



Standardisation of Recipes for Preparation of Value-Added Products from Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus undatus*)

Rahul Sahrwat^{a+++*}, Samir E. Topno^{a#} and Vijay Bahadur^{a†}

^a Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University Agriculture Technology and Sciences, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92413

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103820>

Original Research Article

Received: 19/05/2023

Accepted: 21/07/2023

Published: 22/07/2023

ABSTRACT

Dragon fruit is perishable in nature and is available only in season. Value added product from dragon fruit will be available throughout the year. Product recipe needed to be developed for maintaining quality and acceptability to the consumers. The present experiment was carried out during 2022 in Post Harvest Laboratory of Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The experiment was conducted in Completely Randomized Design with 9 treatments replicated thrice. The treatments were T₀ (Control), T₁ (Strawberry Juice (20% of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₂ (Strawberry Juice (30% of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₄ (Aloe Vera Gel (5% of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₅ (Aloe Vera Gel (10% of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₆ (Aloe Vera Gel (15% of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₇ (Kiwi Juice (20% of Total

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Head and Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: rahulsehrawat057@gmail.com;

Fruit Juice)), T₈ (Kiwi Juice (30% of Total Fruit Juice)), T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% of Total Fruit Juice)). On the basis of our experimental finding it was found that the treatment T₃ : Strawberry Juice (50% of Total Fruit Juice content) was found best in the terms of taste, shelf life, TSS, Ascorbic acid, Acidity and organoleptic parameters.

Keywords: RTS; dragon fruit; quality parameters; organoleptic parameters; benefit cost ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Dragon fruit is a perennial, epiphytic tropical climbing cactus with a triangular fleshy jointed stems which belongs to family Cactaceae and of genus *Hylocereus*” (Cheah et al., 2016; Tripathi et al., 2014 and Gunasen et al. 2006). “There are three species of dragon fruit which include *Selenicereus megalathus* (white flesh with yellow peel dragon fruit), *Hylocereus undatus* (white-flesh with red peel dragon fruit) and *Hylocereus polyrhizus* (redflesh with red peel dragon fruit). *Hylocereus undatus* is the most cultivated and consumed species of dragon fruit. The fruits of this species present market demand, due to its very attractive sensory characteristics” (De Mello, 2014).

“Kiwi fruit is introduced into the world in 20th century. In recent years, its production and consumption has increased” (Izali et al., 2007). “The kiwifruit is unique because of its high nutritional content, different flavors, vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, phytochemicals and fiber content. In terms of nutrient content, the kiwifruit is amongst the richest fruits: it is also very valuable in terms of health. The fruit is also canned, dried, frozen, and used for the preparation of nectars” (Göksel and Atak 2016).

“Strawberry is an important fruit crop which belongs to family Rosaceae. It is characterized by fruity, sweet and tart flavor and is widely appreciated for its characteristic aroma, bright red fruit color and juicy texture” (Mehriz et al., 2013). “It is monoecious, short day, non climatic, aggregate, temperate type fruit. The edible portion of strawberry is succulent thalamus of the flower which include receptacle with numerous achenes” (Salaria and Salaria, 2009). The fruit can be used by developing techniques for the preparation of different value added products either in the form of whole fruit or pulp during peak harvesting season.

Aloe vera is perennial, drought resistant succulent plant commonly known as ‘Ghrith-kumari’ and ‘Gheegwar’. It belongs to the Asphodelaceae or Liliaceae family, which

historically has been used for a variety of medicinal purpose (Ramachandra and Rao, 2008).

Importance of the Product: Ready to Serve” drinks are of utmost importance as they provide convenience and efficiency in our fast-paced lives. These pre-packaged beverages eliminate the need for preparation or mixing, making them ideal for on-the-go consumption. They cater to busy individuals, ensuring instant refreshment without compromising quality or taste. Ready to Serve drinks offer a convenient solution for hydration, enjoyment, and quick energy replenishment in various settings

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The area of Prayagraj district comes under subtropical belt in the south east of Uttar Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46°C-48°C and seldom falls as low as 4°C- 5°C. The relative humidity ranges between 20 to 94 %. The average rainfall in this area is around 1013.4 mm annually. However, occasional precipitation is also not uncommon during winter months.

