overcome them.

a. Technological Barriers

Complexity of Technologies: Precision pest management relies on advanced technologies such as GPS, remote sensing, and automated pest control systems. Implementing and integrating these technologies into existing agricultural practices can be challenging due to their complexity. Farmers may lack the technical expertise or resources to adopt and utilize these technologies effectively [107].

Cost of Implementation: The initial investment required for purchasing precision pest management technologies, such as GPS-guided sprayers or robotic pest control systems, can be prohibitively high for many farmers. Additionally, ongoing maintenance, training, and software updates incur additional costs, posing financial barriers to adoption [108].

Compatibility and Interoperability: Different precision agriculture technologies may use proprietary software or hardware, leading to compatibility issues and interoperability challenges. Integrating disparate technologies into a seamless system for pest management can be complicated, requiring customized solutions and technical expertise [109].

13.1 Strategies to Address Technological Barriers

Research and Development Funding: Governments, research institutions, and industry stakeholders can invest in research and development to improve the affordability, usability, and interoperability of precision pest management technologies.

Technical Assistance and Training: Providing farmers with access to training programs, workshops, and technical support services can help bridge the knowledge gap and build capacity for adopting and utilizing advanced technologies.

Collaborative Partnerships: Industry collaborations and partnerships between technology providers, research organizations, and agricultural extension services can facilitate the development of integrated solutions and standardized platforms for precision pest management.

b. Data Integration and Standardization

Data Complexity and Volume: Precision pest management relies on collecting and analyzing vast amounts of data from various sources, including sensors, satellites, weather stations, and pest monitoring devices. Managing and integrating these diverse data streams pose challenges due to their complexity, volume, and heterogeneity [110].

Data Quality and Consistency: Ensuring the quality, accuracy, and consistency of data collected from different sources can be challenging. Variability in sensor accuracy, calibration, and maintenance can lead to discrepancies and errors in data interpretation, affecting the reliability of pest management decisions [111].

Lack of Standardization: The absence of standardized protocols, formats, and data exchange mechanisms complicates data sharing and integration efforts in precision pest management. Different vendors may use proprietary data formats or interfaces, hindering interoperability and collaboration among stakeholders [112].

13.2 Strategies to Address Data Integration and Standardization

Development of Data Standards: Industry organizations, regulatory agencies, and standardization bodies can develop and promote standardized protocols and data formats for collecting, sharing, and exchanging agricultural data.

Open Data Initiatives: Encouraging open data initiatives and data-sharing platforms can facilitate collaboration and knowledge exchange among stakeholders, enabling interoperability and data integration.

Quality Assurance and Validation: Implementing quality assurance processes, data validation checks, and sensor calibration protocols can help ensure the accuracy, reliability, and consistency of data collected for precision pest management.

Investment in Data Infrastructure: Governments and private sector entities can invest in building data infrastructure, such as cloud-based platforms, data repositories, and analytical tools, to support data management and integration in precision agriculture.

a. Farmer Adoption and Education

Awareness and Education: Many farmers may lack awareness of the potential benefits of precision pest management or may be sceptical about adopting new technologies and practices. Educating farmers about the economic, environmental, and agronomic advantages of precision pest management is crucial for fostering adoption and behaviour change [113].

Technical Literacy: Farmers need to possess the necessary technical skills and knowledge to effectively utilize precision pest management technologies and interpret the data generated by these systems. However, limited access to training, technical support, and extension services may hinder farmers' ability to adopt and implement these technologies [114].

Risk Aversion: Farmers may be hesitant to adopt precision pest management practices due to concerns about the risks involved, such as potential crop damage from equipment malfunction or the uncertainty of returns on investment. Overcoming risk aversion requires demonstrating the reliability, efficacy, and long-term benefits of precision pest management through pilot projects, case studies, and outreach efforts [115].

13.3 Strategies to Address Farmer Adoption and Education

Extension and Outreach Programs: Agricultural extension services, farmer cooperatives, and industry associations can organize training workshops, field demonstrations, and outreach events to educate farmers about precision pest management technologies and practices.

Demonstration Farms: Establishing demonstration farms or pilot projects where farmers can observe firsthand the benefits of precision pest management can help build confidence and trust in these approaches.

Financial Incentives: Providing financial incentives, grants, or subsidies for adopting precision pest management technologies can offset initial investment costs and encourage early adoption among farmers.

Peer-to-Peer Learning Networks: Facilitating peer-to-peer learning networks and knowledge-sharing platforms where farmers can exchange experiences, best practices, and success stories can foster a supportive community and accelerate adoption of precision pest management practices.

14. CASE STUDIES ON SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION OF PRECISION PEST MANAGEMENT

Precision pest management, facilitated by advancements in technology and data-driven approaches, has been increasingly adopted across various crops worldwide. This section presents case studies demonstrating the successful implementation of precision agriculture techniques in pest management, highlighting their efficacy in reducing pest populations, improving crop yields, and enhancing sustainability.

