

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 9, Page 2791-2797, 2023; Article no.IJECC.103423 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance in Sweet Potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.] Genotypes

Iranna Hejjegar ^{a†*}, S. M. Hiremath ^{a++}, K. Ramachandra Naik ^{b++} and Shruti Mallikarjun Kolur ^{c#}

^a Department of Horticulture, UAS, Dharwad, Karnataka, India. ^b Department of Post-Harvest Technology and Dean Student Welfare, UHS, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India. ^c Department of Horticulture, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92513

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103423

Original Research Article

Received: 25/05/2023 Accepted: 27/07/2023 Published: 04/08/2023

ABSTRACT

Sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.] is one of the important tuber crops of tropical and subtropical regions of the world. Studies on mean performances of 51 sweet potato genotypes were carried out at Vegetable Research Centre, Regional Horticultural Research and Extension Centre (RHREC), Dharwad during rabi, 2019-20 and 2020-21. The data was collected on 20 characters. High estimates of PCV, GCV, heritability and high genetic advance as per cent of mean were recorded for tuber yield per vine, the number of leaves per vine, the mean weight of tuber per vine, vine length and tuber yield per hectare.

[†]Ph. D (Horticulture); ⁺⁺Professor; [#]Ph.D Scholar; *Corresponding author: E-mail: irannahejjegar@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 2791-2797, 2023

Keywords: Sweet potato; genetic variability; genetic advance; heritability.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Sweet potato [Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam.] is an important tuber crop of tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world and it forms the sixth most important food crop after rice, wheat, potato, maize and cassava. It is native to South America and it belongs to the family Convolvulaceae. The family includes 55 genera and more than 1000 species" [1]. "It is popularly known as 'white potato' or 'Irish potato' in the southern part of the United States of America, while in India it is commonly called as sakar kand. The total area of sweet potatoes in the world is about 77 lakh hectares with a production of 918 lakh tonnes and productivity is 11.92 t ha-1. China is the leading producer of sweet potatoes in the world followed by Nigeria and Uganda. India is at 9th position in production" [2]. "In India it is cultivated in an area of 1.07 lakh hectares with a production of 11.10 lakh tonnes" [3]. "The major states cultivating this crop in India are Orissa, West Bengal, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and Karnataka. Orissa being the leading state in area and production followed by West Bengal and Uttar Pradesh, while Andhra Pradesh hold the record for the highest productivity" [4]. "In Karnataka state sweet potato is grown in an area of about 2,730 hectares with a production of 32,866 tonnes and productivity of 12.04 t ha^{-1"} [5].

"Sweet potato (hexaploid: 2n=6x=90) is a perennial, dicot and a vegetatively propagated tuber crop, but it is cultivated as an annual crop for tuber production and biannual/perennial for foliage production" [6]. "Sweet potato genotypes are broadly grouped into the bush, intermediate and vining types, which may vary greatly in branching pattern and overall stem length. Latex is present in all parts of the plant. Leaves are spirally arranged and have long petioles measuring 5 to 30 cm. They are broad, entire or lobed with a more or less pronounced leaf incision. The flowers are cymose and hermaphrodite having violet or white colour. Seeds have a hard seed coat and develop within a capsule. The plants usually set few viable seeds, many genotypes do not readily flower, others are sterile and most are self-incompatible" [7].

In any crop improvement programme, the germplasm evaluation to assess the existing variability is a preliminary step. Since the

