



Annual Research & Review in Biology

Volume 39, Issue 1, Page 38-47, 2024; Article no.ARRB.111899

ISSN: 2347-565X, NLM ID: 101632869

(Past name: Annual Review & Research in Biology, Past ISSN: 2231-4776)

Response of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) to Different Bio-Regulators under Varying Fertility Levels

R. P. Damor ^{a++*}, D. M. Patel ^{a#}, A. H. Chaudhari ^{b†},
J. J. Patel ^{a++} and V.C. Ganvit ^{c++}

^a Department of Agronomy, C.P. College of Agriculture, Dantiwada, India.

^b College of Horticulture, S.D.A.U., Jagudan, India.

^c N.M. College of Agriculture, Navsari, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARRB/2024/v39i130627

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111899>

Original Research Article

Received: 20/11/2023

Accepted: 25/01/2024

Published: 07/02/2024

ABSTRACT

A field experiment on "Response of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) to different bio-regulators under varying fertility levels" was carried out at Agronomy Instructional Farm, Chimanbhai Patel College of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during *rabi* 2017-18. With regard to the effect of different bio-regulators on mustard, all growth attributes studied during the course of investigation such as dry matter accumulation per plant, number of primary branches and secondary branches per plant showed significant improvement due to application of cycocel (CCC) @ 1500 ppm (B₃). Whereas significantly the lowest plant height was exhibited under treatment B₃. Similarly, treatments B₃ (Cycocel @ 1500 ppm) and B₁ (Brassinosteroid @ 0.5 ppm) remained

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Agronomy;

[#] Associate Professor (H.O.D.);

[†] Senior Research Assistant;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: damoragri33@gmail.com;

comparable and exhibited significantly higher values of yield components and quality parameters viz., number of seeds per siliqua, number of siliquae per plant, test weight, seed yield per plant, protein content and oil yield. Application of cycocel @ 1500 ppm (B₃) also significantly increased N and S content in seed and stover and also resulted in significant improvement in uptake of N, P, S and Zn in seed, stover and total uptake followed by treatment B₁ (Brassinosteroid @ 0.5 ppm). However, harvest index, phosphorus and zinc content in seed and stover as well as available nutrients status in the soil (N, P₂O₅, S and Zn) did not influenced due to application of different bio-regulators. Treatment B₃ (Cycocel @ 1500 ppm) realized the highest net return (₹ 64,090/ha) followed by treatment B₁ (Brassinosteroid @ 0.5 ppm). The results of the present investigation indicated that all the growth attributes in terms of plant height, number of primary and secondary branches per plant; yield attributes viz., number of seeds per siliqua, number of siliquae per plant, test weight, seed yield per plant, seed, stover yield and quality parameters viz., oil content, oil yield and protein content showed significant improvement due to application of balanced fertilization treatment N₄ (N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀Zn_{1.7}), though it was at par with treatment N₃ (N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀). Application of N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀Zn_{1.7} (N₄) registered significantly higher N, P₂O₅, S and Zn content (%) in seed and stover and seed, stover and total uptake by mustard followed by treatment N₃ (N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀). Similarly, application of N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀Zn_{1.7} (N₄) noted significantly higher available N (180.38 kg/ha), P₂O₅(39.68 kg/ha), S (8.49 mg/kg) and Zn (0.46 mg/kg), being at par with treatment N₃ (N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀) except available Zn status in soil. From economic point of view, the maximum net return (₹ 64,897/ha) and benefit cost ratio (3.13) were received with application of 50 kg N + 50 kg P₂O₅+ 40 kg S + 1.7 kg Zn/ha (N₄) followed by treatment N₃ (N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀).

Keywords: Bio-regulators; fertility levels; cycocel; salicylic acid; brassinosteroid; nitrogen; phosphorus; sulphur; zinc.