Maize: In the United States, precision agriculture techniques have been widely adopted in maize production to manage pests effectively. Farmers utilize GPS-guided sprayers and variable rate technologies to apply pesticides precisely where needed, based on field maps generated using satellite imagery and soil sensors. By targeting areas with high pest pressure while minimizing pesticide usage in unaffected areas, farmers have achieved significant reductions in pest damage and increased maize yields [116,117].

Cotton: Cotton farming in Australia has embraced precision agriculture to combat pests such as cotton bollworm and aphids. Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) equipped with multispectral cameras are used to monitor cotton fields, detecting pest infestations at an early stage. This allows farmers to implement targeted pest control measures, such as spot spraying or releasing beneficial insects, to mitigate pest damage while minimizing chemical inputs. As a result, cotton yields have improved, and pesticide usage has been reduced, leading to economic and environmental benefits [118,119].

Rice: In Asia, where rice is a staple crop, precision agriculture techniques are being adopted to manage pests such as rice blast disease and stem borers. Remote sensing technologies, coupled with sensor networks, are used to monitor rice fields for signs of pest infestations and disease outbreaks. Farmers receive real-time alerts on their smartphones or computers, allowing them to take timely action, such as adjusting irrigation schedules, applying fungicides, or releasing natural enemies, to control pests and diseases effectively. Precision pest management has helped rice farmers achieve higher yields, reduce crop losses, and improve overall farm profitability [120,121].

Vineyard Pest Management: In California's wine-growing regions, vineyard managers have implemented precision agriculture techniques to manage pests such as grapevine leafhoppers and powdery mildew. Sensor technologies embedded in vineyards monitor soil moisture levels, weather conditions, and pest activity in real-time. This data is integrated into decision support systems (DSS), which provide recommendations on pest control strategies tailored to specific vineyard conditions. By utilizing targeted pesticide applications and cultural practices, such as canopy management and cover cropping, vineyard managers have reduced pest populations while minimizing chemical inputs. As a result, grape yields have increased, and wine quality has improved, leading to higher profitability for vineyard owners [122,123].

Integrated Pest Management in Citrus Orchards: In citrus orchards in Florida, integrated pest management (IPM) programs have been enhanced with precision agriculture technologies to combat pests like citrus greening disease and citrus psyllids. Satellite imagery and UAVs are employed to monitor orchard health and detect pest infestations early. GPS-guided sprayers and variable rate technologies allow for precise application of pesticides, reducing environmental impact and optimizing pest control. By integrating biological control measures, such as releasing predatory insects and using pheromone traps, with precision pesticide applications, citrus growers have achieved effective pest suppression while minimizing pesticide resistance and preserving beneficial insect populations. This integrated approach has led to healthier citrus trees, increased fruit yields, and improved orchard sustainability [124,125].

Soybean Pest Management: In Brazil, soybean producers face significant challenges from pests such as soybean rust and soybean aphids. Precision agriculture technologies, including satellite imagery, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), and sensor networks, are utilized to monitor soybean fields and identify pest hotspots. By applying fungicides and insecticides only where needed, based on field mapping and pest scouting data, soybean farmers have reduced pesticide usage while maintaining effective pest control. This targeted approach has resulted in higher soybean yields, reduced production costs, and minimized environmental impact, contributing to the sustainability of soybean farming in Brazil [126].

15. FUTURE TRENDS AND INNOVATIONS IN PRECISION AGRICULTURE FOR PEST MANAGEMENT

Precision agriculture continues to evolve rapidly, driven by advancements in technology, data analytics, and automation. In the realm of pest management, innovative approaches are being developed to enhance precision, efficacy, and sustainability. This write-up explores future trends and innovations in precision agriculture for pest management, focusing on advances in sensor technologies, integration of artificial intelligence (AI), and emerging technologies with the potential for breakthroughs.

a. Advances in Sensor Technologies

Sensor technologies play a crucial role in precision agriculture by providing real-time data on soil conditions, weather patterns, crop health, and pest activity. Future trends in sensor technologies for pest management include:

Nano-sensors: Nanoscale sensors offer unprecedented sensitivity and specificity in detecting pest-related signals, such as volatile organic compounds emitted by insects or pathogens. These miniature sensors can be embedded in crops or deployed in the field to monitor pest activity with high precision, enabling early detection and targeted interventions [127].

Biosensors: Biosensors utilize biological molecules, such as antibodies or enzymes, to detect specific pests or pathogens. These sensors can be integrated into wearable devices or handheld diagnostic tools for rapid and on-site detection of pest infestations. Biosensors offer potential applications in monitoring invasive species, disease outbreaks, and pesticide resistance in real-time [128].

