International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 10, Page 590-595, 2023; Article no.IJECC.104767
ISSN: 2581-8627
(Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Effect of Nano Phosphorus on Growth and Yield of Groundnut Varieties (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) and Yield Prediction over SPSS Model

Guguloth Shiva Kumar [a++*], Biswarup Mehera [b#], Prateek Kumar [a†] and Gandla Suresh Kumar [a++]

[a] Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.
[b] Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102690

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104767

Original Research Article

Received: 09/06/2023
Accepted: 12/08/2023
Published: 18/08/2023

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted during the *Summer* season 2022, at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Science, Prayagraj (U.P.) to find out the "Effect of Nano phosphorus on growth and yield of different varieties of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) and Yield validation using SPSS model". The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design comprising of 9 treatments which include 3 varieties Kadiri Lepakshi (K1812), Kadiri 6 (K6) and Kadiri 9 (K9) and 3 Different levels of nano phosphorous 2ml/litre, 4ml/litre and 6m/litre. Whose effect is observed in Ground nut varieties The result was observed in K-1812 by the application of nano phosphorus at the rate of 6 ml/lit was

[++] M.Sc. Scholar;
[#] Dean;
[†] P.HD. Scholar;
**Corresponding author: E-mail: shivakumarbujji4@gmail.com;*

recorded maximum plant height (61.39 cm), plant dry weight (42.34 g/plant), number of pods per plant (32.87), seed index (42.09 g), pod yield (2.98 t/ha) and haulm yield (4.46 t/ha) and harvest index (40.07 %) were recorded in K-1812 with application of Nano phosphorus at the rate of 6 ml/lit respectively. At the same time higher gross return (1,25,307.00 INR/ha), net return (88,467.35 INR/ha) and benefit cost ratio (2.40).Treatment 3 has shown 42.28% increase over predicted yield where as there were 10.88% increase in treatment 7 over predicted yield through SPSS model.

Keywords: Groundnut; yield; varieties; nano phosphorus; yield validation and SPSS.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ground nut belongs to family Leguminaceae and is fourth most important source of edible oil and third most important source of vegetable protein also known as “The King of Oilseeds” [1].

It is India's most important oil seed crop, also known as peanut, monkey nut, and manila nut. 50% of groundnuts are utilized for oil extraction, 37% for confectionery, and 12% for seed [2]. According to Satish et al., [3], groundnut is predominantly used for oil extraction, accounting for around 46.70% of the total. It is also ingested directly due to its high food value, which is related to its greater protein (22.0%), carbohydrate (10.0%), and mineral (3.0%) content.

Globally, Groundnut covers 315 lakh hectares with the production of 536 lakh tonnes with the productivity of 1701 kg per hectare [4]. With annual all-season coverage of 55.71 lakh hectares, globally, India ranks first in Groundnut area under cultivation and is the second largest producer in the world with 102 lakh tonnes with productivity of 1831 kg per hectare in 2020-21 (agricoop.nic.in). In Uttar Pradesh during 2019-20 groundnut covered an area of 93822 hectares with the production of 88.371 tonnes with the productivity of 940 kg per hectare.

Particles smaller than 100 nm, known as nanoparticles, could help plants use fertilizer more efficiently, be more ecologically friendly by reducing pollution, and dissolve in water more effectively, increasing absorption and distribution [5]. As a result, nanotechnology, such as the use of nanoscale fertilizer, may offer novel crop management strategies. Phosphorus is essential in agricultural production. Agriculture is the largest consumer of phosphorus (P), accounting for 80-90% of global P demand [6].

Nutrient-loaded nanoparticles can enter root cells via a variety of different pathways, including connecting to transport proteins via aquaporins and ionic channels, generating new pores, and endocytosis [7].

Phosphorus (P) is found in all living species and is found in amino acids, nucleic acids, phospholipids, and high-energy molecules such as adenosine triphosphate (ATP) [8]. P is a crucial element for plant development and reproduction, and it is one of the key components of the fertilizers required to sustain contemporary agriculture. The content of inorganic P (available to plants) in soils ranges from 35 to 70% of total P. In soils, this form of P has limited diffusion and high fixing rates due to ligand exchange by 1: 1 clay minerals, Fe and Al oxides and hydroxides, and is thus precipitated as Fe, Al, and Ca phosphates [9,10].