environment greatly influence on quantitative characters, it is necessary to separate the variability into heritable and non-heritable components. exhibiting Genotypes hiah variability for desirable characters that contribute to the yield are to be selected in such a programme of evaluation. Sweet potato is crosspollinated and heterozygous crop with wide variability. The presence of variability is a prerequisite for any crop improvement programme to make selections and harness the variability for the betterment of the crop. Efficient utilization of genetic potential held in germplasm the collections requires detailed knowledge about genotypes. Thus, it is important to consider the quantitative approaches for exploitation of the extensive genetic variability available in sweet potato and this is dependent on the reliable or accurate estimates of the genetic parameters. Thus, this study was undertaken to estimate the nature and magnitude of variability for morphological, yield and yield component characters with the help of genetic parameters, such as phenotypic as well as genotypic coefficients of variation and estimate of heritability in broad-sense.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was undertaken in AICRP on tuber crops, Vegetable Research Centre, Regional Horticultural Research and Extension Centre (RHREC), Dharwad (University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot) during rabi, 2019-20 and 2020-21. Totally 51 genotypes were collected from different sources and evaluated. The geographical site of experimental fields is located in the Northern Transitional Zone (Zone VIII) of Karnataka state situated at 150 26' North latitude, 750 07' East longitude with an altitude of 678 m above the mean sea level. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) with two replications. Each replication's treatments were allotted randomly using random number table. Sweet potato cuttings which have with 2-3 buds were planted in each replication with $3 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m}$ plot size at 60 $cm \times 20$ cm spacing. The crop was raised by following the recommended package of practices of the University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot. Observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants in each replication for quantitative traits viz., vine length, number of branches per vine, number of leaves per vine, inter-nodal length, leaf area, tuber length, tuber

diameter, number of tubers per vine, mean weight of tuber, tuber yield per vine, total tuber yield per plot, yield per hectare, harvest index, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar, total sugar, starch content, β -carotene content and dry matter content, The data were presented in Table 1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Genetic Variability

The efficiency in the improving of any crop would depend on the magnitude of genetic variability present and the extent to which it is heritable for the desired traits. There are different ways through which this kind of variability can be created and utilized. Examining readily available variability in the germplasm of the given species is also an important avenue available for the breeder. The first step in a plant breeding programme is to determine the extent of variability for the traits under improvement and to this variability into genetic divide and environmental components. The phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variance was calculated for all the characters (Table 1 and Fig. 1). The results showed that the phenotypic coefficient of variance was, generally higher than the genotypic coefficient of variance for all the characters. It is due to substantial influence of environmental factors besides the genetic variation for expression of these traits.

In the present investigation, high magnitude of GCV and PCV were observed for β carotene content (GCV=125.71%; PCV=125.77%), leaf area (GCV=40.63%; PCV=40.97%), number of leaves per vine (GCV=35.01%; PCV=35.03%), tuber length (GCV=34.19%; PCV=34.28%), mean weight of tuber per vine (GCV=33.68%; yield PCV=33.72%), plot tuber per (GCV=32.07%; PCV=32.09%), tuber yield per hectare (GCV=32.07%; PCV=32.09%), internodal length (GCV=27.14%; PCV=27.40%), tuber diameter (GCV=24.80%; PCV=24.96 %) and vine length (GCV=23.15%; PCV=23.19%). Moderate estimates of PCV and GCV were registered for number of tubers per vine (GCV=17.12%; PCV=17.13%), harvest index (GCV=16.31%; PCV=16.34%), reducing sugar (GCV=16.19%; PCV=16.33%), starch content (GCV=16.13%; PCV=16.60%), dry matter content (GCV=12.77%; PCV=12.96%) and number of branches per vine (GCV=12.62%; PCV=13.36%). While, lower estimates of the coefficient of variations were observed for nonreducing sugar, shelf life of tubers and total sugar.

The above findings indicated that, the characters with moderate and high magnitudes of GCV and PCV indicated the existence of variability in the population for these characters. Therefore, selection for the above traits can also be beneficial for improvement. The observed higher estimates of phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation for the above characters indicated the existence of adequate variability among the genotypes. Thus, simple selection could help bring further improvement. These findings follow the findings by Sasmal et al. [8] for β carotene; Babu et al. [9] for leaf area; Tripathi et al. [10] for the number of leaves per vine; Sharavati et al. [11] for tuber length and tuber diameter; Asemie and Ali [12] for the mean weight of tuber per vine; Rahajeng et al. [13] and Nurul et al. [14] for tuber yield per plot, tuber yield per vine, tuber yield per hectare and Narasimhamurthy et al. [15] for inter-nodal length, tuber diameter and vine length.

