

**Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology** 



**41(6): 21-35, 2022; Article no.CJAST.83926** ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

# Assessing the Performance of Drought-Tolerant Rice Varieties under Varied Nitrogen Doses

B. Sreedevi <sup>a≡</sup>, Aarti Singh <sup>a∞\*</sup>, T. Ram <sup>a</sup>, Sudhanshu Singh <sup>b#</sup>, Ashish K. Srivastava <sup>b†</sup>, U. S. Singh <sup>b‡</sup> and R. M. Kumar <sup>a≡</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajender Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana - 500030, India. <sup>b</sup> IRRI South Asia Regional Centre, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh - 221006, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2022/v41i631673

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83926

Original Research Article

Received 02 January 2022 Accepted 27 February 2022 Published 05 April 2022

# ABSTRACT

Field experiments were carried out at ICAR- Indian Institute of Rice Research, Hyderabad during the dry season of 2015/16 and 2016/17 to study the influence of nitrogen rates and varieties on yield attributes, yield, nitrogen use efficiency, and economics of puddled direct-sown rice Treatments comprised of four rates of nitrogen- control (no nitrogen),  $N_{100}$  (100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $N_{120}$  (120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $N_{140}$  (140 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) as main plots and four drought-tolerant rice varieties (V<sub>1</sub>-DRR Dhan 42; V<sub>2</sub>-DRR Dhan 44; V<sub>3</sub>-DRR Dhan 46 and V<sub>4</sub>-IR 64). The experiment was laid out in split-plot design with 16 treatment combinations and each treatment was replicated thrice. The results of experiments revealed that increasing the N application rate from N<sub>0</sub> to N<sub>140</sub> increased the yield of all the varieties, but apparent differences between N<sub>120</sub> and N<sub>140</sub> were not observed. When compared with DRR Dhan 42 or IR 64, DRR Dhan 44 and 46 accumulated greater shoot biomass, tillers m<sup>-2,</sup> and higher leaf area. The yield was significantly higher with DRR Dhan 44 closely followed by DRR Dhan 46 than other varieties, reflecting a higher biomass production and harvest index. Grain yield had a positive quadratic relationship with N uptake by grain (r<sup>2</sup>= 0.97 and r<sup>2</sup>=0.94). The relationship

<sup>†</sup>Assistant Scientist;

Principal Scientist;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Scientist;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup>Senior Scientist and Rainfed Lowland Agronomist-South Asia;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Director;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: aartisingh810@gmail.com, aarti.singh@icar.gov.in;

between total N uptake and grain yield was linear for 2015/16 ( $r^2$ =0.98) but quadratic positive relationship was observed in 2016/17 ( $r^2$ =0.93). Grain yield from N<sub>140</sub> plots was significantly increased by 39.65% and 41.5% compared to N<sub>0</sub> during 2015/16 and 2016/17. Results show that both DRR Dhan 44 and DRR Dhan 46 produced higher grain yield, had higher N uptake from the soil in grain and straw and exhibited higher NUE compared to DRR Dhan 42 and IR 64 at all the N rates (0, 100, 120 or 140 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Agronomic efficiency (ANUE) and recovery efficiency (RE) was higher with DRR Dhan 44 and DRR Dhan 46 and both can be called as nitrogen economising varieties. However, with increasing the N rates, use efficiency of applied nitrogen declined. Also, DRR Dhan 44 was found to be remunerative during both the years and recorded higher net returns and Benefit:Cost ratio. DRR Dhan 44 can be recommended as a suitable variety for the Telangana zone, adapted to drought-prone situations during the dry season.

Keywords: Direct sown rice; yield; nitrogen uptake; correlation; B:C ratio.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the major food crop of the world and feeds about fifty percent of the global population [1] and it is also the staple food of Asia. Globally, India has the largest rice area and second in production after China. The common method of rice cultivation is transplanting and this system requires a large amount of energy, labour [2] and also consumes about 80% of total irrigated water used in Asia [3] and 34-43% of the world's irrigation water [4] and 14.6% of the world's fertilizer [5]. Therefore, there is a need to explore rice production technologies that will eliminate puddling, save labour for transplanting as well as maintain rice yield potential and is sustainable [6]. A shift from transplanted to direct-seeded rice has started occurring in South Asia [7]. Among the different resources. nitrogen plavs an enhancing important role in rice vield. Nitrogen losses and the resulting pollution is becoming a serious global concern and has necessitated for the development of nutrient responsive varieties.