The experiment was conducted in Completely Randomized Design with 9 treatment replicated thrice with an objective to find out the quality and economics of the RTS. The treatments were T₀ (Control), T₁ (Strawberry Juice (20% Of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₂ (Strawberry Juice (30% Of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₄ (Aloe Vera Gel (5% Of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₅ (Aloe Vera Gel (10% Of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₆ (Aloe Vera Gel (15% Of Total Fruit Juice content)), T₇ (Kiwi Juice (20% Of Total Fruit Juice)), T₈ (Kiwi Juice (30% Of Total Fruit Juice)), T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The maximum Total soluble solid content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with

13.21 °B followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 12.97 °B and the minimum was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 611.90 °B. "A slight increase in total soluble solids during storage might be due to conversion of polysaccharides (present in fruits) into sugars during hydrolysis process". Vikram and Prasad [1] "compositional changes in value-added Kinnow-Aonla RTS revealed that there was increase in the level of TSS during the storage period (six months) and in aonla RTS beverage" [2].

The maximum acidity % content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 0.51 % followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 0.49 % and the minimum was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 0.49%. "A slight increase in acidity during storage might be due to conversion of polysaccharides (present in fruits) into sugars during hydrolysis process" [3] This finding agreed with the finding of Vikram and Prasad [1] compositional changes in value-added Kinnow-Aonla RTS revealed that there was increase in the level of TSS during the storage period (six months) and [2] in aonla RTS beverage.

The maximum Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 9.08 Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 8.81 Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) and the minimum was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 5.12 Ascorbic acid (mg/100g). A slight increase in Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) during storage might be due to conversion of polysaccharides (present in fruits) into sugars during hydrolysis process. This finding agreed with the finding of Vikram and Prasad [1] compositional changes in value-added Kinnow-Aonla RTS revealed that there was increase in the level of ascorbic acid (mg/100g) during the storage period (six months) and [2] in aonla RTS beverage.

The maximum Total sugar (%) content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 13.21 Total sugar (%) followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 12.97 Total sugar (%) and the minimum was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 611.90 Total sugar (%). A slight increase in Total sugar (mg/100g) during storage might be due to conversion of polysaccharides (present in fruits) into sugars during hydrolysis process. This

finding agreed with the finding of Vikram and Prasad [1] compositional changes in value-added Kinnow-Aonla RTS revealed that there was increase in the level of total sugar (mg/100g) during the storage period (six months) and [2] in aonla RTS beverage.

The maximum Reducing sugar (%) content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 6.17 Reducing sugar (%) followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 5.94 Reducing sugar (%) and the minimum was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 4.71 Reducing sugar (%). A slight increase in Reducing sugar (mg/100g) during storage might be due to conversion of polysaccharides (present in fruits) into sugars during hydrolysis process. This finding agreed with the finding of Vikram and Prasad [1] compositional changes in value-added Kinnow-Aonla RTS revealed that there was increase in the level of Reducing sugar (mg/100g) during the storage period (six months) and [2] in aonla RTS beverage.

The maximum Non reducing sugar (%) content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 7.74 Non reducing sugar (%) followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 7.51 Non reducing sugar (%) and the minimum was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 6.28 Non reducing sugar (%). A slight increase in Non reducing sugar (%) during storage might be due to conversion of polysaccharides (present in fruits) into sugars during hydrolysis process. This finding agreed with the finding of Vikram and Prasad [1] compositional changes in value-added Kinnow-Aonla RTS revealed that there was increase in the level of Non reducing sugar (%) during the storage period (six months) and [2] in aonla RTS beverage.