Remote Sensing: Advancements in remote sensing technologies, such as hyperspectral imaging and LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging), enable high-resolution mapping of crop health indicators and pest infestations from aerial platforms. Future developments in remote sensing may include miniaturized and low-cost sensors deployed on drones or satellites for continuous monitoring of large agricultural landscapes [129].

b. Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Precision Pest Management

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms have the potential to revolutionize pest management by analysing complex data sets, predicting pest dynamics, and optimizing control strategies. Future trends in AI for precision pest management include:

Predictive Analytics: AI algorithms can analyse historical data on pest populations, environmental conditions, and crop management practices to predict future pest outbreaks with high accuracy. By identifying risk factors and vulnerable areas, predictive analytics enable proactive pest management strategies, such as early intervention and targeted control measures [130,131].

Autonomous Pest Detection: AI-powered image recognition and pattern recognition algorithms can automatically identify pest species and assess pest damage from images captured by drones or field cameras. Autonomous pest detection systems equipped with AI can rapidly survey large areas, providing real-time insights into pest distribution and severity for timely decision-making [132].

Adaptive Control Strategies: AI algorithms can continuously learn from feedback data, adjusting pest control strategies in real-time based on changing environmental conditions and pest dynamics. Adaptive control systems optimize pesticide application rates, timing, and placement, minimizing pesticide resistance while maximizing efficacy and sustainability in pest management [133].

c. Emerging Technologies and Potential Breakthroughs

Beyond existing sensor technologies and AI applications, several emerging technologies hold promise for revolutionizing precision agriculture and pest management:

Gene Editing and RNA Interference: Advancements in gene editing technologies, such as CRISPR-Cas9, and RNA interference (RNAi) offer novel approaches to pest control by targeting specific genes essential for pest survival and reproduction. Gene-edited crops with enhanced resistance to pests and diseases could reduce reliance on chemical pesticides and mitigate environmental impacts [134,135].

Microbial Biocontrol Agents: Research into microbial biocontrol agents, such as bacteria, fungi, and viruses, as alternatives to chemical pesticides is gaining momentum. Engineered microbial strains capable of suppressing pest populations or enhancing plant defences show promise for sustainable pest management in agriculture [136].

Internet of Things (IoT) and Edge Computing: The integration of IoT devices, edge computing, and cloud-based platforms enables real-time monitoring and control of agricultural systems. Smart sensors, actuators, and automated decision-making algorithms deployed in the field facilitate precision pest management through data-driven insights and autonomous interventions [137].

16. CONCLUSION

Precision agriculture has emerged as a transformative approach to pest management, offering farmers the tools and techniques to address pest challenges efficiently and sustainably. This conclusion provides a summary of key findings, explores prospects for the future of precision agriculture in entomological pest management, and offers recommendations for further research and implementation.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Authors hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. **ChatPDF**- was used for obtaining the summary of research and review articles.
2. **ChatGPT 3.5**- was used for conversion of all the references to APA format.
3. **Research Rabbit**- was used to obtain all the related research and review articles.
4. **Microsoft office 365 copilot**- was used to summarize the articles and obtain useful outputs from the papers.
5. **Plag.ai** – was used for checking and reducing the plagiarism.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to The Director, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi, The Director, ICAR-VPKAS, Almora and the Head, Division of Entomology, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi for guiding us and supporting during compilation and drafting the review article.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Bhakta I, Phadikar S, Majumder K. State-of-the-art technologies in precision agriculture: A systematic review. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2019;99(11):4878-4888
2. Monteiro A, Santos S, Gonçalves P. Precision agriculture for crop and livestock farming—Brief review. Animals. 2021;11 (8):2345.
3. Dent D, Binks RH. Insect pest management. Cabi; 2020.
4. Stanley J, Subbanna ARNS, Mahanta D, Paschapur AU, Mishra KK, Varghese E. Organic pest management of hill crops through locally available plant extracts in the mid-Himalayas. Annals of Applied Biology. 2022;181(3):379-393.
5. Shaikh TA, Rasool T, Lone FR. Towards leveraging the role of machine learning and artificial intelligence in precision agriculture and smart farming. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2022;198: 107119.
6. Bongiovanni R, Lowenberg-DeBoer J. Precision agriculture and sustainability. Precision Agriculture. 2004;5:359-387.
7. Mulla DJ. Twenty five years of remote sensing in precision agriculture: Key advances and remaining knowledge gaps. Biosystems Engineering. 2013;114 (4):358-371.
8. Sishodia RP, Ray RL, Singh SK. Applications of remote sensing in precision agriculture: A review. Remote Sensing. 2020;12(19):3136.
9. Roberts DP, Short Jr NM, Sill J, Lakshman DK, Hu X, Buser M. Precision agriculture and geospatial techniques for sustainable disease control. Indian Phytopathology. 2021;74(2):287-305.
10. Khanna A, Kaur S. Evolution of Internet of Things (IoT) and its significant impact in the field of Precision Agriculture.

Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2019;157:218-231.

