



Nutritional Composition of African Giant Land Snail (*Archachatina marginata*) Fed on Diet from Different Protein Sources

G. U. Emelue¹ and Z. Dododawa^{1*}

¹*Department of Forest Resources and Wildlife Management, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author ZD designed the study, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author GUE performed the statistical analysis, managed the literature searches and proof read the final manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAERI/2017/33927

Editor(s):

(1) Vincent Ishola Awe Ezin, Agricultural Science, University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin and AVRDC- The World Vegetable Center in Taiwan, Taiwan.

Reviewers:

(1) Jorge Castro Bedriñana, Universidad Nacional del Centro del Perú, Peru.

(2) Momohmumuni Audu, University of Nigeria Nsukka, Nigeria.

(3) José Alfredo Villagómez-Cortés, Universidad Veracruzana, Mexico.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/21209>

Original Research Article

Received 4th May 2017
Accepted 13th September 2017
Published 2nd October 2017

ABSTRACT

The study of nutritional composition of African giant land snail (*Archachatina marginata*) fed on diet from different protein sources: soya bean meal, fish meal and blood meal with Pawpaw leaves and pumpkin leaves serving as the controls was carried out in the Wildlife domestication unit of the Department of Forestry and Wildlife, University of Benin, Benin City. One hundred and twenty (120) snails of average weight of between 110 and 120 g were used. Eight (8) treatments (T1-T8) were established and the snails were separated in groups of fifteen (15) snails per treatment. Each group was randomly fed one of the eight diets. There were three (3) replicates per treatment with five (5) snails per replicate in a completely randomized experimental design. Twenty four (24) plastic baskets measuring 20 cm deep, 37.5 cm long and 23.8 cm wide were used to house the snails with each plastic basket containing five (5) snails. At the termination of the 12 weeks experiment, proximate analysis, mineral composition and heavy metal composition of the snail flesh were carried out. The results revealed that the crude protein content of the different

*Corresponding author: E-mail: zubeiru.dododawa@uniben.edu;

treatments were significantly different ($p < 0.05$). Results showed that Crude protein value was the highest in treatment 7 (87.5%) and the lowest in treatment 1 (61.25%) and treatment 5 (63.00%). The snails fed with protein sources also had higher crude protein than those fed with only leaves. The results of the mineral profile showed that the Calcium, Magnesium and Phosphorus of the different treatment were significantly different ($p < 0.05$). Treatment 2 recorded the highest value (mg/kg) in Calcium (59.00) and Magnesium (71.00) while Treatment 7 had the least value in Calcium (13.0) and Treatment 1 and Treatment 8 had the least values in Magnesium (25.0). The result of the heavy metals showed that the Copper, Zinc and Lead of the different treatment were significantly different ($p < 0.05$). It was concluded that the snails fed on diet from different protein sources such as blood meal, fish meal and soya bean meal had higher crude protein content and also performed better than the snails fed with only leaves such as pawpaw leaves and pumpkin leaves.

Keywords: *Archachatina marginata*; protein sources; nutritional composition; proximate analysis; crude-protein.

1. INTRODUCTION

Snails are the largest group of molluscs constituting the largest animal group next to arthropods. The giant land snails are non-conventional protein sources whose meat is a highly relished delicacy (also known as 'Congo meat') and constitutes an important source of animal protein in many coastal communities of Nigeria and other parts of Africa [1]. Snail meat is regarded as a form of bush meat or game meat to be eaten occasionally instead of being a nutritious meat to be relished on a daily basis just like the meat of other conventional livestock [2]. Some ethnic groups even have superstitious beliefs that discourage the eating of snail meat or the eating of certain species of snails to the detriment of others. [3] has observed that while *Archachatina marginata* is generally accepted for consumption, there is a strong cultural discrimination in the consumption of *Achatina achatina* by some tribes in Southern Nigeria. Studies by [4] have shown that different breeds of snails can be found in Nigeria and they are characterized by high efficiency of nutrient transformation into quality protein. [5] stated that the African giant snail (*Archachatina marginata*) is the most common edible land snail found and reared in Nigeria.