3.2 Heritability

From the study, it is observed the higher heritability of cent per cent for non-reducing sugar, the number of leaves per vine, number of tubers per vine, tuber yield per vine, tuber yield per plot, and tuber yield per hectare were all 99.90 per cent heritable, mean weight of tuber per vine and vine length each had 99.80 per cent heritability, harvest index (99.70%), tuber length (99.50%), tuber diameter (98.80%), leaf area (98.40%), reducing sugar (98.40%), inter-nodal length (98.20%), dry matter content (97.20%), total sugar (96.40%), starch content (94.50%), the shelf life of tuber (94.30%) and number of branches per vine (89.40%). The observed high heritability aligns with earlier workers for the characters viz., number of leaves per vine [16], total sugar and inter-nodal length [17], leaf area and dry matter content [10] (Table 1).

3.3 Genetic Advance

Improvement in the mean genotypic value of selected plants over the parental population is known as genetic advance. The genetic advance was worked out as a percentage of the mean for tuber yield and its components presented in Table 1. Genetic advance is important to find out the genetic gains likely to be achieved in subsequent generations. The success of genetic advance under selection depends on genetic

SI.	Characters	Mean	an Range			Variance	Variance Coefficient of variation			Genetic	c Genetic
No.			Min.	Max.	Genotypic	Phenotypic	Genotypic (%)	Phenotypic (%)	(%)	advanc (%)	e advance as per cent of mean (%)
1	X 1	149.68	96.41	246.70	1201.58	1205.33	23.158	23.19	99.70	71.30	47.63
2	X ₂	06.94	4.74	08.71	0.77	00.86	12.628	13.36	89.40	1.71	24.60
3	X 3	227.57	111.00	410.59	6348.27	6356.08	35.012	35.03	99.90	164.03	72.08
4	X ₄	04.60	2.75	07.35	01.56	01.59	27.142	27.40	98.20	2.55	55.40
5	X 5	40.46	19.67	93.39	270.34	274.74	40.638	40.97	98.40	33.60	83.04
6	X 6	11.83	4.95	18.09	16.38	16.46	34.197	34.28	99.50	08.32	70.28
7	X 7	05.80	2.52	09.35	02.07	02.09	24.806	24.96	98.80	02.94	50.79
8	X 8	03.94	2.54	05.46	0.46	00.46	17.124	17.13	99.90	01.39	35.26
9	X 9	111.66	28.53	207.58	1414.91	1417.26	33.689	33.72	99.80	77.42	69.34
10	X ₁₀	59.67	32.94	77.46	94.73	95.06	16.312	16.34	99.70	20.02	33.55
11	X 11	431.52	126.57	661.30	19152.83	19174.45	32.071	32.09	99.90	284.93	66.03
12	X ₁₂	28.05	08.23	42.99	80.93	81.02	32.072	32.09	99.90	18.52	66.03
13	X ₁₃	31.17	09.14	47.76	99.90	100.01	32.07	32.09	99.90	20.58	66.03
14	X ₁₄	00.53	00.39	00.70	00.01	00.01	16.192	16.33	98.40	00.17	33.08
15	X 15	02.61	02.18	03.24	00.07	00.07	09.977	09.98	100.00	00.54	20.55
16	X 16	03.11	02.58	03.44	00.06	00.06	07.987	08.14	96.40	00.50	16.16
17	X 17	00.48	00.35	00.63	00.01	00.01	16.133	16.60	94.50	00.15	32.30
18	X 18	00.32	00.02	02.33	00.16	00.16	125.713	125.77	99.90	00.83	258.85
19	X 19	28.31	16.79	35.53	13.08	13.46	12.773	12.96	97.20	07.34	25.94
20	X ₂₀	23.83	18.83	29.86	05.65	05.99	09.973	10.27	94.30	04.76	19.96
Note:											
X 1 -	Vir	ne length (cm)		X6-	Tuber length (cm)		X ₁₁ -	Tuber yield per vine (g)		X 16 -	Total sugar (%)
X ₂ -	Number o	Number of branches per		X7-	I uber diameter (cm)		X ₁₂ -	Tuber yield per plot (kg/plot)		X ₁₇ -	Starch content (%)
X3-	Number	Number of leaves per vine		X8-	Number of tubers per vine		X ₁₃ -	i uber yield (t/ha)		X18- (3	carotene content (%)
X4- X5-	Inter-r	l eaf area (cm²)		X9- X10-	Harvest index (%)		X ₁₄ - X ₁₅ -	Non-reducing sugar (%)		⊼19 - L X20 -	Shelf life of tuber
^ 5 -	Le	ai aica (cii	F)	A 10 ⁻	11017631		A 15 -	Non-reducing sug	jai (<i>76)</i>	A 20 -	