Weather has an impact on crop development at various phenological stages, which explains why yields vary from year to year and location to location. The response of crops to weather has been measured using a variety of statistical approaches, including multiple regressions, principal component analysis [11], Markov chain analysis [12], and agro-meteorological models [13]. In India, agricultural yields were predicted using multiple regression models [14]. To assess yield patterns and forecast yields in various circumstances, time series analysis is utilized.

1.1 Objectives

1. To study the Effect of nano phosphorus on growth and yield of Groundnut.
2. To work out the economics of all the treatments combinations of Groundnut.
3. To validate the yield using SPSS model.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during the S*ummer* season 2022, at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and

Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj (U.P.) which is located at 25° 39' 42''N latitude, 81° 67' 56" E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level (MSL). This area is situated on the right side of the Yamuna River by the side of Prayagraj - Rewa road about 12 km from the city. The soil of the experiment plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.4), low in organic carbon (0.51%), available N (78.9 kg/ha), available P (32.88 kg/ha), available K (385.10 kg/ha). Nutrient sources was nano phospo to fulfil the requirement of Phosphorus respectively. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with nine treatments replicated thrice. The treatments were 1)K1812 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit, 2) K1812 + nano phosphorus at 4ml/lit, 3) K1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit, 4) K6+ nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit, 5)K6+ nano phosphorus at 4 ml/lit, 6)K6+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit, 7) K9+ nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit, 8) K9+ nano phosphorus at 4 ml/lit and 9) K9+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit.

The growth parameters of the plants were recorded at frequent intervals from sowing up until 100 DAT and finally, the yield parameters were recorded after harvest. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used statistically to examine these variables using the Randomized Block design. The Pearson's correlation between the measured yield and the individual weather parameters as well as the combination of weather parameters was calculated using SPSS (Statistical Product and Service Solutions). The correlation coefficient has been obtained from the sum of weather parameters and the sum product of various weather parameters. The dependant variable (yield) and the independent variables (time, sum, and sum products for various meteorological conditions) were regressed many times. The regression formula was used to create the regression equation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height

The highest plant height was recorded with Treatment T3 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit) i.e., 61.39 cm. However, Treatment T6 (k6 + nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit) i.e., 60.28 cm is statistically at par with Treatment T3. Whereas minimum plant height was seen in Treatment T7 with k9 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit.

It seems that the role of Phosphorus nano-fertilizer at the vegetative stage of peanut was a synergistic effect on the recommended conventional fertilizer for better absorption of nutrients and thereby resulting in optimal growth [15].

3.2 Plant Dry Weight

The highest plant Dry weight was recorded with Treatment T3 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit) i.e., 42.34 g/plant. However, Treatment T6 (k6 + nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit) i.e., 41.44 g/plant is statistically at par with Treatment T3. Whereas minimum plant Dry weight was seen in Treatment T7 with k9 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit.

The application of biophos and nanophos showed on initial burst and subsequently slow release even up to 60th day as compared to the commercial fertilizers which released phosphorus heavily in the initial stages followed by low and non-uniform quantity until around 30 days. There is a possibility that the bio and nano phosphatic fertilizers synchronised release of phosphorus with uptake by crop thereby preventing losses into soil [16].

3.3 Crop Growth Rate and Relative Growth Rate

The significantly maximum crop growth rat e was recorded with Treatment T2 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 4 ml/lit) i.e., 15.68 g/m^2/day. However, T1 (k1812 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit) i.e., 15.23 g/m^2/day, T3 (k1812 + nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit) i.e., 15.47 g/m^2/day and Treatment T6 (k6+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit) i.e., 15.26 g/m^2/day is found to be statistically at par with Treatment T2. Whereas minimum crop growth rat e was seen in Treatment T7 (k9+ nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit).

The significantly maximum Relative growth rat e was recorded with Treatment T1 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit) i.e., 0.0153 g/g/day. However, T4 (k6 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit) i.e., 0.0151 g/g/day is found to be statistically at par with Treatment T4. Whereas minimum Relative growth rate was seen in Treatment T3 (k1812 + nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit).