Most of the conventional animal protein sources such as beef, goat, pork and poultry products have become too expensive for the average citizen. These major sources are decreasing at an alarming rate due to persistent drought, disease, high cost of feed and primitive husbandry techniques [6]. In order to provide a cheaper source of protein for human consumption, there is need for an intensive

system of rearing alternative sources of animal protein, in the form of game meat and snail meat. It has been observed that collection of such sources from the wild cannot meet man's demand for protein [7].

Snails are important sources of animal protein and contain almost all the essential amino acids required by man [8]. Meat of snail is palatable, nutritious and rich in essential amino acids such as lysine, leucine, isoleucine and phenylalanine as well as high iron contents [9,10,11]. Snail meat popularly known as 'Congo meat' has been described as a high quality food that is rich in protein, iron, contain high levels of magnesium, phosphorus and potassium but low levels of sodium, fat and cholesterol [12,13,14]. The low contents of fat and cholesterol make snail meat a good antidote for vascular diseases such as heart attack, cardiac arrest, hypertension and stroke [15]. The availability of giant land snails in the world is decreasing gradually through indiscriminate hunting and deforestation which destroys the snail's natural habitat [16]. It has been observed that snails collected from the wild cannot meet man's demand as a source of protein [6], hence there is need to rear them on a household and on a commercial basis. [17] has shown that feeding plays a vital role in the survival, growth and reproduction of most domesticated animals and have shown that snails' feed conversion rates are quite high compared to some other micro-livestock. Hence, this study investigated the flesh quality of the African giant land snail (*Archachatina marginata*) fed on fish meal, soya bean meal and blood meal with pawpaw leaves (*Carica papaya*) and Ugwu leaves (*Telfaira spp.*) as basal diets and also serving as control.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location and Period of Study

The experiment was carried out in the Wildlife domestication unit of the Department of Forestry and Wildlife, University of Benin, Benin City. The Ugbowo main campus of the University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria, has a total land area of 1,748 hectares. The Latitude and Longitude of University of Benin is 6° 20' 1.32"N and 5° 36' 0.53"E. The altitude is 74.5 m above sea level.

The climate in the University of Benin is that of the rainforest zone of southwest Nigeria. Where it is situated in continually moist or has no prolonged drought [18]. Rainfall is usually high, about 2000 mm annually and in some places exceeding 8000 mm.

The northern part of the campus is drained by Ikpoba River. The area is characteristically of high temperature from 27°C to 32°C with an average temperature of 27°C. It has a relative humidity ranging from 75% (12 noon) and 95% (6.00am). The study was carried out for a period of 12 weeks.

2.2 Experimental Design and Treatment

Eight (8) different treatments were used in relation to food items that were used are as follows.

- Treatment 1 fed with pawpaw leaves (*Carica papaya*)
- Treatment 2 fed with pawpaw leaves and blood meal
- Treatment 3 fed with pawpaw leaves and fish meal
- Treatment 4 fed with pawpaw leaves and soya bean meal
- Treatment 5 fed with pumpkin leaves (*Telfaira spp.*)
- Treatment 6 fed with pumpkin leaves and fish meal
- Treatment 7 fed with pumpkin leaves and soya bean meal
- Treatment 8 fed with pumpkin leaves and blood meal

Pawpaw leaves and pumpkin leaves served as the controls. The leaves and the protein diet were given in equal amount and proportion.

2.3 Methods

One hundred and twenty (120) snails were bought in Uwa market, Benin City, Edo state.

They were of average weight of 110 – 120 g. They were separated in groups of fifteen (15) snails per treatment. Each group was randomly fed one of the eight diet. There were three (3) replicates per treatment with five (5) snails per replicate in a completely randomized experimental design. Twenty four (24) plastic baskets measuring 20 cm deep, 37.5 cm long and 23.8 cm wide were used to house the snails with each plastic basket containing five (5) snails. The baskets were half-filled with loamy soil. Water and feed were supplied as ad libitum every evening from 5 pm. This was to ensure that their food was always fresh at the time of feeding as snails were described as nocturnal animals. The snails were weighed once in two weeks [19] with an electronic weighing balance throughout the experiment which lasted for 12 weeks.