The maximum score of texture content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 7.90 followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 8.65 and the minimum score was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 6.74. Deterioration of texture and browning reactions caused by redox reactions catalyzed by polyphenol-oxidases due to enzymatic and non-enzymatic reactions on pigment during storage of fruit products impair the quality of the products. It could be attributed to nonenzymatic reactions, which occur between nitrogenous compounds and sugars or organic acid and organic acids

Table 1. Effect of different recipe on TSS, acidity %, ascorbic acid (mg/100g), total sugar % of dragon fruit RTS

| Symbol | TSS | | | | Acidity % | | | | Ascorbic acid | | | | Total Sugar % | | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Initial | 15 Days | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS |
| T ₀ | 11.42 | 11.60 | 11.75 | 11.90 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 8.49 | 6.74 | 5.29 | 5.12 | 11.42 | 11.60 | 11.75 | 11.90 |
| T ₁ | 11.94 | 12.08 | 12.19 | 12.35 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 10.74 | 9.30 | 8.04 | 7.87 | 11.94 | 12.08 | 12.19 | 12.35 |
| T ₂ | 12.19 | 12.41 | 12.53 | 12.67 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 11.04 | 9.66 | 8.41 | 8.24 | 12.19 | 12.41 | 12.53 | 12.67 |
| T ₃ | 12.70 | 12.93 | 13.07 | 13.21 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 11.78 | 10.46 | 9.25 | 9.08 | 12.70 | 12.93 | 13.07 | 13.21 |
| T ₄ | 11.50 | 11.65 | 11.78 | 11.91 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 8.73 | 6.98 | 5.60 | 5.43 | 11.50 | 11.65 | 11.78 | 11.91 |
| T ₅ | 11.54 | 11.67 | 11.82 | 11.96 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 9.12 | 7.47 | 6.10 | 5.93 | 11.54 | 11.67 | 11.82 | 11.96 |
| T ₆ | 11.66 | 11.81 | 11.95 | 12.10 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 9.26 | 7.64 | 6.31 | 6.14 | 11.66 | 11.81 | 11.95 | 12.10 |
| T ₇ | 11.65 | 11.79 | 11.93 | 12.06 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 10.04 | 8.49 | 7.21 | 7.04 | 11.65 | 11.79 | 11.93 | 12.06 |
| T ₈ | 11.90 | 12.03 | 12.16 | 12.31 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 10.17 | 8.69 | 7.42 | 7.25 | 11.90 | 12.03 | 12.16 | 12.31 |
| T ₉ | 12.50 | 12.71 | 12.84 | 12.97 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 11.55 | 10.20 | 8.98 | 8.81 | 12.50 | 12.71 | 12.84 | 12.97 |
| F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| S. Ed. | 0.303 | 0.330 | 0.337 | 0.348 | 0.003 | 0.018 | 0.008 | 0.020 | 0.276 | 0.256 | 0.248 | 0.300 | 0.303 | 0.330 | 0.337 | 0.348 |
| C.D. _{.5%} | 0.643 | 0.700 | 0.714 | 0.738 | 0.006 | 0.037 | 0.016 | 0.042 | 0.584 | 0.543 | 0.525 | 0.636 | 0.643 | 0.700 | 0.714 | 0.738 |
| CV | 16.62 | 18.082 | 19.072 | 19.072 | 0.161 | 0.968 | 1.075 | 1.075 | 15.101 | 14.030 | 16.434 | 16.434 | 16.621 | 18.082 | 19.072 | 19.072 |

Table 2. Effect of different recipe on reducing sugar %, non reducing sugar % and score of texture, color and shelf life of dragon fruit RTS

