



Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

S. Sreerenjiny^{a*} and Victor Debbarma^{a#}

^a Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, (U.P), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2131281

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89526>

Original Research Article

Received 02 May 2022
Accepted 09 July 2022
Published 15 July 2022

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* season, 2021-22 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, to study "Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)." The treatments consist of different levels of Nitrogen through urea (100%, 75%, 50%, 25%), Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* (100%, 75%, 50%, 25%), Nitrogen through FYM (100%, 75%, 50%, 25%). The result reported that treatment 9 [75% Nitrogen through *vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea] significantly influenced higher plant height (199.96 cm), maximum number of branches (12.27), number of siliquae/plant (272.42), number of seeds/siliqua (14.24) dry weight (28.40 g). It is also observed that the higher seed yield (2.19t/ha) and higher stover yield (3.34t/ha) was obtained with the application of 75% Nitrogen through *vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea. Higher Gross return (119619.5), Net return (86,674), Benefit cost ratio (2.63) was obtained under the use of 75% Nitrogen through *vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea.

Keywords: Mustard; Nitrogen; Vermicompost; FYM; growth parameters; yield and economics.

^o M.Sc. Scholar,

[#] Assistant professor,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sreerenjinys@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

India is one of the largest producers of rapeseed and mustard in the world. India's contribution in the world's rapeseed and mustard production is the highest of any country. Mustard is a major rabi crop. Cultivation of mustard is taken up between October-November and February-March. Oil seeds are energy rich crops but these are cultivated under energy starvation condition that causes low production of these crops.

Mustard has primary center of its origin in central Asia with secondary centers in central and western China, Eastern India, Burma and through Iran to near east cultivated for centuries in many parts of Eurasia. In India, rapeseed-mustard occupy 6.23million hectare area with production and productivity of 9.34 million tonnes and 1499 kg ha respectively. Major growing areas are Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, and Haryana. Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh are the major mustard producing states in our country. Together, they contribute to about 50% of the total production. In Uttar Pradesh rapeseed and mustard is one of the major grown crops occupying 0.56 million hectares of area with production and productivity of 0.699 million tonnes and 1,248 kg/ha, respectively [1].

Continuous use of inorganic fertilizers alone on the soil physico-chemical properties and environment besides their higher cost affects the health of soil. In coming decades, a major issue in designing sustainable agriculture system will be soil organic matter management and the balanced use of organic and inorganic fertilizers which will check the plant nutrient depletion as well as maintain the soil health and ultimately improves the productivity of mustard crop [2]. INM improves the soil health and availability of nutrients which are responsible for better plant growth and development, hence yield of crop increases [3]. Integration of chemical and organic sources and their efficient management have shown promising results not only in sustaining the productivity but also in maintaining the soil health [4].

Nitrogen is the most important nutrient, and being a constituent of protoplasm and protein, it is involved in several metabolic processes that strongly influence growth, productivity and quality of crops [5]. Nitrogen is known to activate most of the metabolic activities and transformation of energy [6]. Vermicompost is

rich source of nutrients containing 1.25% N, 0.30% P, 0.70 % K, 0.01 % Cu, 0.18% Fe, 0.005% Zn [7]. Besides these, vermicompost also improves soil aeration, reduction of soil erosion, reduces evaporation losses of water, accelerates the process of humification, stimulates the microbial activity, deodourification of obnoxious smell, destruction of pathogens, detoxification of pollutant in soil etc.

Farmyard manure (FYM) supplies N, P and K in available form to the plant through biological decomposition along with NPK, Sulphur is an important secondary plant nutrient which is essential for proper growth and functioning of the plant. Mustard plant need Sulphur in a great amount because of Sulphur containing amino acid like methionine, cystine. It also results in considerable amount of growth and yield of mustard along with an increase in the oil content of mustard varieties [8].

Nutrient management is one of the most important agronomic factors that affects the Indian mustard. But application of all the needed fertilizer through chemical fertilizers had deleterious effect of soil fertility, unsustainable yields. While integration with organic manures and bio-fertilizers would be able to maintain soil fertility and sustain crop productivity [9]. The research work carried out on application of different levels of nitrogen through *vermicompost*, FYM, urea in addition to nutrients in mustard is lacking in India, and still meager in Uttar Pradesh. Keeping these points in view, the present study entitled "Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)" was conducted at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh during *rabi* season of 2021-22.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* season of 2021-22, Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh. which is located at 25°24' 42" N latitude, 81° 50' 56" E longitude and at an altitude of 98m above mean sea level (MSL). The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design with 9 treatments each replicated thrice. The plot size of each treatment was 3m x 3m.