After the 12 weeks experiment, nine snails from each treatment, that is, three snails from each replicate were harvested, sacrificed, and properly cleaned prior to their preparation for proximate analysis. The proximate analysis was carried out in the Food Science and Technology Laboratory, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria using standard method.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

All analysis were performed in triplicates and the results were expressed as mean. Data for all determinations were subjected to one way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using the Complete Randomized Design (CRD) model. When the means were significant, they were separated using the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (at 5% probability level) using the Genstat computer software (16th edition).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Proximate Composition of the Feed Items Used

The result of the proximate composition of the feed items used revealed that there were significant difference (P<0.05) in all the nutrients ranging from percentage moisture to percentage crude fibre. Fish meal had the highest crude protein content of 63% while fresh pawpaw leaves had the least crude protein content of 14% (Table 1).

3.2 Mineral Composition of the Feed Items Used (mg/kg)

The result of the mineral composition of the feed items used revealed that there were significant difference ($P<0.05$) in all the minerals. Fish meal had the highest value of calcium, magnesium and phosphorus (70.00, 105.00 and 1480.00) respectively while soya bean meal had the least value of calcium (33.00). Fresh pumpkin leaves and blood meal had the least values of magnesium (26.00) and phosphorus (231.00) respectively (Table 2).

3.3 Heavy Metal Composition of the Feed Items Used (mg/kg)

The result of the heavy metal composition of the feed items used revealed that there were significant difference ($P<0.05$) in all the heavy

metals. Fresh pawpaw leaves had the highest value of copper (23.90) and lead (15.10) while fish meal had the highest value of Zinc (129.10). Fresh pumpkin leaves and blood meal had the least value of copper (14.50) and zinc (12.40) respectively while lead was not detected in fresh pumpkin leaves and soya bean meal (Table 3)

3.4 Proximate Analysis

Proximate analysis of the snail flesh revealed that the crude protein content of the different treatments were significantly different ($p<0.05$). The values ranged from 87.5% for Treatment 7 to 61.25% for Treatment 1 (Table 4). The ash content were significantly different from one another with Treatment 4 having the highest value of 7.2% and Treatment 8 with the lowest value of 0.6%. There was also a significant difference in the fat content with treatment 6

Table 1. Proximate composition of the feed item used

| Nutrient | Fresh pawpaw leaves | Fresh pumpkin leaves | Soya bean meal | Fish meal | Blood meal |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| % Moisture | 42.50 ^a | 45.00 ^b | 15.00 ^c | 15.00 ^c | 42.50 ^a |
| % Ash | 5.90 ^a | 0.15 ^b | 4.80 ^c | 7.00 ^d | 2.70 ^e |
| % Fat | 2.25 ^a | 3.10 ^b | 4.10 ^c | 14.75 ^d | 0.45 ^e |
| %Crude protein | 14.00 ^a | 15.75 ^b | 36.75 ^c | 63.00 ^d | 33.25 ^e |
| N.F.E | 32.80 ^a | 35.00 ^b | 34.35 ^c | 0.25 ^d | 21.10 ^e |
| Gross energy (Kcal/g) | 245.85 ^a | 265.38 ^b | 411.54 ^c | 501.49 ^d | 553.71 ^e |
| % Crude fibre | 2.55 ^a | 1.00 ^b | 5.00 ^c | 0.00 ^d | 0.00 ^d |

Source: Laboratory Analysis, 2014

Means in the same row with similar letters are not significantly different from each other ($p<0.05$)

Table 2. Mineral composition of the feed items used (mg/kg)

| | Fresh awpaw leaves | Fresh pumpkin leaves | Soya bean meal | Fish meal | Blood meal |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Calcium | 34.00 ^a | 36.00 ^a | 33.00 ^a | 70.00 ^b | 65.00 ^c |
| Magnesium | 53.00 ^a | 26.00 ^{bf} | 27.00 ^{cf} | 105.00 ^{dg} | 105.00 ^{eg} |
| Phosphorus | 888.00 ^a | 768.00 ^b | 1264.00 ^c | 1480.00 ^d | 231.00 ^e |