1. INTRODUCTION

Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* L.] is most important and highly promising *rabi* oilseed crop under different agro-climatic conditions because of its wide adaptability and comparatively high production potential. It performs well on sandy loam to loamy sand and as rainfed crop on conserved moisture on medium black soil in larger parts of Northern and Northern-Western regions of India. Among the various oilseed crops grown in India, mustard and rapeseed assume significance in the national economy by occupying second position in the area and production next to groundnut. The oil is used as cooking oil, medicine, industrial purposes, hair oil, pickles oil, grease, vegetable ghee etc. Its seed is also used as condiment. The oil content in mustard seeds varies from 37 to 40 per cent. Its cake is used as cattle feed and as a manure. Worldwide, India is the fourth largest mustard producer. The productivity of oilseed crops are low due to (i) their allocation to rainfed situation (91 per cent) and (ii) poor nourishment that too with imbalance use of fertilizers. Modern agriculture is based on high yielding crop varieties, intensive cropping, use of high analysis fertilizers and irrigation. Intensive cropping and exploiting agriculture deplete secondary and micronutrients reserves of the soil and have an adverse effect on crop yield.

Nitrogen is one of the important plant nutrients and it invariably improves the vegetative growth which is manifested through increase in primary and secondary branches, number of siliqua and dry matter production per plant which ultimately leads to higher seed yield. Nitrogen also improves the quality of produce. Both nitrogen and sulphur are closely linked in protein metabolism and thus the relationship between S and N in plant reported to be synergistic. N and S increase the concentration and uptake of one another in the plant [1]. Among the primary nutrient, phosphorus plays an important role in plant growth and development. Phosphorus plays many essential functions in plant life and it's role in energy storage and transfer is the most important, which acts as "Energy currency" within plant. Sulphur deficiency is wide-spread in India. Experimental evidences suggest that deficiency of this element can reduce crop yields up to 35 per cent even without appearance of visible symptoms on plants [2]. Zinc plays an important role in plant system for the proper growth and development. Zinc is an important constituent of several enzymes which regulates various metabolic processes in the plants and also influence the formation of several growth hormones in plants. Zinc stimulates the pod setting, seed formation and oil synthesis in the seeds of mustard and increases the biological (seed and stover) yield of mustard.

Cycocel (2-Chloro ethyl 3-methyl ammonium chloride) is the most usual anionic plant growth regulator. There are reports that anionic compounds treated plants were tolerant to drought conditions compared to untreated plants. Brassinosteroid enhances cell division, cell elongation and also cell differentiation. It promotes the polymerase activities of RNA and DNA and their replication, transcription and translation. It increases proton pump action and regulates plant metabolism for improved growth [3]. Exogenous application of salicylic acid enhances the growth and productivity of plants. The flower inducing domain of salicylic acid is important phytohormone that can enhance flowering in a variety of ornamental plants. Foliar application of brassinosteroid [4], salicylic acid [5] and cycocel [6] have been reported to be effective for enhancing mustard and other crops productivity by some worker under different environmental condition. It has been reported that these bio-regulators play vital role in greater participating of photosynthates toward reproductive sink thereby improving harvest index.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in plot B-8 at the Agronomy Instructional Farm, Chimanbhai Patel College of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during *rabi* season of the year 2017-18. The experiment comprising of sixteen treatment combinations consisting of four bio-regulators viz., B₀: Water spray, B₁: Brassinosteroid @ 0.5 ppm, B₂: Salicylic acid @ 100 ppm and B₃: Cycocel @ 1500 ppm and four fertility levels viz., N₁: 50 kg N/ha, N₂: 50 kg N/ha + 50 kg P₂O₅/ha, N₃: 50 kg N/ha + 50 kg P₂O₅/ha + 40 kg S/ha and N₄: 50 kg N/ha + 50 kg P₂O₅/ha + 40 kg S/ha + 1.7 kg Zn/ha. These treatment combinations were replicated three in a randomized block design with factorial concept and variety GDM 4 was taken as test crop. The soil of the experimental field was loamy sand in texture, low in organic carbon (0.18 %) and available nitrogen (175 kg/ha), medium in available P₂O₅ (37.1 kg/ha) and low in available sulphur (6.9 kg/ha) and zinc (0.31 ppm) with soil pH of 7.3. Geographically, Sardarkrushinagar is situated at 24°19' N latitude and 72°19' E longitude with an altitude of 154.52 m above the mean sea level. It is located in the North Gujarat Agro-climatic Zone. The winter season is fairly cold and dry start from end of October and continues till the end of February. The minimum temperature of the year is reached in the months