3.4 Yield Parameters

The highest number of Pods per plant differed significantly. The highest number of pods per plant was recorded in Treatment T3 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit). i.e.,32.87. Where as minimum number of pods per plant are seen in Treatment T7 (k9 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit).

The highest number of kernel per pod differed Non-significantly. The highest number of kernel per pod was recorded in Treatment T3 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit). i.e., 2.20. Whereas minimum number of kernel per pod are seen in Treatment T8 (k9+ nano phosphorusat 4 ml/lit).

The highest seed index differed significantly. The highest seed index was recorded in Treatment T3 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit). i.e., 42.09 g. Whereas minimum seed index is seen in Treatment T7 (k9 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit).

Higher increase in number of pods per plant, number of kernels per pod and hundred pod weight as recorded under 60 and 40 kg P2O5ha-1increase in yield at tributes might be due to stimulating effect of phosphorus on plant metabolic processes as phosphorus is a major constituent of cell nucleus and growing root tips which help in cell division and root along at ion [17].

3.5 Yield

The highest pod yield differed significantly. The highest pod yield was recorded in Treatment T3 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit). i.e.,2.98 t/ha. Whereas minimum pod yield is seen in Treatment T7 (K1812 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit).

The highest haulm yield differed significantly. The highest haulm yield was recorded in Treatment T3 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit). i.e., 4.46 t/ha. Whereas minimum straw yield is seen in Treatment T7 (K1812 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit).

The highest harvest index differed significantly. The highest harvest index was recorded in Treatment T3 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit). i.e., 40.07%. Whereas minimum harvest index is seen in Treatment T2 (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 4 ml/lit).

Maximum yield was obtained with 60 kg P2O5ha-1this might be due to better below groundnut plant growth. P being an essential constituent of nucleic acids, phytin, phospholipids and enzymes is responsible for root development and seed format ion [18].

3.6 Yield Validation Using SPSS Model

The multi-regression analysis using SPSS has been employed for the estimation of rice yield. The regression for SPSS model is

> Y = 1.675 + (0.0000495 x Z231 of prediction year) + (0.0367 x time) Here, Z231 is the sum product of minimum temperature.

The yield obtained in treatment T3 with (k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit) (2.98 t/ha) showed 42.28% increase over the predicted yield through SPSS model (1.72 t/ha].



Fig. 1. Percentage of yield increase over SPSS model

Table 1. Effect of nano phosphorous on growth attributes of groundnut varieties

Sl. no	Treatments	100 DAT		80-100 DAT	
		Plant height (cm)	Dry weight (g/hill)	Crop growth rate ($g/m^2/day$)	Relative growth rate(g/g/day)
1.	k1812 + nano phosphorus at 2ml/lit	56.30	37.52	15.23	0.0153
2.	k1812 + nano phosphorus at 4ml/lit	58.45	39.67	15.68	0.0148
3.	k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit	61.39	42.34	15.47	0.0135
4.	k6 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit	55.73	36.84	14.73	0.0151
5.	k6 + nano phosphorus at 4 ml/lit	57.32	37.37	14.15	0.0141
6.	k6 + nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit	60.28	41.44	15.26	0.0136
7.	k9 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit	55.53	35.80	13.71	0.0143
8.	k9 + nano phosphorus at 4 ml/lit	57.16	37.58	14.57	0.0145
9.	k9 + nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit	59.48	40.36	15.06	0.0138
	F test	S	S	S	S
	SEm(+)	0.38	0.36	0.16	0.0001
	CD(P=0.05)	1.13	1.08	0.49	0.0003