Table 1. Mean, coefficient of variation, heritability (broad sense), genetic advance and genetic advance as per cent of mean for various characters of sweet potato genotypes

Hejjegar et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 2791-2797, 2023; Article no.IJECC.103423



Fig. 1. Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation for twenty characters of sweet potato genotypes

variability, heritability and selection intensity. The higher genetic advance was recorded for tuber yield per vine (284.93%), number of leaves per vine (164.03%), mean weight of tuber per vine (77.42%), vine length (71.30%), leaf area (33.60%), tuber yield per hectare (20.58%) and harvest index (20.02%). The observed results on high genetic advance are in line with previous researchers for the characters *viz.*, tuber yield per vine [18,10]; number of leaves per vine [16]; mean weight of tuber per vine (Bhadauriya et al., 2018); vine length [10] and leaf area [18].

The moderate values of genetic advance were recorded for tuber yield per plot (18.52%). Similar results were also observed by Narasimhamurthy et al. [15].

3.4 Genetic Advance as per cent of Mean

Very often, heritability in a broad sense is not the true indicator of the inheritance of traits, since only an additive component of genetic variance is transferred from generation to generation. Therefore, heritability in a broad sense may mislead in judging the effectiveness of selection for the trait. Considering heritability broadly and genetic advances over per cent mean may reveal the prevalence of specific components (additive or non-additive) for the trait more accurately.

In the present study, high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent over mean was recorded for the characters viz., β carotene (258.85%), leaf area (83.04%), number of leaves per vine (72.08%), tuber length (70.28%), mean weight of tuber (69.34%), tuber yield per vine (66.03%), tuber yield per plot (66.03%), tuber vield per hectare (66.03%), inter-nodal length (55.40%), tuber diameter (50.79), vine length (47.63%), number of tubers per vine (35.26%), harvest index (33.55%), reducing sugar (33.08%), starch content (32.30%), dry matter (25.94), number of branches per vine (24.60%) and non-reducing sugar (20.55%). These results are in agreement with Solankey et al. [18] for fresh weight tuber per plant, β carotene content, tuber yield per plot, starch content and total sugar content; Tripathi et al. [10] for leaf area and yield per plant and Bhadauriya et al. (2018) for number of branches per vine, inter-nodal length, length of vine, number of leaves per vine, the average weight of tuber and number of tubers per vine (Table 1). The above findings indicated that the characters with high and moderate heritability and high genetic advance could be considered for direct selection for improvement.