Treatments were given with different levels of nitrogen through urea (100%, 75%, 50%, 25%), nitrogen through *Vermicompost* (100%, 75%, 50%, 25%), nitrogen through FYM (100%, 75%, 50%, 25%). The mustard variety Varuna T-59 was sown on 27 October 2021 by maintaining a spacing of 30cm × 10cm. Harvesting was done taking 1m² area from each plot. And from it three plants were randomly selected for recording growth and yield parameters.

The observations were recorded for plant height, number of branches/plants, dry weight, number of siliquae/plants, number of seeds/silique, seed yield and stover yield. The data were subjected to statistical analysis by analysis of variance method [10].

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Plant height: Significant and higher plant height (199.96 cm) was recorded in treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) However, treatment- 7 (50% Nitrogen through *vermicompost* + 50% Nitrogen through urea) was found to be statistically at par with treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) [Table 2]. The reason for better growth and development in the above treatments might be due to increased availability of nitrogen and phosphorus to the plant initially through organic manure and then through fertilizers in the cropping season. *Vermicompost* might have played an important role in better development of roots and increased microbial activity because of balanced nutritional environment probably both in soil rhizosphere and plant system results in better growth and development of mustard crop.

These results were in conformity with those of Singh et al. [11].

Number of branches: Significantly higher number of branches/plant (12.27) was recorded in treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea). However, treatment- 6 (25% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 75% Nitrogen through urea) was found to be statistically at par with treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) [Table 2]. Application of 75 % inorganic and 25 % organic fertilizers after soil test value might have increased the availability of nitrogen to the plant at early growth stages and nitrogen being an essential constituent of nucleic acid, protoplasm and protein, play a fundamental role in metabolism, growth, development, reproduction and transmission of heritable characters, so the number of secondary branches also increased by this condition. These results were in conformity with those Singh et al. [11].

Dry weight: Significantly higher dry weight (28.40 g) was recorded in treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea). However, treatment- 7(50% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 50% Nitrogen through urea) was found to be statistically at par with treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) [Table 2]. Increase in dry weight due to the branches (primary and secondary) increased the total number of leaves per plant, hence the total accumulation of photosynthates and better growth of plants with combined application of inorganic fertilizers and organic manures. These findings were similar to Lal and Dravid [12].

Table 1. Details of treatment combinations

Treatment No.	Treatment Combinations
T1	100% Nitrogen through urea
T2	25% Nitrogen through FYM + 75% Nitrogen through urea
T3	50% Nitrogen through FYM + 50% Nitrogen through urea
T4	75% Nitrogen through FYM + 25% Nitrogen through urea
T5	100% Nitrogen through FYM
T6	25% Nitrogen through <i>vermicompost</i> + 75% Nitrogen through urea
T7	50% Nitrogen through <i>vermicompost</i> + 50% Nitrogen through urea
T8	75% Nitrogen through <i>vermicompost</i> + 25% Nitrogen through urea
T9	100% Nitrogen through <i>vermicompost</i>

Number of Siliquae/plants: Statistically higher number of siliquae per plant (279.05) treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea). However, treatment- 7 (50% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 50% Nitrogen through urea) was found to be statistically at par with treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) [Table 3]. Significantly higher number of siliqua/plants was with the application of nitrogen fertilizer and vermicompost in integrated pattern which might have helped to supply sufficient nutrients throughout the growth period. These results are in conformity with the findings of Patel et al. [6].