Table 2. Effect of nano phosphorous on yield attributes of groundnut varieties

Sl. no	Treatments	Number of Pods/plant	Number of Kernels/pod	Seed index (g)	Pod yield (t/ha)	Haulm yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
1.	k1812 + nano phosphorus at 2ml/lit	20.93	2.00	37.40	2.19	3.83	36.39
2.	k1812 + nano phosphorus at 4ml/lit	23.73	2.00	38.80	1.96	3.91	33.40
3.	k1812+ nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit	32.87	2.20	42.09	2.98	4.46	40.07
4.	k6 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit	19.27	1.93	37.22	2.06	3.74	35.54
5.	k6 + nano phosphorus at 4 ml/lit	21.87	1.87	38.78	1.95	3.85	33.61
6.	k6 + nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit	31.20	2.13	41.32	2.45	4.28	36.41
7.	k9 + nano phosphorus at 2 ml/lit	18.67	1.87	36.51	1.93	3.51	35.45
8.	k9 + nano phosphorus at 4 ml/lit	18.73	1.73	38.20	2.14	3.84	35.82
9.	k9 + nano phosphorus at 6 ml/lit	28.47	1.93	40.20	2.27	4.06	35.83
	F test	S	NS	S	S	S	S
	SEm(+)	0.12	0.09	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.13
	CD(P=0.05)	0.37	----	0.17	0.03	0.05	0.40

4. CONCLUSION

From the results, It can be concluded that better production and economics return among 3 varieties of Groundnut was observed in K-1812 by the applicat ion of Nano phosphorus at the rat e of 6 ml/lit. Since the findings based on one season, further trails are needed to confirm the result.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj- 211007, Uttar Pradesh, India, provided the field, necessary facilities, and assistance in conducting this research. The authors also express gratitude to advisor Dr. Biswarup Mehera.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Priya NS, Dawson J, Reddy N. Effect of biofertilizers and gypsum levels on growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022; 11(5):708-713.
2. Nurezannat Sarkar AR, Uddin R, Sarker UK, Kaysar S, Saha PK. Effect of variety and sulphur on yield and yield components of groundnut. Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University. 2009;17(1):1-8.
3. Satish I, Shrivastava SK. Nutritional study of new variety of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). African Journal of Food Science. 2011;5(8):490-498.
4. FAOSTAT; 2020.
5. Zheng LF, Hong S, Lu, Liu C. Effect of nano-TiO2on strength of nat urally aged seeds and growth of spinach. Biological Trace Elements Research. 2005;104:83-91.
6. Gitan JN, Mureith JG. Effect of phosphorus fertilizat ion on legume nodule format ion and biomass production in Mount Kenya Region. East African Agriculture Journal. 2003;69:183-187.
7. Kurepa J, Paunesku T, Vogt S. Uptake and distribution of ultrasmall anat ase TiO2 Alizarin red Snanoconjugates in Arabidopsis thaliana, Nano Letters. 2010; 10(7):2296–2302.
8. Alcantar-Gonzalez G, Trejo-Téllez LI, Gómez-Merino FC. Nutrición de Cultivos, Editorial del Colegio de Postgraduados Segunda Edición; 2016.
9. Shen J, Yuan L, Zhang J. Phosphorus dynamics: from soil to plant. Plant Physiology. 2011;156(3):997–1005.
10. Almeida DS, Penn CJ, Rosolem CA. Assessment of phosphorus availability in soil cultivat ed with ruzigrass, Geoderma. 2018;312:64–73.
11. Jain RC, Sridharan H, Agarwal R. Principal component technique for forecasting of sorghum yield. Indian Jornal of Agricultural Sciences. 1984;54(6):467-70.
12. Ramasubramanian V, Jain RC. Use of growth indices in Markov Chain model for crop yield forecasting. Biometrical Journal. 1994;41(1):99-109.
13. Walker GK. Model for operational forecasting of western Canada wheat yield. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. 1989;44(3-4):339-351.
14. Appa Rao GJ. Estimation of wheat yields over Punjab using district and state models. Mausam. 1983;34(3):275-80.
15. Liu R, Lal R. Synthetic apatite nanoparticles as a phosphorus fertilizer for soybean (*Glycine max*). Scientific Reports. 2014;4:56-86.
16. De Rosaet MR, Monreal C, Schnitzer M, Walsh, R, Sultan Y. Nanotechnology in fertilizers. National Nanotechnology Journal. 2010;5:91-96.
17. Brady NC. The nature and properties of soils. Macmillan Publication Co., New York and Collier Macmillan Publisher, London; 1983.
18. Naphade PC, Waphade KJ. Root CEC and P fertilization in sunflower. Annals of Plant Physiology. 1991;5(2):247-252.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104767