of December and January. The seed rate was used 3.5 kg per ha with 45 x 15 cm spacing. The foliar spray of brassinosteroid @ 0.5 ppm, salicylic acid @ 100 ppm and cycocel @ 1500 ppm as well as water spray in control were made at 35 and 50 DAS as per treatments. A spray volume of 500 lit/ha was used in experiment. The total quantity of phosphorus and zinc and half dose of nitrogen were applied in opened furrow at the time of sowing as per treatments. The remaining half dose of nitrogen was top dressed at 32 DAS at the time of third irrigation. Elemental sulphur was incubated by mixing the required quantity of elemental sulphur with the soil of same plot in which to be applied. Elemental sulphur was thoroughly mixed with soil and kept in shade by covering with gunny bag. It was moistened through frequent sprinkling of water for 21 days for resulting to convert the insoluble sulphur in to soluble form.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect on bio-regulators

Plant population of mustard at 20 DAS and at harvest was not varied significantly due to foliar application of bio-regulators. Foliar application of brassinosteroid @ 0.5 ppm (B₁) resulted into significantly higher plant height at 45, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest, Whereas cycocel spray drastically reduced plant height at different growth stages. Dry matter accumulation per plant at different growth period recorded maximum under treatment cycocel @ 1500 ppm (B₃) followed by foliar application of brassinosteroid (B₁). Remarkably higher number of primary and secondary branches per plant was recorded with treatment B₃ being at par with treatment B₁. With respect to improvement in yield attributes viz., numbers of seeds per siliqua, number of siliquae per plant, test weight, seed yield per plant, seed yield and stover yield, treatment B₃ (foliar application of cycocel @ 1500 ppm) emerged out as the best treatment being at par with treatment B₁ (Brassinosteroid @ 0.5 ppm). Harvest index was not significantly affected due to different treatments of bio-regulators. Among the bio-regulators, treatment cycocel gave significantly higher oil yield followed by treatment brassinosteroid. But oil content did not differ significantly due to different bio-regulator treatments. Higher protein content was noted under treatment B₃, but found at par with treatments B₁ and B₂. Treatment cycocel spray (B₃) registered maximum concentration of N and

S in seed as well as stover of mustard over control, but it did not differ significantly with respect to P and Zn content. N, P, S and Zn uptake in seed, stover as well as total uptake were highest under treatment B₃ (foliar application of cycocel @ 1500 ppm) followed by treatment B₁. In present experimentation, available nutrient status of N, P₂O₅, S and Zn were not influenced significantly due to spray of bio-regulators. Maximum gross realization (₹ 94491/ha), net return (₹ 64090/ha) with BCR of 3.11 was secured with treatment B₃ (foliar application of cycocel @ 1500 ppm) followed by treatment B₁. Brassinosteroid enhances cell division, cell elongation and also cell differentiation which is expressed morphologically through increase in plant height. It promotes the polymerase activities of RNA and DNA and their replication, transcription and translation. It increases proton pump action and regulates plant metabolism for improved growth and plant height. Cycocel on account of its regulatory role played in inhibition of cell division resulted in drastic reduction in plant height. Nakul [7] reported that application cycocel @ 1500 ppm reduce the growth of safflower. The better root development, improve plant height and number of primary and secondary branches per plant helped in consumption of applied and native nutrients from soil with betterment of source to sink relationship which leads to accumulation of greater biomass as compared to control. The spectacular positive impact of increase in chlorophyll formation leads to augment in photosynthesis and root development improves luxury uptake and translocation of plant nutrient from the soil to plant parts ultimately increased number of primary and secondary branches per plant. Significant improvement in yield attributes due to application of bio-regulators as compared to water spray (control) suggest that bio-regulators might have resulted in efficiency translocation of photosynthetic assimilates toward reproductive sinks. Significant enhancement in stover yield under bio-regulators treatment seems to be due to their direct impact on periodical plant height and dry matter accumulation by virtue of increased nutrient uptake and photosynthetic efficiency. Brassinosteroid application bring changes in enzyme activity, apparently affect nucleic acid metabolism so that the level of accumulated RNA, DNA and protein in the tissue increased during growth [6].