The productivity of direct-seeded rice is very low due to inadequate and imbalanced use of nitrogen fertilizers by the farmers. Nitrogen (N) is an important nutrient for rice production [8] and is required in larger amounts compared to other nutrients. According to prior reports, the apparent recovery efficiency of applied N fertilizer is only about 33% on an average [9]. The remaining amount of N is lost through different pathways such as surface runoff, leaching as nitrate in groundwater, volatilization to the atmosphere or denitrification [8]. The use of efficient and economical rates of nitrogen fertilizer is important for enhancing crop and maintaining productivity environmental sustainability.

Variety has a large influence on the grain yield of direct-seeded rice. Different varieties exhibit a different response to N fertilizer depending upon their agronomic traits. The development of varieties which can efficiently and economically optimise nitrogen fertilizer and enhance Nitrogen use efficient varieties are important for enhancing crop productivity and maintaining environmental sustainability. Recently a few drought-tolerant varieties have been recommended for cultivation under direct-seeded condition but their responsiveness at different nitrogen rates has to be studied to come up with a suitable recommendation for Deccan Plateau region of Southern India. Literature regarding the adaptability of irrigated lowland rice varieties with higher yield potential in direct-seeded rice system is still lacking and needs the researchers. A suitable and focus of the sustainable strategy needs to be developed for optimizing nutrient application rates which can be applied to a broader region to obtain sustainable N application rates. The study was taken up with an objective to investigate the N rates influence on different drought-tolerant varieties and to understand how varied N rates influenced crop growth, yield, N use efficiency, and profitability to the farmers. This study can provide useful information to the rice growers and achieve higher grain yield and high input use efficiency.

# 2. METHODOLOGY

## 2.1 Experimental Site, Climate and Soil Characteristics

Field experiments were conducted for two consecutive years viz. 2015/2016 and 2016/17 during the dry season at experimental farm of Indian Institute of Rice Research, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. The farm is geographically situated at an altitude of 542.7 m above mean

sea level on 17°19" N latitude and 78°29" E longitudes. It comes under the Southern Telangana zone. The soil of the experimental field at the start of the experiment had Sandy clay loam texture, with a pH of 8.05, organic carbon (0.91%), available N (249 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available P (78.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), and available K (440.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Prior to the establishment of the experiment, the site had been under a rice-rice cropping system for several years.

# 2.2 Experimental Design, Layout, and Crop Management

The experiment was laid out in split-plot design with Nitrogen (N) rates as main plot and varieties as sub-plot with three replications for two years. Four nitrogen fertilization rates, viz., 0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $(N_0)$ , 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $(N_{100})$ , 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $(N_{120})$ , and 140 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>140</sub>) were taken as main plot treatments. The tested varieties were DRR Dhan 42 (V<sub>1</sub>), DRR Dhan 44 (V<sub>2</sub>), DRR Dhan 46 (V<sub>3</sub>) along with check variety IR 64 ( $V_4$ ) and were assigned to sub-plot and thus the experiment consisted of sixteen treatment combinations. Rice varieties were chosen mainly based on their better adaptability to the region, optimum growth duration, and strong pest and disease resistance. Summarized description of each variety is presented as: DRR Dhan 42 (IR 64-Drt1) is a Semi-dwarf; 110-115 days duration; resistant to blast, moderately resistant to bacterial blight, brown spot and tolerant to drought especially at flowering and grain filling stage with long slender grain type; DRR Dhan 44 is a semi-dwarf; 110-115 days duration; resistant to blast, moderately resistant to BLB, BPH, and WBPH; tolerant to drought; long slender grain type, good cooking quality and also has good puffing quality (as experienced by farmers of Anantapur district, Andhra Pradesh); DRR Dhan 46 is a Semi-dwarf; 110-115 days duration; shattering tolerant; fertilizer responsive; suitable for early or delayed planting; tolerant to moderate drought; suitable for irrigated/rainfed areas; long slender grain; released for Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra and IR 64 is 120-125 days duration; moderately resistant to BLB, stem borer; resistant to blast; semi-dwarf variety with long slender grain type. The rice crop was sown on 06 Jan 2016 in first year and 17 Jan 2017 in second vear. The plot size for each treatment was 20  $m^2$ (5 m x 4 m). The land was prepared by ploughing once with mould board plough, followed by harrowing prior to the establishment of the experiment. Nitrogen fertilizer (Urea) was applied in three split doses, 50% at sowing, 25% at the maximum tillering stage, and 25% at panicle initiation stage. The P fertiliser (DAP) was applied entirely as a basal dose at 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and K fertiliser (muriate of potash) at 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was used as a source of potash fertiliser. Cultural practices such as weeding and irrigation were kept uniform for all the experimental treatments to avoid crop damage according to the locally adapted practices. Insects and diseases were controlled according to the locally adapted practices to avoid substantial yield loss.