| Symbol | Reducing sugar | | | | Non reducing sugar | | | | Texture | | | | Color | | | | Shelf life |
|---------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | |
| T ₀ | 4.23 | 4.39 | 4.55 | 4.71 | 5.80 | 5.96 | 6.12 | 6.28 | 7.00 | 6.94 | 6.84 | 6.74 | 6.17 | 6.27 | 6.37 | 6.43 | 36.00 |
| T ₁ | 5.10 | 5.28 | 5.46 | 5.63 | 6.67 | 6.85 | 7.03 | 7.20 | 7.34 | 7.30 | 7.14 | 6.99 | 6.42 | 6.57 | 6.73 | 6.77 | 39.30 |
| T ₂ | 5.20 | 5.39 | 5.58 | 5.80 | 6.77 | 6.96 | 7.15 | 7.37 | 8.00 | 7.90 | 7.70 | 7.40 | 6.83 | 7.13 | 7.33 | 7.43 | 40.83 |
| T ₃ | 5.43 | 5.69 | 5.95 | 6.17 | 7.00 | 7.26 | 7.52 | 7.74 | 8.74 | 8.50 | 8.14 | 7.90 | 8.08 | 8.27 | 8.33 | 8.50 | 46.66 |
| T ₄ | 4.49 | 4.66 | 4.83 | 4.99 | 6.06 | 6.23 | 6.40 | 6.56 | 7.17 | 7.10 | 7.00 | 6.90 | 6.33 | 6.43 | 6.53 | 6.60 | 41.33 |
| T ₅ | 4.57 | 4.75 | 4.93 | 5.10 | 6.14 | 6.32 | 6.50 | 6.67 | 7.74 | 7.64 | 7.44 | 7.24 | 6.67 | 6.87 | 7.07 | 7.17 | 41.67 |
| T ₆ | 4.83 | 4.96 | 5.09 | 5.26 | 6.40 | 6.53 | 6.66 | 6.83 | 8.37 | 8.30 | 8.04 | 7.74 | 7.17 | 7.47 | 7.73 | 7.80 | 42.63 |
| T ₇ | 4.93 | 5.07 | 5.21 | 5.38 | 6.50 | 6.64 | 6.78 | 6.95 | 7.57 | 7.44 | 7.27 | 7.07 | 6.50 | 6.70 | 6.87 | 7.00 | 41.36 |
| T ₈ | 5.00 | 5.13 | 5.26 | 5.43 | 6.57 | 6.70 | 6.83 | 7.00 | 8.17 | 8.10 | 7.94 | 7.57 | 7.00 | 7.37 | 7.53 | 7.60 | 41.61 |
| T ₉ | 5.28 | 5.50 | 5.72 | 5.94 | 6.85 | 7.07 | 7.29 | 7.51 | 8.07 | 8.90 | 8.84 | 8.65 | 7.33 | 7.57 | 7.93 | 8.17 | 42.00 |
| F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| S. Ed. | 0.272 | 0.344 | 0.384 | 0.372 | 0.346 | 0.328 | 0.360 | 0.401 | 0.406 | 0.417 | 0.375 | 0.335 | 0.328 | 0.329 | 0.423 | 0.464 | 0.698 |
| C.D. _{.5%} | 0.577 | 0.730 | 0.813 | 0.789 | 0.734 | 0.695 | 0.764 | 0.849 | 0.861 | 0.883 | 0.794 | 0.710 | 0.696 | 0.698 | 0.896 | 0.984 | 1.479 |
| CV | 14.91 | 18.855 | 20.394 | 20.394 | 18.972 | 17.947 | 21.947 | 21.947 | 22.240 | 22.814 | 18.356 | 18.356 | 17.973 | 18.025 | 25.434 | 25.434 | 38.214 |

Table 3. Effect of different recipe on score of flavor, aroma, taste and overall acceptability and benefit cost (B:C) ratio of dragon fruit RTS