The positive impact of bio-regulators on N and S concentration seems to be on account of better

developed canopy which might have maintained adequate supply of metabolites for better root growth. Thus, better developed root system might have facilitated the greater extraction of nutrients from soil and translocation to plant parts. As nutrient uptake by the crop is primarily governed by total dry matter accumulation and secondarily on nutrient concentration at cellular level. Thus, enhanced uptake due to bio-regulator treatments in present study seems to be in accordance with improvement in both these factors.

3.2 Effect on Fertility Levels

Plant population (At 20 DAS and at harvest) of mustard did not vary significantly due to different fertility levels. The maximum growth attribute viz., plant height and dry matter accumulation per plant, number of primary and secondary branches per plant were recorded significantly higher under the fertilization of treatment N₄ (N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀Zn_{1.7}) followed by treatment N₃. The yield attributes viz., number of seeds per siliqua, number of siliquae per plant, test weight, seed yield per plant were improved significantly with the application of treatment N₄ (N₅₀P₅₀S₅₀Zn_{1.7}), but failed to differ significantly from treatment N₃ (N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀). Significantly higher seed (2622 kg/ha) and stover (5471 kg/ha) yields was recorded under treatment N₄ (N₅₀P₅₀S₅₀Zn_{1.7}), which remained statistically at par with treatment N₃ (N₅₀P₅₀S₄₀). None of the balanced fertilization treatments vary significantly with respect to harvest index. Significantly higher oil content in seed and oil yield was noted under treatment N₄ (N₅₀P₅₀S₅₀Zn_{1.7}), but found at par with treatment N₃. An application of N₅₀P₅₀S₅₀Zn_{1.7} (N₄) recorded significantly higher protein content in seed, but failed to differ significantly from treatment N₃. Balanced fertilization with treatment N₄ (N₅₀P₅₀S₅₀Zn_{1.7}) registered significantly higher content of N, P, S and Zn in both seed and stover. However, it remained at par with treatment N₃ with respect to N and P content. Crop fertilized with treatment N₄ (N₅₀P₅₀S₅₀Zn_{1.7}) taken up the highest quantum of N, P, S and Zn by seed, stover and total uptake followed by treatment N₃. Significantly higher available N, P₂O₅, S and Zn status in soil after harvest of crop was observed under treatment N₄, but it was at par with treatment N₃ except for Zn content. On the basis of net realization, treatment N₄ (N₅₀P₅₀S₅₀Zn_{1.7}) realized the highest net realization of ₹ 64897/ha with BCR of 3.13.

Table 1. Effect of bio-regulators and fertility levels on plant population, plant height and dry matter accumulation per plant of mustard