Number of seeds/siliqua: Statistically higher of was recorded maximum number of seed per siliqua (14.94) treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea). However, treatment- 7 (50% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 50% Nitrogen through urea) was found to be statistically at par with treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) [Table 3]. Significant and maximum number of seeds/siliqua was with application of *Vermicompost* which may have cost improvement of overall growth of crop. Thus, greater availability of metabolic activity and nutrients to develop reproductive structures seems to have resulted in increased number of siliqua/plant and seeds/siliqua. The present findings are within the close proximity of Singh et al. [8]

Seed yield: Significant and higher seed yield (2.39t/ha) treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea). However, treatment- 7 (50% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 50% Nitrogen through urea) was found to be statistically at par with treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) [Table 3]. From this result it may be inferred that the positive influence of vermicompost was due to adequate supply of nutrient in root zone and plant system. The increased availability of those nutrients in the root zone coupled with increased metabolic activity at cellular levels might have

synthesized more photosynthates and their accumulation in various plant parts. This result was corroborated by Parihar et al. [13].

Stover yield: Significant and highest stover yields (3.45t/ha) treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea). However, treatment- 7 (50% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 50% Nitrogen through urea) was found to be statistically at par with treatment-8(75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) [Table 3]. Significant and higher stover yield was with application 75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea. This might be due to the effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers combination to increasing growth attributes and production of more dry matter. These findings were similar to Sharma [14].

Gross returns (INR/ha): Higher gross returns (119619.5 INR/ha) was recorded in treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) as compared to other treatments [Table 3].

Net returns (INR/ha): Higher net returns (86,674 INR/ha) was recorded in treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) as compared to other treatments [Table 4]. The statistically higher net return was with application of 75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* with 25% Nitrogen through urea. The application of increasing rate of chemical fertilizers from 50 % to 75 % along with organic source increased the net return. These findings were similar to Gill et al. [15] in potato.

Benefit Cost ratio (B: C): Higher benefit Cost ratio (2.63) was recorded in treatment-8 (75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* + 25% Nitrogen through urea) as compared to other treatments [Table 4]. The statistically higher benefit cost ratio was with combination of inorganic fertilizer and organic manures.

The increase in yield also contributed to the higher benefit cost ratio. These findings were similar to Singh et al. [16,17] in tomato.

Table 2. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth parameters of mustard

Treatments	AT 100 DAS		
	Plant height(cm)	Number of branches	Dry Weight (g)
100% Nitrogen through urea	187.25	9.68	25.66
25% Nitrogen through FYM + 75% Nitrogen through urea	188.46	9.75	25.13
50% Nitrogen through FYM + 50% Nitrogen through urea	190.55	10.83	25.33
75% Nitrogen through FYM + 25% Nitrogen through urea	189.92	10.38	25.39
100% Nitrogen through FYM	190.42	10.94	25.88
25% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 75% Nitrogen through urea	194.03	11.15	25.79
50% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 50% Nitrogen through urea	198.06	11.71	27.33
75% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 25% Nitrogen through urea	199.96	12.27	28.40
100% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i>	193.20	11.45	25.73
F test	S	S	S
Sem (\pm)	0.66	0.32	0.37
CD (P=0.05)	1.99	0.97	1.42

Table 3. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield attributes in mustard

Treatments	Number of siliquae/plants	Number of seeds/siliqua	Seed yield (t/ha)	Stover yield (t/ha)
100% Nitrogen through urea	250.17	11.52	1.51	2.84
25% Nitrogen through FYM + 75% Nitrogen through urea	256.47	11.58	1.81	2.82
50% Nitrogen through FYM + 50% Nitrogen through urea	260.65	12.19	1.92	2.90
75% Nitrogen through FYM + 25% Nitrogen through urea	255.87	11.39	1.85	2.87
100% Nitrogen through FYM	263.52	12.35	1.90	2.89
25% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 75% Nitrogen through urea	268.61	13.29	2.01	3.02
50% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 50% Nitrogen through urea	272.42	14.24	2.19	3.34
75% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 25% Nitrogen through urea	279.05	14.94	2.39	3.45
100% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i>	264.50	13.77	2.01	3.14
F test	S	S	S	S
Sem (\pm)	2.63	0.42	0.02	0.04
CD (P=0.05)	7.90	1.27	0.07	0.13