Source: Laboratory Analysis, 2014

Means in the same row with similar letters are not significantly different from each other ($p<0.05$)

Table 3. Heavy metal composition of the feed Item used (mg/kg)

| | Fresh pawpaw leaves | Fresh pumpkin leaves | Soya bean meal | Fish meal | Blood meal |
|--------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Copper | 23.90 ^a | 14.50 ^b | 19.60 ^c | 16.00 ^d | 18.00 ^{ce} |
| Zinc | 54.00 ^a | 36.90 ^b | 64.90 ^c | 129.10 ^d | 12.40 ^e |
| Lead | 15.10 ^a | 0.00 ^b | 0.00 ^{bc} | 13.40 ^d | 4.50 ^e |

Source: Laboratory Analysis, 2014

Means in the same row with similar letters are not significantly different from each other ($p<0.05$)

having the highest value of 3.7% and treatment 5 with the least value of 2.7%. The moisture content and gross energy were not significantly different ($p>0.05$).

The snails fed with protein sources (T2, T3, T4, T6, T7 and T8) were more nutritious than those fed with pumpkin and pawpaw leaves (T1 and T5) because they contain higher crude protein and gross energy. The snails fed with protein sources also have higher total weight gain than those fed with only leaves. This observation is similar to the work of [20] that diets with high crude protein and crude fat contents increase total body weight gained by animals. This is also in line with the findings of [21] that reported significant differences in the body weight gain of snails fed with different levels of yam peel. At the onset of the study (the first four weeks), the treatments with protein sources had the lowest responses in growth performance. After this period the snails became adapted to the protein sources. This is in agreement with the findings of [22] that snails accepted many types of food over period of time while in captivity.

3.5 Mineral Composition

The result of the mineral profile (Table 5) showed that the Calcium, Magnesium and Phosphorus of the different treatment were significantly different ($p<0.05$). Treatment 2 recorded the highest value

(mg/kg) in Calcium (59.00) and Magnesium (71.00) while Treatment 7 had the least value in Calcium (13.0) and Treatment 1 and Treatment 8 had the least values in Magnesium (25.0). The values of the Phosphorus ranged from 1424.00 for Treatment 6 to 1096.00 for Treatment 2. The snails fed with pawpaw leaves and blood meal (Treatment 2) recorded the highest value in Calcium and Magnesium. The consumption of snails fed with this diet could increase Calcium levels in the body and contribute to normal blood clotting [23]. The snails fed with pumpkin leaves and fish meal (Treatment 6) recorded the highest value in Phosphorus. This could be as a result of the high value of Phosphorus in the fish meal (Table 5). The consumption of snails fed with pumpkin leaves and fish meal could increase Phosphorus levels in the body. Phosphorus helps the kidney gets rid of waste and can reduce muscle pain after strenuous exercise.

3.6 Heavy Metals Composition

The result of the heavy metals (Table 6) showed that the Copper, Zinc and Lead of the different treatment were significantly different ($p<0.05$). Treatment 3 recorded the highest value (mg/kg) in Copper (24.00) and Zinc (116.00) while Treatment 8 had the least values of 12.50 and 32.20 respectively. The values of the Lead ranged from 10.60 for Treatment 6 to 4.50 for Treatment 4. There was no detection of Lead in Treatment 7.