## 2.3 Sampling and Measurement

At tillering (TL) and flowering (FL) stages, five hills were selected randomly from each plot and tagged to measure agronomic parameters. During the tillering (TL) and flowering (FL) stages, tillers were counted from each hill at three fixed locations in each plot, and biomass was sampled by collecting the fresh shoots with the help of a quadrat (0.25 m x 0.25 m) from three locations. Leaf area index of five individual representative hills was recorded with a digital plant canopy imager to measure the leaf area index. The measurement of yield attributes viz. Panicles m<sup>-2</sup>, panicle weight, grain weight, filled grain percentage, and yield was carried out according to the procedure described by Yoshida et al. [10]. Physiological maturity was determined when 80% of the grains had turned into goldenyellow colour. Panicle density was determined with a quadrat (0.25 m × 0.25 m) placed randomly in each plot at four locations. Dried seed samples were drawn randomly from each treatment plot produce and 100 grains were counted and their weight was recorded. Before harvest, yield components such as fertility % and 1000 grain weight (g) were determined. At maturity, each plot was harvested manually excluding border plants. After harvest and threshing, the crop produce was sundried, cleaned, weighed, and dried to 12 to 14 percent moisture content in grain. Grain yield was expressed as t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 14% moisture and then at 0% moisture for calculating N uptake indices. Straw obtained from each net plot area after threshing was sun-dried for four days and then weighed and expressed in t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0% moisture content. Harvest index was calculated as the ratio of dry grain yield to total biomass at crop harvest.

Harvest index was calculated by using the following formula:

Grain yield Harvest index (%) = ------ x 100 Biological yield (Grain + Straw)

#### 2.4 Nitrogen Use Efficiencies

The dried samples were ground and N content in grain and straw was determined by kjeldahl method [10]. N uptake (kg N /ha) of grain and straw was calculated by multiplying Nitrogen content in grain and straw by yield. Recovery efficiency (RE), agronomic nitrogen use efficiency (ANUE), and RE were computed according to the method described by Xue et al. [11].

ANUE = 
$$\frac{Y_f - Y_u}{N}$$

Where  $Y_f$  is the grain yield in the fertilized plot (kg), Yu is the grain yield in the unfertilized plot (kg), and N is the quantity of N applied (kg) and is expressed in kg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

 $RE = (N_f - N_u / N_a) X 100$ 

Where,  $N_f$  is the nutrient accumulation by the total biological yield (grain plus straw) in the fertilized plot (kg),  $N_u$  is the nutrient accumulation by the total biological yield (grain plus straw) in the unfertilized plot (kg), and  $N_a$  is the quantity of nutrient applied (kg). Expressed in %.