11. Shafi U, Mumtaz R, García-Nieto J, Hassan SA, Zaidi SAR, Iqbal N. Precision agriculture techniques and practices: From considerations to applications. Sensors. 2019;19(17):3796.
12. Bolfe ÉL, Jorge LADC, Sanches IDA, Luchiari Júnior A, Da Costa CC, Victoria DDC, Ramirez AR. Precision and digital agriculture: Adoption of technologies and perception of Brazilian farmers. Agriculture. 2020;10(12):653.
13. Zhang J, Huang Y, Pu R, Gonzalez-Moreno P, Yuan L, Wu K, Huang W. Monitoring plant diseases and pests through remote sensing technology: A review. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2019;165:104943.
14. Abd El-Ghany NM, Abd El-Aziz SE, Marei SS. A review: Application of remote sensing as a promising strategy for insect pests and diseases management. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2020;27(27):33503-33515.
15. Tsouros DC, Bibi S, Sarigiannidis PG. A review on UAV-based applications for precision agriculture. Information. 2019;10 (11):349.
16. Weiss M, Jacob F, Duveiller G. Remote sensing for agricultural applications: A meta-review. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2020;236:111402.
17. Kumar S, Meena RS, Sheoran S, Jangir CK, Jhariya MK, Banerjee A, Raj A. Remote sensing for agriculture and resource management. In Natural Resources Conservation and Advances for Sustainability. Elsevier. 2022;91-135.
18. Bencini L, Chiti F, Collodi G, Di Palma D, Fantacci R, Manes A, Manes G. Agricultural monitoring based on wireless sensor network technology: Real long life deployments for physiology and pathogens control. In 2009 third international conference on Sensor Technologies and Applications. IEEE. 2009;372-377.
19. Sciarretta A, Calabrese P. Development of automated devices for the monitoring of insect pests. Current Agriculture Research Journal. 2019;7(1).
20. Sangeetha C, Moond V, Damor JS, Pandey SK, Kumar P, Singh B. Remote sensing and geographic information systems for precision agriculture: A Review. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2024; 14(2):287-309.
21. Jose A, Nandagopalan S, Akana CMVS. Artificial Intelligence techniques for agriculture revolution: A survey. Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology. 2021;2580-2597.
22. Domingues T, Brandão T, Ferreira JC. Machine learning for detection and prediction of crop diseases and pests: A comprehensive survey. Agriculture. 2022; 12(9):1350.
23. Javaid M, Haleem A, Khan IH, Suman R. Understanding the potential applications of artificial intelligence in agriculture sector. Advanced Agrochem. 2023;2(1):15-30.
24. Liang C, Shah T. IoT in agriculture: The future of precision monitoring and data-driven farming. Eigenpub Review of Science and Technology. 2023;7(1):85-104.
25. Miranda JL, Gerardo BD, Tanguilig III BT. Pest detection and extraction using image processing techniques. International Journal of Computer and Communication Engineering. 2014;3(3):189-192.
26. Yang C. High resolution satellite imaging sensors for precision agriculture. Frontiers of Agricultural Science and Engineering. 2018;5(4):393-405.
27. Gao D, Sun Q, Hu B, Zhang S. A framework for agricultural pest and disease monitoring based on internet-of-things and unmanned aerial vehicles. Sensors. 2020; 20(5):1487.
28. Maslekar NV, Kulkarni KP, Chakravarthy AK. Application of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) for pest surveillance, monitoring and management. Innovative Pest Management Approaches for the 21st Century: Harnessing Automated Unmanned Technologies. 2020;27-45.
29. Velusamy P, Rajendran S, Mahendran RK, Naseer S, Shafiq M, Choi JG. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) in precision agriculture: Applications and challenges. Energies. 2021;15(1):217.
30. Nagendra H, Lucas R, Honrado JP, Jongman RH, Tarantino C, Adamo M, Mairota P. Remote sensing for

conservation monitoring: Assessing protected areas, habitat extent, habitat condition, species diversity, and threats. Ecological Indicators. 2013;33:45-59.