| Treatments | Plant population (per meter row length) | | Plant height (cm) | | | | Dry matter accumulation (g) | | | |
|--|--|------------|-------------------|--------|--------|------------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|------------|
| | 20 DAS | At harvest | 45 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest | 45 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | At harvest |
| Bio-regulators (B) | | | | | | | | | | |
| B ₀ : Water spray (control) | 6.3 | 6.2 | 64.8 | 104.6 | 154.7 | 162.7 | 4.6 | 23.1 | 34.6 | 43.6 |
| B ₁ : Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) | 6.5 | 6.3 | 78.8 | 131.6 | 165.4 | 175.5 | 6.8 | 27.1 | 37.8 | 50.5 |
| B ₂ : Salicylic acid (100 ppm) | 6.4 | 6.4 | 72.3 | 119.5 | 158.6 | 174.8 | 6.3 | 26.7 | 37.2 | 48.8 |
| B ₃ : Cycocel (1500 ppm) | 6.8 | 6.4 | 60.4 | 88.6 | 151.5 | 159.4 | 7.5 | 27.9 | 38.8 | 52.1 |
| S.Em.± | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS | NS | 5.8 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| Fertility levels (N) | | | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ : N ₅₀ | 6.2 | 6.2 | 65.1 | 105.9 | 152.1 | 160.8 | 4.8 | 23.8 | 33.1 | 44.5 |
| N ₂ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ | 6.4 | 6.2 | 66.8 | 109.4 | 153.6 | 165.9 | 5.9 | 25.8 | 36.2 | 47.8 |
| N ₃ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ | 6.5 | 6.3 | 70.6 | 111.5 | 161.0 | 171.1 | 7.0 | 27.0 | 38.6 | 50.2 |
| N ₄ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ Zn _{1.7} | 6.9 | 6.4 | 73.9 | 117.4 | 163.4 | 174.5 | 7.7 | 28.2 | 40.6 | 52.5 |
| S.Em.± | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS | NS | 5.8 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 3.5 |
| Interaction (B x N) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V. % | 10.4 | 12.3 | 10.0 | 8.7 | 7.1 | 7.18 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 8.6 |

Table 2. Effect bio-regulators and fertility levels on number of primary and secondary branches per plant, number of seeds per siliqua, number of siliquae per plant, test weight, seed yield per plant, seed yield, stover yield and harvest index of mustard

| Treatments | Number of branches per plant | | Number of seeds per siliqua | Number of siliquae per plant | Test weight (g) | Seed yield per plant (g) | Seed yield (kg/ha) | Stover yield (kg/ha) | Harvest index (%) |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | primary | secondary | | | | | | | |
| Bio-regulators (B) | | | | | | | | | |
| B ₀ : Water spray (control) | 4.8 | 15.8 | 12.7 | 233.2 | 4.3 | 14.6 | 2034 | 4463 | 31.4 |
| B ₁ : Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) | 5.4 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 263.5 | 4.9 | 17.3 | 2530 | 5136 | 33.0 |
| B ₂ : Salicylic acid (100 ppm) | 5.3 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 252.4 | 4.8 | 16.2 | 2368 | 4894 | 32.8 |
| B ₃ : Cycocel (1500 ppm) | 5.8 | 18.6 | 15.4 | 272.3 | 5.1 | 17.9 | 2624 | 5277 | 33.3 |
| S.Em.± | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 0.59 | 86 | 148 | 1.2 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 17.8 | 0.3 | 1.72 | 250 | 428 | NS |
| Fertility levels (N) | | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ : N ₅₀ | 4.9 | 15.5 | 12.7 | 239.7 | 4.3 | 14.5 | 2103 | 4306 | 32.8 |
| N ₂ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ | 5.1 | 16.5 | 14.0 | 247.1 | 4.7 | 16.0 | 2319 | 4709 | 33.1 |
| N ₃ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ | 5.5 | 18.1 | 14.9 | 261.8 | 5.0 | 17.6 | 2512 | 5284 | 32.3 |
| N ₄ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ Zn _{1.7} | 5.7 | 18.9 | 15.6 | 272.8 | 5.2 | 17.8 | 2622 | 5471 | 32.4 |
| S.Em.± | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 6.2 | 0.1 | 0.59 | 86 | 148 | 1.2 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 17.8 | 0.3 | 1.72 | 250 | 428 | NS |
| Interaction (B x N) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V. % | 10.0 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 12.4 | 13 | 10 | 13.1 |