Table 4. Mean values of the proximate analysis of the snail flesh

| Nutrient | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| % Moisture | 37.1 ^a | 38.5 ^a | 38 ^a | 35.2 ^a | 35.5 ^a | 37 ^a | 38.01 ^a | 40.21 ^a |
| % Ash | 5.5 ^e | 6.9 ^f | 5.3 ^{be} | 7.2 ^f | 3.9 ^b | 4.4 ^{bcd} | 3.9 ^{bc} | 0.6 ^a |
| % Fat | 3.25 ^{ab} | 3.35 ^b | 3.55 ^b | 3.3 ^{ab} | 2.7 ^a | 3.7 ^b | 3.25 ^{ab} | 3.2 ^{ab} |
| %Crude protein | 61.25 ^a | 84 ^g | 84 ^g | 78.75 ^e | 63 ^b | 77 ^d | 87.5 ^f | 68.25 ^c |
| N.F.E | 30.0 ^f | 5.75 ^a | 7.15 ^b | 10.75 ^c | 30.4 ^f | 14.9 ^d | 5.35 ^a | 27.95 ^e |
| Gross Energy (Kcal/g) | 482.18 ^a | 515.33 ^a | 522.87 ^a | 504.97 ^a | 488.37 ^a | 555.79 ^a | 542.02 ^a | 513.28 ^a |

Means in the same row with similar letters are not significantly different from each other ($p<0.05$)

T1 to T8 are different treatments as stated in materials and methods

N.F.E = Nitrogen Free Extract

Table 5. Mean values of the mineral composition of the snail flesh (mg/kg)

| | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Calcium | 55.0 ^d | 59.0 ^d | 35.0 ^c | 35.0 ^c | 25.0 ^b | 35.0 ^c | 13.0 ^a | 31.0 ^c |
| Magnesium | 25.0 ^c | 71.0 ^b | 40.0 ^a | 58.0 ^d | 55.0 ^d | 60.0 ^d | 26.0 ^c | 25.0 ^c |
| Phosphorus | 1120 ^{ab} | 1096 ^a | 1312 ^{fg} | 1264 ^{ef} | 1152 ^{abc} | 1424 ^g | 1248 ^{cd} | 1280 ^{ef} |

Source: Laboratory Analysis, 2014

Means in the same row with similar letters are not significantly different from each other ($p<0.05$)

Table 6. Mean values of the heavy metals composition of the snail flesh (mg/kg)

| | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 |
|--------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Copper | 20.4 ^{bc} | 22.4 ^c | 24.0 ^c | 16.8 ^b | 20.8 ^{bc} | 20.5 ^{bc} | 19.6 ^{bc} | 12.5 ^a |
| Zinc | 68.6 ^e | 71.5 ^e | 116.0 ^d | 89.4 ^f | 79.5 ^b | 87.7 ^f | 99.5 ^c | 32.2 ^a |
| Lead | 6.5 ^b | 9.7 ^c | 6.2 ^b | 4.5 ^b | 9.0 ^{bc} | 10.6 ^c | 0.00 ^a | 8.7 ^{bc} |

Source: Laboratory Analysis, 2014

Means in the same row with similar letters are not significantly different from each other ($p < 0.05$)

Table 6 shows the heavy metal composition of the snails. The amount of copper of the snails in all the treatments were found to be high as the general guideline is 8-15 mg of zinc for every 1 mg of copper. The snails from Treatment 1 to treatment 8 were found to contain high level of zinc. The effects of lead normally accumulate over time through a series of low level doses. Treatment 7 did not contain any amount of lead because the diet used (pumpkin leaves and soya bean meal) was lead free.

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from the study that the snails fed on diet from different protein sources such as blood meal, fish meal and soya bean meal had higher crude protein content than the snails fed with only leaves such as pawpaw leaves and pumpkin leaves. Of the protein diet used, the snails fed with fish meal performed better than those fed with blood meal and soya bean meal. Apart from the good performances of snails when fed with protein diets, it was considered to be easily accessible at all feed mills and available all the year round. Other advantage is that it can be stored for a longer period of time when compared with direct agricultural feed such as pumpkin and pawpaw leaves. The protein diet is also seen as been economically viable for intensive and large-scale snail farmers because it acts as growth booster.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Omole AJ, Taiwo AA, Amusan JA. Practical snail farming technical guide/bulletin, 2007. Institute of Agricultural Research & Training, Ibadan, Nigeria. 2007;1-24.
2. Malik AA, Dikko AH. Heliculture in Nigeria: The potentialities, opportunities and challenges. (A Review). Proceedings of the