| Symbol | Flavor | | | | Aroma | | | | Taste | | | | Overall acceptability | | | | B:C ratio |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | Initial | 15 DAYS | 30 DAYS | 45 DAYS | |
| T ₀ | 5.50 | 5.70 | 5.90 | 6.00 | 5.75 | 5.87 | 6.00 | 6.34 | 7.00 | 6.94 | 6.84 | 6.74 | 5.63 | 5.77 | 5.91 | 6.09 | 1.76 |
| T ₁ | 6.10 | 6.20 | 6.40 | 6.60 | 6.27 | 6.48 | 6.72 | 6.98 | 7.34 | 7.30 | 7.14 | 6.99 | 6.10 | 6.25 | 6.49 | 6.67 | 2.02 |
| T ₂ | 6.70 | 7.00 | 7.10 | 7.20 | 7.08 | 7.30 | 7.53 | 7.82 | 8.00 | 7.90 | 7.70 | 7.40 | 6.73 | 7.01 | 7.21 | 7.37 | 2.20 |
| T ₃ | 7.60 | 7.80 | 8.00 | 8.20 | 7.80 | 8.02 | 8.15 | 8.45 | 8.74 | 8.50 | 8.14 | 7.90 | 7.74 | 7.91 | 8.09 | 8.28 | 2.46 |
| T ₄ | 5.70 | 5.90 | 6.10 | 6.20 | 6.02 | 6.13 | 6.38 | 6.66 | 7.17 | 7.10 | 7.00 | 6.90 | 5.85 | 6.01 | 6.17 | 6.32 | 1.85 |
| T ₅ | 6.50 | 6.60 | 6.90 | 7.00 | 6.93 | 7.15 | 7.40 | 7.68 | 7.74 | 7.64 | 7.44 | 7.24 | 6.51 | 6.75 | 6.99 | 7.17 | 1.93 |
| T ₆ | 7.20 | 7.40 | 7.50 | 7.60 | 7.64 | 7.86 | 8.03 | 8.30 | 8.37 | 8.30 | 8.04 | 7.74 | 7.15 | 7.41 | 7.59 | 7.74 | 2.02 |
| T ₇ | 6.30 | 6.40 | 6.70 | 6.80 | 6.75 | 6.87 | 7.25 | 7.63 | 7.57 | 7.44 | 7.27 | 7.07 | 6.34 | 6.52 | 6.75 | 7.00 | 1.98 |
| T ₈ | 6.90 | 7.20 | 7.40 | 7.50 | 7.36 | 7.58 | 7.86 | 8.15 | 8.17 | 8.10 | 7.94 | 7.57 | 6.92 | 7.23 | 7.43 | 7.56 | 2.07 |
| T ₉ | 7.40 | 7.60 | 7.60 | 7.70 | 7.80 | 8.00 | 8.10 | 8.40 | 8.07 | 8.90 | 8.84 | 8.65 | 7.37 | 7.59 | 7.75 | 7.93 | 2.29 |
| F-Test | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | |
| S. Ed. | 0.482 | 0.492 | 0.519 | 0.558 | 0.431 | 0.446 | 0.472 | 0.490 | 0.406 | 0.417 | 0.375 | 0.335 | 0.454 | 0.466 | 0.493 | 0.513 | |
| C.D.^{.5%} | 1.021 | 1.042 | 1.101 | 1.182 | 0.914 | 0.945 | 1.001 | 1.039 | 0.861 | 0.883 | 0.794 | 0.710 | 0.962 | 0.989 | 1.046 | 1.088 | |
| CV | 26.389 | 26.929 | 30.539 | 30.539 | 23.611 | 24.428 | 26.856 | 26.856 | 22.240 | 22.814 | 18.356 | 18.356 | 24.841 | 25.551 | 28.106 | 28.106 | |

with sugars. Similar results were reported by Syed et al. [4] in sweet orange based products.

The maximum score of color content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 8.50 followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 8.17 and the minimum score was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 6.43. Deterioration of color due to enzymatic and non-enzymatic reactions on pigment during storage of fruit products impair the quality of the products. It could be attributed to non enzymatic reactions, which occur between nitrogenous compounds and sugars or organic acid and organic acids with sugars. Similar results were reported by Syed et al. [4] in sweet orange based products [5-8].

The maximum score of Flavour content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 8.20 followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 7.70 and the minimum score was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 6.00. Deterioration of Flavour due to enzymatic and non-enzymatic reactions on pigment during storage of fruit products impair the quality of the products. It could be attributed to non enzymatic reactions, which occur between nitrogenous compounds and sugars or organic acid and organic acids with sugars. Similar results were reported by Syed et al. [4] in sweet orange based products.

The maximum score of Aroma content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 8.45 followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 8.40 and the minimum score was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 6.34. Deterioration of Aroma due to enzymatic and non-enzymatic reactions on pigment during storage of fruit products impair the quality of the products. It could be attributed to nonenzymatic reactions, which occur between nitrogenous compounds and sugars or organic acid and organic acids with sugars. Similar results were reported by Syed et al. [4] in sweet orange based products.

The maximum score of Taste content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 7.90 followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 8.65 and the minimum score was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 6.74. Deterioration of Taste due to enzymatic and non-enzymatic reactions on pigment during storage of fruit

products impair the quality of the products. It could be attributed to non enzymatic reactions, which occur between nitrogenous compounds and sugars or organic acid and organic acids with sugars. Similar results were reported by Syed et al. [4] in sweet orange based products [9-11].