Table 3. Effect of bioregulators and fertility levels on oil content, oil yield and protein content

| Treatments | Oil content (%) | Oil yield (kg/ha) | Protein content (%) |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Bio-regulators (B) | | | |
| B ₀ : Water spray (control) | 37.7 | 768 | 18.7 |
| B ₁ : Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) | 37.8 | 957 | 20.0 |
| B ₂ : Salicylic acid (100 ppm) | 37.6 | 892 | 19.7 |
| B ₃ : Cycocel (1500 ppm) | 37.9 | 998 | 20.0 |
| S.Em.± | 0.46 | 36 | 0.30 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS | 103 | 0.88 |
| Fertility levels (N) | | | |
| N ₁ : N ₅₀ | 36.9 | 776 | 18.9 |
| N ₂ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ | 37.1 | 861 | 19.0 |
| N ₃ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ | 38.2 | 961 | 19.9 |
| N ₄ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ Zn _{1.68} | 38.8 | 1016 | 20.5 |
| S.Em.± | 0.46 | 36 | 0.30 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 1.32 | 103 | 0.88 |
| Interaction (B x N) | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V. % | 4.2 | 13.6 | 5.4 |

Table 4. Effect of bio-regulators and fertility levels on nitrogen content, phosphorus content, sulphur content and zinc content in mustard

| Treatments | Nitrogen content (%) | | Phosphorus content (%) | | Sulphur content (%) | | Zinc content (ppm) | |
|--|----------------------|--------|------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover | Seed | Stover |
| Bio-regulators (B) | | | | | | | | |
| B ₀ : Water spray (control) | 2.99 | 0.38 | 0.52 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 39.5 | 8.3 |
| B ₁ : Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) | 3.19 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 40.6 | 8.5 |
| B ₂ : Salicylic acid (100 ppm) | 3.16 | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 39.5 | 8.5 |
| B ₃ : Cycocel (1500 ppm) | 3.20 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 39.7 | 8.7 |
| S.Em.± | 0.05 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 0.14 | 0.020 | NS | NS | 0.011 | 0.005 | NS | NS |
| Fertility levels (N) | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ : N ₅₀ | 3.03 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 38.7 | 8.0 |
| N ₂ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ | 3.04 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 38.8 | 8.5 |
| N ₃ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ | 3.19 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.14 | 40.0 | 8.5 |
| N ₄ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ Zn _{1.7} | 3.29 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 41.8 | 9.0 |
| S.Em.± | 0.05 | 0.007 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 0.14 | 0.020 | 0.025 | 0.013 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| Interaction (B x N) | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| C.V. % | 5.36 | 5.27 | 5.60 | 5.24 | 3.90 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 5.5 |

The positive impact of these bio-regulators on overall improvement in growth parameters appear to be on account of increased photosynthetic efficiency by virtue of enhancement in chlorophyll content and greater development of assimilating apparatus ultimately resulted into increased plant height and dry matter accumulation at every growth periods which validate the fact. More number of primary and secondary branches per plant in this treatment is due to application of major (N and P)

and micronutrient (S and Zn) in balanced proportion from inorganic fertilizer which resulted in better availability of N, P, S and Zn in soil resulted in higher accumulation and translocation in plant might have improved vegetative growth and ultimately increased primary and secondary branches per plant in mustard. The increased availability of photosynthesites might have increased number of flowers and their fertilization resulted in higher number of seeds per silique, number of siliquae per plant and weight of 1000

Table 5. Effect of bio-regulators and fertility levels on seed, stover and total nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur and zinc uptake by mustard