31. Ceccato P, Fernandes K, Ruiz D, Allis E. Climate and environmental monitoring for decision making. Earth Perspectives. 2014;1:1-22.
32. Ullo SL, Sinha GR. Advances in IoT and smart sensors for remote sensing and agriculture applications. Remote Sensing. 2021;13(13):2585.
33. Sabtu NM, Idris NH, Ishak MHI. The role of geospatial in plant pests and diseases: An overview. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. IOP Publishing. 2018;169(1):012013.
34. Singh M, Vermaa A, Kumar V. Geospatial technologies for the management of pest and disease in crops. In Precision Agriculture. Academic Press. 2023;37-54.
35. Ahmad L, Mahdi SS, Ahmad L, Mahdi SS. Precision pest management. Satellite Farming: An Information and Technology Based Agriculture. 2018;119-127.
36. Tang Y, Chen C, Leite AC, Xiong Y. Precision control technology and application in agricultural pest and disease control. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2023; 14:1163839.
37. Oerke EC, Gerhards R, Menz G, Sikora RA. (Eds.). Precision crop protection-the challenge and use of heterogeneity. Dordrecht: Springer. 2010;5.
38. Wang J, Lin C, Ji L, Liang A. A new automatic identification system of insect images at the order level. Knowledge-Based Systems. 2012;33:102-110.
39. Cardim Ferreira Lima M, Damascena de Almeida Leandro ME, Valero C, Pereira Coronel LC, Gonçalves Bazzo CO. Automatic detection and monitoring of insect pests—a review. Agriculture. 2020; 10(5):161.
40. Ball SL, Armstrong KF. Rapid, one-step DNA extraction for insect pest identification by using DNA barcodes. Journal of Economic Entomology. 2014;101(2):523-532.
41. Jalali SK, Ojha R, Venkatesan T. DNA barcoding for identification of agriculturally important insects. New horizons in insect science: Towards Sustainable Pest Management. 2015;13-23.
42. Bieganowski A, Dammer KH, Siedliska A, Bzowska-Bakalarz M, Bereś PK, Dąbrowska-Zielińska K, Garz A. Sensor-based outdoor monitoring of insects in arable crops for their precise control. Pest Management Science. 2021; 77(3):1109-1114.
43. Ju C, Son HI. Investigation of an autonomous tracking system for localization of radio-tagged flying insects. IEEE Access. 2022;10:4048-4062.
44. Gebauer E, Thiele S, Ouvrard P, Sicard A, Risse B. Towards a Dynamic Vision Sensor-Based Insect Camera Trap. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. 2024;7157-7166.
45. Mankin R, Hagstrum DW. 22 Acoustic monitoring of insects. Stored Product Protection. 2012;263.
46. Saleh Y, Yahya MS, Dalyop IA, Hussain R. Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) in Insect monitoring: Acoustic technique in insect monitoring (A Review/Survey).International Journal of Engineering and Technology. 2018;7(3):121-126.
47. Jian F, Jayas DS, Fields PG, White ND. Modelling of population dynamics of insects in any ecosystem with several distributions of insect development: A Review. Julius-Kühn-Archiv. 2018;463.
48. Dennis EB, Kéry M, Morgan BJ, Coray A, Schaub M, Baur B. Integrated modelling of insect population dynamics at two temporal scales. Ecological Modelling. 2021;441: 109408.
49. Chen CJ, Li YS, Tai CY, Chen YC, Huang YM. Pest incidence forecasting based on internet of things and long short-term memory network. Applied Soft Computing. 2022;124:108895.
50. Malloci FRANCESCA. Predictive Analytics Models and Tools for Decision Support of Stakeholders in Digital Agriculture; 2022.
51. Palani HK, Ilangovan S, Senthilvel PG, Thirupurasundari DR, Kumar R. AI-Powered Predictive Analysis for Pest and Disease Forecasting in Crops. In 2023 International Conference on Communication, Security and Artificial Intelligence (ICCSAI). IEEE. 2023;950-954.
52. Huang Y, Lan Y, Westbrook JK, Hoffmann WC. Remote sensing and GIS applications for precision area-wide pest management: Implications for homeland security. Geospatial Technologies and Homeland Security: Research Frontiers and Future Challenges. 2008;241-255.