Table 4. Economics of different treatments in mustard

Treatments	Gross return(INR/ha)	Net return(INR/ha)	B:C Ratio
100% Nitrogen through urea	75575.5	46,930	1.64
25% Nitrogen through FYM + 75% Nitrogen through urea	90590.5	60,885	2.05
50% Nitrogen through FYM + 50% Nitrogen through urea	95895.8	65,120	2.12
75% Nitrogen through FYM + 25% Nitrogen through urea	92742.65	60,907	1.91
100% Nitrogen through FYM	94944.85	62,039	1.89
25% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 75% Nitrogen through urea	100450.4	70,374	2.34
50% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 50% Nitrogen through urea	109759.7	78,244	2.48
75% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i> + 25% Nitrogen through urea	119619.5	86,674	2.63
100% Nitrogen through <i>Vermicompost</i>	100750.7	66,345	1.93

4. CONCLUSION

It may be concluded that application of urea and *Vermicompost* performs positively and improves the growth parameters and yield attributes of mustard. Maximum seed yield, gross return, net return and benefit cost ratio was recorded with the application of 75% Nitrogen through *Vermicompost* with 25% Nitrogen through urea. These findings are based on one season therefore, further trails may be required for further confirmation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Government of India. Economics and Statistics of Indian Agriculture. Annual Report, Government of India, New Delhi; 2020-21
2. Bisht, Saurabh, Saxena AK, Singh, Suneeta. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*), cultivar T-9 under Dehradun region (Uttarakhand). International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(4):1856- 1859.
3. Prasad PM, Chandra A, Varshney ML, Verma MM. Growth, yield, drymatter and nutrient uptake by mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*) in alluvial soil as influenced by phosphorus and organic matter. New Agriculturist. 1991;2(1):31-34.
4. Pal RL, Pathak J. The effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and economics of mustard. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts. 2016;2(3):255- 261.
5. Kumar, Singh, S, Sharma SN, Shivay YS. Relative efficiency of urea and dicyandiamide-blended urea on mustard (*Brassica juncea*) varieties. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2000;45:179-83.
6. Patel PM, Saini AK, Desai JS, Patel PD Productivity and profitability of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*) under different nutrient management practices. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(3):1791-1794.
7. Sinha, Rajiv, Herat, Sunil, Valani, Dalsukhbhai. Earthworms vermicompost: a powerful crop nutrient over the conventional compost & protective soil conditioner against the destructive chemical fertilizers for food safety and security. American- Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences. 2009;5(S):01-55.
8. Singh R, Singh AK, Kumar P Performance of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*) in response to integrated nutrient management. Journal of Agriculture Search. 2014;1(1):9- 12.
9. Swaminathan MS. Improving the production of oils and fats. Annals of Agricultural Research. 1980;1(1):1-17.
10. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Three or more factor experiment in: Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research 2nd edition. 1976;139 -141.
11. Singh, Kant, Rama, Kumar, Singh, Pankaj SK, Singh SB, Singh RN. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Yield and Economics of Mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2018;7: 5261-5269.
12. Lal K, Dravid MS. Interaction effect of P, S, K₂SiO₃ and FYM manure on drymatter accumulation and utilization of P and S by mustard in Balsamand sandy soil of Hissar. Journal of Nuclear Agriculture and Biology. 1993;22(3-4):184-188.
13. Parihar S, Kameriya PR, Choudhary R. Response of mustard (*Brassica juncea*) to varying levels of sulphur and fortified vermicompost under loamy sand soil. Agriculture Science Digest. 2014;34(4): 296 - 298.
14. Sharma, Kumar, Jitendra, Gajanand, Jat, Meena RH, Purohit HS, Choudhary RS. Effect of vermicompost and nutrients application on soil properties yield uptake and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Annals of Plant and Soil Research. 2017;19(1):1722.
15. Gil, Singh, Parampal and Kaur, Rajneet (2022). Effect of INM on potato. Journal Of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research 9(5): 2349-5162.
16. Singh H, Singh RP, Meena BP, Lal B, Dotaniya ML, Shirale AO, Kumar K. Effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) modules on late sown Indian mustard [*B. juncea (L.) Cernj. & Cosson*] and soil properties. Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds. 2016;9(4):37-44.

17. Singh VD, Mukhi KS, Mishra NS. Impact of integrated nutrient management on tomato yield under farmer field conditions. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2016;9(4):567-572.

© 2022 Sreerenjiny and Debbarma; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89526>