| Treatments | Nitrogen uptake (kg/ha) | | | Phosphorus uptake (kg/ha) | | | Sulphur uptake (kg/ha) | | | Zinc uptake (g/ha) | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------------------------|--------|-------|------------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| | Seed | Stover | Total | Seed | Stover | Total | Seed | Stover | Total | Seed | Stover | Total |
| Bio-regulators (B) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B ₀ : Water spray (control) | 60.8 | 17.0 | 77.8 | 10.6 | 8.5 | 19.1 | 7.7 | 5.8 | 13.5 | 80.3 | 37.0 | 117.3 |
| B ₁ : Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) | 80.7 | 25.2 | 105.9 | 13.9 | 10.8 | 24.7 | 10.0 | 7.2 | 17.2 | 102.7 | 43.7 | 146.4 |
| B ₂ : Salicylic acid (100 ppm) | 74.8 | 23.0 | 97.8 | 12.8 | 9.8 | 22.6 | 9.2 | 6.4 | 15.6 | 93.5 | 41.6 | 135.1 |
| B ₃ : Cycocel (1500 ppm) | 84.0 | 25.9 | 109.9 | 14.7 | 10.6 | 25.3 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 18.7 | 104.2 | 45.9 | 150.1 |
| S.Em.± | 2.8 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 8.2 | 2.2 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.01 | 0.62 | 0.99 | 10.9 | 4.2 | 10.7 |
| Fertility levels (N) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N ₁ : N ₅₀ | 63.7 | 17.7 | 81.4 | 9.9 | 8.2 | 18.1 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 13.0 | 81.4 | 34.4 | 115.8 |
| N ₂ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ | 70.5 | 20.7 | 91.2 | 11.6 | 9.4 | 21.3 | 9.0 | 6.1 | 15.1 | 90.0 | 40.0 | 130.0 |
| N ₃ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ | 80.1 | 25.4 | 105.5 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 25.9 | 10.1 | 7.4 | 17.4 | 100.5 | 44.9 | 145.4 |
| N ₄ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ Zn _{1.7} | 86.3 | 27.4 | 113.7 | 16.0 | 11.5 | 27.5 | 10.9 | 8.2 | 19.0 | 109.6 | 49.2 | 158.8 |
| S.Em.± | 2.8 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 3.7 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 8.2 | 2.2 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.01 | 0.62 | 0.99 | 10.9 | 4.2 | 10.7 |
| Interaction (B x N) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C.V. % | 13.1 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 13.5 | 12.40 | 8.8 | 12.6 | 11.02 | 7.32 | 13.8 | 11.9 | 9.4 |

Table 6. Effect of bio-regulators and fertility levels on available N, P₂O₅, S and Zn status of soil after harvest of mustard crop

| Treatments | Available nutrient status in soil | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| | N (kg/ha) | P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha) | S (mg/kg) | Zn (mg/kg) |
| Bio-regulators (B) | | | | |
| B ₀ : Water spray (control) | 173.94 | 37.18 | 7.76 | 0.37 |
| B ₁ : Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) | 177.19 | 38.73 | 7.94 | 0.38 |
| B ₂ : Salicylic acid (100 ppm) | 174.74 | 37.89 | 7.81 | 0.37 |
| B ₃ : Cycocel (1500 ppm) | 179.63 | 39.50 | 8.21 | 0.39 |
| S.Em.± | 2.10 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.01 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Fertility levels (N) | | | | |
| N ₁ : N ₅₀ | 170.37 | 36.26 | 7.35 | 0.31 |
| N ₂ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ | 175.66 | 37.93 | 7.49 | 0.35 |
| N ₃ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ | 179.10 | 39.42 | 8.40 | 0.40 |
| N ₄ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ Zn _{1.68} | 180.38 | 39.68 | 8.49 | 0.46 |
| S.Em.± | 2.10 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.01 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 6.07 | 1.76 | 0.41 | 0.02 |
| Interaction (B x N) | | | | |
| C.V. % | 4.13 | 5.52 | 6.18 | 5.40 |

seeds. Increase in seed yield and stover yield were mainly because of remarkable improvement increase in plant height number of primary and secondary branches per plant resulted from combined effect of macro and micronutrient that

provide balanced nutrition to the plant ultimately resulted in maximum seed yield and stover yield. The results further showed that sulphur was major constitute responsible for increase in oil content of seed.