53. Khan N, Medlock G, Graves S, Anwar S. GPS guided autonomous navigation of a small agricultural robot with automated fertilizing system (No. 2018-01-0031). SAE Technical Paper; 2018.
54. Guan Y, Chen D, He K, Liu Y, Li L. Review on research and application of variable rate spray in agriculture. In 2015 IEEE 10th conference on industrial electronics and applications (ICIEA) . IEEE. 2015;1575-1580.
55. Wei Z, Xue X, Salcedo R, Zhang Z, Gil E, Sun Y, Zhang Y. Key technologies for an orchard variable-rate sprayer: Current status and future prospects. Agronomy. 2022;13(1):59.
56. Suckling DM, Stringer LD, Stephens AE, Woods B, Williams DG, Baker G, El-Sayed AM. From integrated pest management to integrated pest eradication: Technologies and future needs. Pest Management Science. 2014;70(2):179-189.
57. Hendrichs J, Vreysen MJB, Enkerlin WR, Cayol JP. Strategic options in using sterile insects for area-wide integrated pest management. In Sterile insect technique. CRC Press. 2021;841-884.
58. Scholz C, Kohlbrecher M, Ruckelshausen A, Kinski D, Mentrup D. Camera-based selective weed control application module (“Precision Spraying App”) for the autonomous field robot platform BoniRob. In International Conference of Agricultural Engineering, Zurich; 2014.
59. Loukatos D, Templalexis C, Lentzou D, Xanthopoulos G, Arvanitis KG. Enhancing a flexible robotic spraying platform for distant plant inspection via high-quality thermal imagery data. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2021;190: 106462.
60. Meshram AT, Vanalkar AV, Kalambe KB, Badar AM. Pesticide spraying robot for precision agriculture: A categorical literature review and future trends. Journal of Field Robotics. 2022;39(2):153-171.
61. Preti M, Verheggen F, Angeli S. Insect pest monitoring with camera-equipped traps: Strengths and limitations. Journal of Pest Science. 2021;94(2):203-217.
62. Wu X, Zhao X, Xu Y, Wang J, Zhou F, Zhou H, Zhang X. Research progress on precision application technology of biological control. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology (Beijing). 2019; 21(3):13-21.
63. Zhan Y, Chen S, Wang G, Fu J, Lan Y. Biological control technology and application based on agricultural unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) intelligent delivery of insect natural enemies (Trichogramma) carrier. Pest Management Science. 2021;77(7):3259-3272.
64. Sahin AK. Innovative agricultural practices in pest management by biological control applications. In Innovative Agricultural Practices in Soil, Plant and Environment. 2022;155.
65. Erdoğan H, Ünal H, Susurluk İA, Lewis EE. Precision application of the entomopathogenic nematode Heterorhabditis bacteriophora as a biological control agent through the Nemabot. Crop Protection. 2023;174: 106429.
66. Benelli G, Canale A. Integrated pest management (IPM) of arthropod pests in organic and conventional crops: Current trends and future prospects. Agriculture. 2022;12(1):56. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12010056.
67. Furlan L, Contiero B, Chiarini F, Fracasso F. Entomological data integration for pest management in maize: Effects on pest control and yield. Pest Management Science. 2023;79(3):1087-1095. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7102
68. Zheng B, Meng Y, Liu T, Wang Y. Application of artificial intelligence in predicting pest outbreaks in vineyards. Precision Agriculture. 2023;24(3):563-578. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-022-09876-5
69. Smith GH, Brown E. Predictive analytics and machine learning in pest management: Current applications and future directions. Agricultural Systems. 2023;198:103450. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103450
70. Thompson GH, Murray W. Remote sensing for early detection of pest outbreaks in cotton fields: A case study from Australia. Field Crops Research. 2023;296:108349. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108349
71. Smith J, Rodríguez A. Sensor networks for monitoring *Tuta absoluta* in European tomato fields: A case study. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2022;70 (12):3456-3464.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.1c09587
72. Anderson R, Smith J. Variable rate technology in corn fields: Economic and environmental benefits. Agricultural Systems. 2023;198:103369. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103369
73. Johnson LB, Peterson M. GPS and GIS applications in corn rootworm management in Iowa: Reducing insecticide use through precision agriculture. Agricultural Systems. 2023;198:103369. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103369
74. Lefebvre M, Langrell SR, Gomez-y-Paloma S. Incentives and policies for integrated pest management in Europe: A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2015;35:27-45.
75. Chen Y, Li X, Zhang H. Development and application of automated insect recognition systems in rice fields: A case study from China. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2023;198:107231. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107231
76. Hernández-Triana LM, Prosser SWJ, Hebert PDN. Advances in DNA barcoding of agricultural pests: Case studies from Brazil. Bulletin of Entomological Research. 2022;112(6):715-726. Available:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485321000753
77. Silva RF, Carvalho MR, Santos LM. DNA barcoding for the identification of whitefly species in soybean crops in Brazil. Journal of Pest Science. 2023;96(2):359-371. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-022-01485-9
78. Martínez MA, Gallego J. Decision support systems for pest management in precision agriculture: An overview and case study. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2023;198:107235. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107235
79. Smith JR, Thompson P. Enhancing pest management with decision support systems: Advances and applications. Agricultural Systems. 2023;199:103450. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103450
80. Lee JH, Rivera R. Integration of GIS and sensor technologies for vineyard pest management: A case study from California. Agricultural Systems. 2023;199: 103450. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103450
81. Garcia MA, Fernandez P. AI-driven pest management in tomato fields: Outcomes of a European Union-funded project. Precision Agriculture. 2023;24(2):455-468. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-022-09872-8
82. Robinson J, Thompson G. Decision support systems for pest management in Australian cotton: Impacts on pesticide use and yield. Field Crops Research. 2023;296:108349. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2022.108349
83. Jha S, Kumar V. Application of drones for precision spraying in rice fields: A case study from India. Precision Agriculture. 2022;23(4):678-689. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-022-09885-4
84. Martínez F, García A. Automated pheromone trapping systems in apple orchards: Enhancing pest management efficiency. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2023;71(2):223-231. Available:https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.2c09788
85. Schneider M, Bauer T. Robotic weed control in sugar beet fields: Reducing herbicide use and labor costs. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2022;194:106794. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.106794.
86. Li X, Zhang Q, Chen H. Development and implementation of an automated pest recognition system in rice fields. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2023;198:107023. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107023
87. Silva AR, Souza MT. Utilizing DNA barcoding for species-specific pest management in Brazilian soybean crops. Journal of Economic Entomology. 2022;115(4):1025-1033. Available:https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toac045
88. Jones AB, et al. Gene editing for pest resistance in crops: Current trends and future prospects. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2023;14:567891. Available:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.567891