The maximum score of Overall acceptability content in dragon fruit RTS was recorded in T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with 8.28 followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with 7.93 and the minimum score was recorded in T₀ (Control) with 6.09. Deterioration of Overall acceptability due to enzymatic and non-enzymatic reactions on pigment during storage of fruit products impair the quality of the products. It could be attributed to non enzymatic reactions, which occur between nitrogenous compounds and sugars or organic acid and organic acids with sugars [12-14]. Similar results were reported by Syed et al. [4] in sweet orange based products.

It is evident that the days to storage on Shelf life was influenced by different treatments at all successive stage of storage. There was significant differences between the treatments, among the treatment used T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with (46.66) have highest number of days in shelf life followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with (42.00) which were significantly superior then T₀ (Control) with (36.00) days.

It is evident that the cost benefit ratio was influenced by different treatments at all successive stage of storage. There was significant differences between the treatments, among the treatment used T₃ (Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content)) with (2.46) have highest cost benefit ratio followed by T₉ (Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice)) with (2.29) which were significantly superior then T₀ (Control) with (1.76).

4. CONCLUSION

The study concluded that treatment T₃ : Strawberry Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content) is best in all lab tests except the texture in which T₉ : Kiwi Juice (50% Of Total Fruit Juice content) is best. when compared with other treatments at the interval of Initial days, 15 days, 30 days and 45 days at room temperature.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Vikram B, Prasad VM. Studies on Value added kinnow - Aonla blended ready to serve beverage. J. Food Process Technol. 2014;5(1):288-292.
2. Jain Sahay S, Shashtri S. Ascorbic acid loss, microbial spoilage and sensory changes in aonla juice. Indian. J. Arid Hort. 2007;2(2):36-39.
3. Manisha Singh, Prasad VM. Standardization and value addition in herbal guava jam. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020;8(6):530-534.
4. Syed HM, Pawar SM, Jadhav BA, Salve RV. Studies on preparation and qualities of sweet orange based products. R. V. Salve, Indian J. Food Sci. Tech. 2011;3(2):32-42.
5. Aggarwal P, Sandhu KS. Effect of harvesting time on physico chemical properties of kinnow juice and non juice components of kinnow. J. Food Sci. Tech. 2003;40(6):666-668.
6. Anonymous. Processed fruits and vegetables. The Wealth of India. Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi; 1971.
7. Bose, Lal, Kumar. Studies on Value Added Kinnow - Aonla Blended Ready to Serve Beverage. J. Food Process Technol. 2014;5(1):288-292. Rheological, textural and spectral characteristics of sorbitol substituted mango jam. J. Food Eng. 2011; 105 (3):503–512.
8. Chodhery SK, Chopra JD. Studied on effect of herbs in different fruit products. J.Food. Sci. Tech. 2007;30:44-45.
9. Deka BC, Sethi V, Suneja P, Srivastava VK. Physico-chemical changes of lime-aonla spiced beverage during storage. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2004;41(3): 329-332.
10. Devraju KR. Processing of Ber (Zyzypos Mauritiana) fruits. M.Sc Thesis, Uni. Agric. Sci. Dharwad (India); 2001.
11. Jalil MA, Javidullah YD, Alam Z, Khan M. Development of low caloric orange squash and physico-chemical evaluation during its storage interval. Department of Food Science and Technology, NWFP Agricultural University, Peshawar, Pakistan. Sarhad J. Agri. 2004;20(4):651-654.
12. Wani RA, Prasad VM, Hakeem SA, Sheema S, Angchuk S, Dixit A. Shelf life of karonda jams (*Carissa carandays* L.) under ambient temperature. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013;8 (21):2447-2449.
13. Yadav RB, Yadav BS, Kalia K. Development and storage studies on whey- based banana herbal (*Mentha arvensis*) beverage. American J. Food. Tech. 2010;5(2):121- 129.
14. Yusuf, Humeid. Effect of impregnation using sucrose solution on stability of anthocyanin in strawberry jam. Food Science and Technology. 2000;44: 891-895.

© 2023 Sahrwat et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103820>