- 34th Annual Conference of Nigerian Society for Animal Production, University of Uyo Town Campus. 2009;120-124.
3. Uboh FE, Ebong PE, Mbi E. Cultural discrimination in the consumption of black snail (*Archachatina marginata*) and white snail (*Achatina achatina*); any scientific justification? International Research Journal of Microbiology. 2010;1(1):13-17.
4. Omole AJ, Tewe OO, Adebowale EA, Oluokun JA, Ogundola FI, Nworgu FC. Performance of different breeds of snails under the same management conditions. Tropical Journal of Animal Science. 2000;3(1):133-138.
5. Omole AJ. The utilization of different energy supplements on performance characteristics of grower edible giant land snail (*Archachatina marginata*). M.sc. Thesis. Animal Science Department, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria; 1997.
6. Siyanbola MF. Preliminary investigation of the growth performance of the African giant land snail (*Archachatina marginata*) fed with selected household wastes. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2008;3(9):647-649.
7. Ejidike BN. Influence of artificial diet on captive rearing of the giant African land snail, (*Archachatina marginata*). Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances. 2007; 6(8):1028 1030.
8. Ejidike BN. Snail rearing practices in Southern Nigeria, Proceedings 12th Annual NSAP Conference. 2002;307-310.
9. Imevbore EA. Management techniques in rearing African giant land snail (*Archachatina marginata*). Ph.D. Thesis, University of Ibadan, Nigeria; 1990.
10. Stievenart C. Shell morphology, growth, reproduction and aestivation by African snail. Laboratory observation on *Archachatina marginata saturalis*, *Achatina achatina* and *Achatina fulica*. Ph.D Thesis. 1996;5:206.
11. Ebenebe CI. Mini-livestock production in Nigeria. The present and future.

- Proceedings of 5th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association in Nigeria, Port Harcourt, Nigeria, September 19-22; 2000.
12. Ajayi SS, Tewe OO, Mariarty C, Awesu MO. Observations on the biological and nutritive value of the African giant snail (*Archachatina marginata*). East African Wildlife Journal. 1978;16:85-95.
 13. Adeyeye EI. Waste yield, proximate and mineral composition of three different types of land snails found in Nigeria. International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 1996;47(2):111-116.
 14. Akintomide TO. Tropical snail farming. (1st ed.) Abeokuta: Oak Ventures. 2004;185.
 15. Akinnusi O. Introduction to snails and snail farming. Triolas Publishing Company, Abeokuta. 2002;70.
 16. Ademolu KO, Idowu AB, Mafiang CF, Osinowo OA. Performance, proximate and mineral analysis of african giant land snails (*Achachatina marginata*) fed different Nitrogen sources. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2004;3(98):412-417.
 17. Ejidike BN. Observations on the dietary protein level on maturity and egg production of the giant land snail, (*Archachatina marginata*). Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, Pakistan 2004;3:510-513.
 18. Nwoboshi LC. Principle of silviculture, University of Ibadan Press. 1982;333.
 19. Lameed GA. Feeding responses in snaillets of African Giant Land Snails (*Archachatina marginata*) to Different Food Items in the Sub-Saharah Tropical Region, Nigeria. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances. 2006;5(9):753-757.
 20. Awa AO. Comparison of snail diet, 28th Annual Conference of the Nigeria Agriculture Society, held in Ibadan. 1992; 284-288.
 21. Adeyemo AI, Borire OF. Response of African Giant Land Snails fed graded levels of yam peel meal. Proc. of 27th Conference of Nig. Soc for Animal Production (N.S.A.P), Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. 2000;45.
 22. Cobbinah JR. Snail farming in West Africa. A practical guide. C.T.A. Publication; 1993.
 23. Fagbuaro O, Oso JA, Edward JB, Ogunleye RF. Nutritional status of four species of giant land snail in Nigeria. J Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B.; 2006.

© 2017 Emelue and Dododawa; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/21209>