4. CONCLUSION

The obtained results showed that phenotypic coefficient of variance was in generally higher than the genotypic coefficient of variance for all the characters. The higher magnitude of GCV and PCV were observed for β carotene content, leaf area, number of leaves per vine, tuber length, mean weight of tuber, tuber vield per plot. tuber yield per hectare, inter-nodal length of vine, tuber diameter and vine length. It is observed the higher heritability for non-reducing sugar, number of leaves per vine, number of tubers per vine, tuber yield per vine, tuber yield per plot, tuber yield per hectare, mean weight of tuber and vine length, harvest index, tuber length, tuber diameter, leaf area, reducing sugar, inter-nodal length of vine, dry matter content, total sugar, starch content, shelf life of tuber and number of branches per vine. Thereby denoting that direct selection for these characters could improve recognition of superior genotypes in sweet potatoes. The highest genetic advance was recorded for tuber yield per vine, number of leaves per vine, mean weight of tuber per vine, vine length, leaf area, tuber yield per hectare and harvest index. It states that these characters are controlled by additive gene effects and their selection will contribute to the development of these characters.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Watson L, Dallwitz MJ. The families of flowering plants: descriptions, illustrations, identification, and information retrieval. New Orleans, LA: University of New Orleans; 1999 Aug.
- 2. Anonymous, FAOSTAT; 2019a. Available:https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#d ata/QCL/visualize
- 3. Anonymous, Department; 2022. https://www.indiastat.com/data/agriculture/ area-under-food-crops/data year/2022
- 4. Anonymous, Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture Board. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, Gurgaon, India; 2019c.
- 5. Anonymous, Horticulture Crop Statistics of Karnataka State at a Glance 2019-20.

Department of Horticulture, Government of Karnataka, Karnataka; 2019b.

- Ravi V, Indira P. Crop physiology of sweet potato. Horticultural reviews. 2010;23:277-338.
- 7. Onwueme IC. The tropical tuber crops: yams, cassava, sweet potato, and cocoyams. John Wiley and sons; 1978.
- Sasmal M, Mondal CK, Garain PK, Acharyya P. Evaluation of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.) germplasm suitable for the coastal agroclimatic situation of Sundarbans, West Bengal. Inter J App Pure Sci Agric. 2015;1(5):49-57.
- Badu M, Ashok P, Kiran Patro TS, Sasikala K. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and quality parameters among orange flesh sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.] genotypes. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(9):1804-903.
- 10. Tripathi V, Chandra D, Arun K, Ravi SS. Genetic Variability and association studies in sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.]. The Bioscan. 2016;11(4):3203-6.
- Sharavati MB, Ramachandra Naik K, Devaraju SK, Kanthraj Y, Srinivasa V. Evaluation of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam) genotypes under hill zone of Karnataka. IJCS. 2018;6(5):882-6.
- 12. Asemie MM, Ali WM. Variability and association of traits in sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L) Lam.] accessions at Haramaya, eastern Ethiopia. J. Hortic. 2021;8(3):265-268.

- Rahajeng W, Restuono J, Indriani FC, Purwono P. Genetic Parameters of Agronomic Traits in Sweetpotato Accessions. Biosaintifika: Journal of Biology & Biology Education. 2020 Aug 1;12(2):240-6.
- Nurul-Afza K, Aziz A, Thiyagu D, Shahrilnizam JM. Genetic variability, heritability, and genetic gain in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L. Lam) for agronomic traits. SABRAO J. Breed. Genet. 2023 Feb 1;55(1):61-73.
- 15. Narasimhamurthy PN, Patel NB, Patel AI, Koteswara RG. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and quality parameters among sweet potato [*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.] genotypes. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(4):2410-3.
- Cheema DS, Singh H, Dhatt AS, Sidhu AS, Garg N. Studies on genetic variability and correlation for yield and quality traits in Arvi [*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schott]. InI International Conference on Indigenous Vegetables and Legumes. Prospectus for Fighting Poverty, Hunger and Malnutrition. 2006;752:255-260.
- Alam S, Shylla E, Bora B, Saud BK. Genetic variation in different cultivars of greater yam (*Dioscorea alata*). Journal of Root Crops. 2014;40(1):39-43.
- Solankey SS, Singh PK, Singh RK. Genetic diversity and interrelationship of qualitative and quantitative traits in sweet potato. International Journal of Vegetable Science. 2015 May 27;21(3):236-48.

© 2023 Hejjegar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103423