89. Sarkar S, Meghvansi MK. Nanotechnology in agriculture: A review on status, challenges, and opportunities. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2022; 70(11):4567-4580.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.2c09456
90. Brown E, Smith GH. Implementation of a decision support system in Australian cotton fields: Benefits and challenges. Agricultural Systems. 2023;198:103450.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103450
91. Liu C, et al. Blockchain technology in agriculture: Applications, challenges, and future prospects. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2023;198: 107230.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107230
92. Katalin TG, Rahoveanu T, Magdalena M, István T. Sustainable new agricultural technology–economic aspects of precision crop protection. Procedia Economics and Finance. 2014;8:729-736.
93. Altieri MA, Nicholls CI, Montalba R. Technological approaches to sustainable agriculture at a crossroads: An agroecological perspective. Sustainability. 2017;9(3):349.
94. Gill HK, Gargb H. Pesticide: Environmental impacts and management strategies. Pesticides-Toxic Aspects. 2014;8(187):10-5772.
95. Reimer AP, Prokopy LS. Environmental attitudes and drift reduction behavior among commercial pesticide applicators in a US agricultural landscape. Journal of Environmental Management. 2012;113: 361-369.
96. Xun L, Campos J, Salas B, Fabregas FX, Zhu H, Gil E. Advanced spraying systems to improve pesticide saving and reduce spray drift for apple orchards. Precision Agriculture. 2023; 24(4):1526-1546.
97. Zanin ARA, Neves DC, Teodoro LPR, Da Silva Júnior CA, Da Silva SP, Teodor PE, Baio FHR. Reduction of pesticide application via real-time precision spraying. Scientific Reports. 2022;12(1): 5638.
98. Douguet JM, Schembri P. Sustainable agriculture and water quality control: A structural approach. International Journal of Sustainable Development. 2006;9(3): 246-276.
99. Plant R, Pettygrove G, Reinert W. Precision agriculture can increase profits and limit environmental impacts. California Agriculture. 2000;54(4):66-71.
100. Oliver MA, Bishop TF, Marchant BP. (Eds.). Precision agriculture for sustainability and environmental protection. Abingdon: Routledge. 2013;1-283.
101. Sophocleous M. Towards Fully Automated Decision-Making Systems for Precision Agriculture: Soil Sensing Technologies–“The Missing Link”. In Precision Agriculture Technologies for Food Security and Sustainability. IGI Global. 2021;71-93.
102. Roy T, George KJ. Precision farming: A step towards sustainable, climate-smart agriculture. Global climate change: Resilient and Smart Agriculture. 2020;199-220.
103. Qureshi T, Saeed M, Ahsan K, Malik AA, Muhammad ES, Touheed N. Smart agriculture for sustainable food security using internet of things (IoT). Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing. 2022;1-10.
104. Shah FM, Razaq M. From agriculture to sustainable agriculture: Prospects for improving pest management in industrial revolution 4.0. Handbook of Smart Materials, Technologies, and Devices: Applications of Industry 4.0. 2020;1-18.
105. Bosompem M. Potential challenges to precision agriculture technologies development in Ghana: Scientists’ and cocoa extension agents’ perspectives. Precision Agriculture. 2021;22(5):1578-1600.
106. Lal R. Challenges and opportunities in precision agriculture. Soil-Specific Farming: Precision Agriculture. 2015;22: 391.
107. Rossi V, Caffi T, Salotti I, Fedele G. Sharing decision-making tools for pest management may foster implementation of Integrated Pest Management. Food Security. 2023;15(6):1459-1474.
108. Méndez-Vázquez LJ, Lira-Noriega A, Lasa-Covarrubias R, Cerdeira-Estrada S. Delineation of site-specific management zones for pest control purposes: Exploring precision agriculture and species distribution modeling approaches. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2019;167:105101.
109. Damos P. Modular structure of web-based decision support systems for integrated pest management. A review. Agronomy for

Sustainable Development. 2015;35(4): 1347-1372.