Table 7. Effect of economics on bio-regulators and fertility levels

| Treatments | Seed yield (kg/ha) | Stover yield (kg/ha) | Gross realization (₹/ha) | Cost of cultivation (₹/ha) | Net realization (₹/ha) | BCR |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------|
| Bio-regulators (B) | | | | | | |
| B ₀ : Water spray (control) | 2034 | 4687 | 73528 | 28221 | 45307 | 2.61 |
| B ₁ : Brassinosteroid (0.5 ppm) | 2530 | 5136 | 91129 | 28484 | 62645 | 3.20 |
| B ₂ : Salicylic acid (100 ppm) | 2450 | 5056 | 88276 | 28524 | 59752 | 3.09 |
| B ₃ : Cycocel (1500 ppm) | 2624 | 5313 | 94491 | 30401 | 64090 | 3.11 |
| Fertility levels (N) | | | | | | |
| N ₁ : N ₅₀ | 2123 | 4306 | 76445 | 26490 | 49955 | 2.88 |
| N ₂ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ | 2339 | 4834 | 84285 | 28640 | 55645 | 2.94 |
| N ₃ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ | 2532 | 5481 | 91344 | 30050 | 61294 | 3.04 |
| N ₄ : N ₅₀ P ₅₀ S ₄₀ Zn _{1.7} | 2645 | 5574 | 95349 | 30452 | 64897 | 3.13 |

This might be due to increase in glucoside formation (allysiothiocynate) and also sulphur as a constitute of multi enzyme complex. In addition to this vital role, phosphorus is also structural component of nucleic acid, phytin, phospholipids and enzymes. Sulphur is a constitute of S containing amino acid, thus influences synthesis of protein. The positive influence of NPSZn fertilization on nutrient status of plant parts seems to be due to their increased availability in the root zone. Higher content of N, P, S and Zn in both mustard seed (except P and Zn) and stover as well as drastic increase in seed yield and stover yield consequently resulted in more uptake of N, P, S and Zn by mustard crop. Several researcher have noted significantly higher uptake of N, P, K and S by seed and stover of mustard [8]; total N, P, K and Zn uptake by mustard [9] Several researchers have reported that combine application of macro and micro-nutrients significantly increased nutrients status in the soil after harvest of crop [10,11].

4. CONCLUSION

In light of results obtained from present investigation, it is concluded that for getting higher seed and stover yield as well as net return from mustard, the crop should be given two foliar spray of cycocel @ 1500 ppm each at 35 and 50 days after sowing along with application of 50 kg N + 50 kg P₂O₅ +40 kg S/ha under North Gujarat Condition.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Dongarkar KP, Pawar W, Khawale VS, Khutate NG, Gudadhe NN. Effect of

- nitrogen and sulphur on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Journal of Soils and Crops, 2005;15(1):163-167.
- Pal Y, Singh R. Utility of low analysis fertilizers in meeting sulphur needs in modern Agriculture. Farmer & Parliament. 1992;27(7):13-14.
 - Ananthi K, Vanangamudi M. Foliar spray of humic acid with growth regulators in nutrient content and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Legumes Research, 2014;37:59-362.
 - Sairam RK. Effect of homobrassinolide application on plant metabolism and grain yield under irrigated and moisture stress conditions of two wheat varieties. Plant Growth Regulation.1994;14:173-181.
 - Hegazi AM, EL-Gaaly FM, Nour EL-Din NM. Effect of some growth regulators on yield and yield components of wheat grown under saline condition. Annals of Agriculture Science. 1996;33:709-717.
 - Bagdi BS, Afria BS. Alleviation of salinity effects using plant growth regulators in wheat. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2008;13(3):272-277.
 - Nakul HT. Influence of plant growth regulators, chemicals and nutrients of safflower (*Carthamus trinatorius* L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis (Unpublished) submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 2001.
 - Singh RK, Singh AK, Kumar R. Effect of fertility level on nutrient uptake, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) varieties under late sown condition. Environmental and Ecology. 2010;28(3A): 1764-1767.
 - Trivedi SK., Pachauri RK, Sharma G, Joshi BS, Rajput B. Effect of moisture regimes, NPK and zinc levels on growth, yield,

- quality, nutrient uptake and economics of mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Journal of Soils and Crops. 2013;23(1):78-85.
10. Gadhiya SS, Patel BB, Jadav NJ, Pavaya RP, Patel MV, Patel VR. Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth, yield and quality of *Bt. cotton*. Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2009;4(1):37-42.
11. Ameta V, Chaplot PC, Sumeriya HK. Response of elite mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss] varieties to balanced fertilization and agrochemicals. Annals of Agri-Bio Research. 2014;19(1): 49-54.

© 2024 Damor et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111899>