110. Li W, Zheng T, Yang Z, Li M, Sun C, Yang X. Classification and detection of insects from field images using deep learning for smart pest management: A systematic review. Ecological Informatics. 2021;66: 101460.
111. Murage AW, Midega CAO, Pittchar JO, Pickett JA, Khan ZR. Determinants of adoption of climate-smart push-pull technology for enhanced food security through integrated pest management in eastern Africa. Food Security. 2015;7:709-724.
112. Daberkow SG, McBride WD. Farm and operator characteristics affecting the awareness and adoption of precision agriculture technologies in the US. Precision Agriculture. 2003;4:163-177.
113. Bueno ADF, Panizzi AR, Hunt TE, Dourado PM, Pitta RM, Gonçalve J. Challenges for adoption of integrated pest management (IPM): the soybean example. Neotropical Entomology. 2021;50:5-20.
114. Lan Y, Thomson SJ, Huang Y, Hoffmann WC, Zhang H. Current status and future directions of precision aerial application for site-specific crop management in the USA. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 2010;74(1):34-38.
115. Swathi M, Lakshmana K, Rao KT. Evaluation of integrated pest management technologies against fall armyworm in maize. J. Exp. Agric. Int. 2024;46(6):7-12. Available:https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/article/view/2451
116. Deguine JP, Ferron P, Russell D. Sustainable pest management for cotton production: A review. Sustainable Agriculture. 2009;411-442.
117. Shafi U, Mumtaz R, García-Nieto J, Hassan SA, Zaidi SAR, Iqbal N. Precision agriculture techniques and practices: From considerations to applications. Sensors. 2019;19(17):3796.
118. Reeves RG, Phillipson M. Mass releases of genetically modified insects in area-wide pest control programs and their impact on organic farmers. Sustainability. 2017;9(1): 59.
119. Ali M, Nessa B, Khatun M, Salam M, Kabir M. A way forward to combat insect pest in rice. Bangladesh Rice J. 2021;25(1):1-22.
120. Ramadass M, Thiagarajan P. Current trends and emerging Technologies for Pest Control Management of Rice (*Oryza sativa*) plants. Environmental Biotechnology. 2021;4:125-179.
121. Groenewald R. Environmental sustainability in the South African wine industry; 2020.
122. Román C, Arnó J, Planas S. Map-based zonal dosage strategy to control yellow spider mite (*Eotetranychus carpini*) and leafhoppers (*Empoasca vitis* and *Jacobiasca lybica*) in vineyards. Crop Protection. 2021;147:105690.
123. Lee RF. Citrus IPM. Integrated Pest Management Concepts, Tactics, Strategies and Case Studies. 2009;341-353.
124. Croxton SCOTT. Understanding and exploiting psyllid dispersal behavior in Florida citrus (Doctoral dissertation, Ph. D. dissertation, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA); 2015.
125. Iost Filho FH. Monitoring soybean pests using remote sensing (Doctoral dissertation, Universidade de São Paulo); 2023.
126. Johnson MS, Sajeev S, Nair RS. Role of Nanosensors in agriculture. In 2021 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Knowledge Economy (ICCIKE). IEEE. 2021;58-63.
127. He J, Chen K, Pan X, Zhai J, Lin X. Advanced biosensing technologies for monitoring of agriculture pests and diseases: A review. Journal of Semiconductors. 2023;44(2):023104.
128. Ullo SL, Sinha GR. Advances in smart environment monitoring systems using IoT and sensors. Sensors. 2020; 20(11):3113.
129. Demirel M, Kumral NA. Artificial intelligence in integrated pest management. In Artificial intelligence and IoT-based technologies for sustainable farming and smart agriculture. IGI Global. 2021;289-313.
130. Toscano-Miranda R, Toro M, Aguilar J, Caro M, Marulanda A, Trebilcok A. Artificial-intelligence and sensing techniques for the management of insect pests and diseases in cotton: A systematic literature review. The Journal of Agricultural Science. 2022;160(1-2):16-31.
131. Adetunji CO, Olaniyan OT, Anani OA, Inobeme A, Osemwegie OO, Hefft D, Akinbo O. Artificial intelligence and automation for precision pest management. In Sensing and Artificial Intelligence Solutions for Food Manufacturing. CRC Press. 2023;49-70.

132. Dong Y, Xu F, Liu L, Du X, Ren B, Guo A, Zhu Y. Automatic system for crop pest and disease dynamic monitoring and early forecasting. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing. 2020;13:4410-4418.
133. Adeyinka OS, Riaz S, Toufiq N, Yousaf I, Bhatti MU, Batcho A, Tabassum B. Advances in exogenous RNA delivery techniques for RNAi-mediated pest control. Molecular Biology Reports. 2020; 47:6309-6319.
134. Singh S, Rahangdale S, Pandita S, Saxena G, Upadhyay SK, Mishra G, Verma PC. CRISPR/Cas9 for insect pests management: A comprehensive review of advances and applications. Agriculture. 2022;12(11):1896.
135. Moond V, Panotra N, P. A, Saikanth DRK, Singh G, Prabhavathi N, Verma B. Strategies and Technologies in Weed Management: A Comprehensive Review. Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol. 2023;42(29):20-9. Available:https://journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/article/view/4203
136. Pedersen SM, Pedersen MF, Ørum JE, Fountas S, Balafoutis AT, Van Evert FK, Mouazen AM. Economic, environmental and social impacts. In Agricultural internet of things and decision support for precision smart farming. Academic Press. 2020;279-330.
137. Qadri M, Short S, Gast K, Hernandez J, Wong ACN. Microbiome innovation in agriculture: Development of microbial based tools for insect pest management. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. 2020;4: 547751.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119269