The cost of production incurred in each treatment was worked out by:

Net monetary returns = Gross monetary returns - Total cost of cultivation

Benefit: cost ratio = Gross return/Total cost of cultivation

# 2.5 Statistical Analyses

The data was subjected to analysis of variance to determine the influence of treatments [12]. Data was analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate the differences among the treatments. The relationships between different attributes were assessed using correlation analysis.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3.1 Weather Parameters**

The daily average temperature and precipitation during the rice growth season of both years was measured at an experimental station situated close to the experimental site and is presented in Table 1.

#### **3.2 Biomass Accumulation**

Biomass accumulation in four rice varieties at TL (tillering) and FL (flowering) stages at different N rates during both the years is presented in Fig. 1. Shoot dry biomass accumulation increased with N rate in all the varieties. N<sub>140</sub> accumulated the highest shoot biomass but showed no apparent difference between  $N_{140}$  and  $N_{120}$ . The crop of the N<sub>0</sub> plots produced the lowest total biomass gain at both the growth stages. DRR Dhan 44 recorded the highest biomass irrespective of the N rates, whereas IR 64 recorded the lowest biomass accumulation by shoot in both the years. At FL stage also, DRR Dhan 44 produced the highest shoot dry matter accumulation followed by DRR Dhan 46. From TL to FL stage, shoot dry matter increased by approximately 4 fold during both the years. Biomass accumulation in 2016/17 was slightly higher compared to 2015/16 during the TL and FL stages respectively. Non-significant interaction between N rate and varieties on shoot biomass at TL and FL stages was recorded. Higher dry matter production can be attributed to higher leaf area and more number of tillers  $m^{-2}$ .

#### 3.3 Tiller Density

The number of tillers varied with different varieties and significant differences in tiller number was noted in different varieties. A consistent trend for increase in tiller density was observed with increasing nitrogen application rates during both the years with more number of tillers in DRR Dhan 44. At TL and FL stage, DRR Dhan 44 recorded a tiller density at different N rates. DRR Dhan 44 and DRR Dhan 46 recorded similar tiller numbers at both the stages of observation. N<sub>140</sub> had higher tiller production in all the varieties followed by  $N_{120}$ . Interaction effect between varieties and N rates was nonsignificant during flowering stage but the individual effect of varieties and nitrogen levels was found to be significant.

#### 3.4 LAI

In consistence with the results on number of tillers, LAI at TL and FL stage increased with the increase in N rates in all the varieties during the two years. The largest LAI was recorded in the variety DRR Dhan 44 at all the N rates (Fig. 3). An identical trend of observation during both the years of study was that DRR Dhan 44 showed higher LAI value both at TL and FL stages. Interaction between N rates and varieties was significant at TL and FL stages during both the years.

## 3.5 Yield Attributes and Yield

In general, the grain and straw yield were marginally higher in the second year in all the varieties (Table 2 (i) & (ii)). All the yield attributes increased significantly up to a nitrogen level of N<sub>120</sub> and a further increase in N level failed to produce significant results. Mean grain yield of all the varieties increased significantly up to  $N_{120}$  kg N/ha and thereafter remained same with increased N level statistically but was significantly higher than that of N<sub>0</sub>. Grain yield differed significantly in varieties in both the years. Of the four promising varieties studied, the difference in yield attributes and yield was significant and different varieties exhibited consistent response to N rates and values were in the order of DRR Dhan 44> DRR Dhan 46> DRR Dhan 42> IR 64 for both the years. The cultivar DRR Dhan 44 recorded a significantly higher harvest index. Grain vield was verv similar between DRR Dhan 44 and DRR Dhan 46 at the same N rate. A higher grain yield for DRR Dhan 44 and DRR Dhan 46 at all the N rates  $(0-140 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  can be mainly attributed either to a higher number of panicles per square meter or a higher filled grain percentage. In both the years, the interaction between varieties and Ν rates on grain yield was not significant (Table 3). Straw yield followed a similar trend as that of grain yield during both the years and DRR Dhan 44 recorded higher straw yield. N rate X Varieties interaction for grain and straw yield was significant during both the years. Koutroubas and Ntanos [13] reported that more than 50% of the total variation in grain yield was due to variation in the number of panicles suggested that the most important and determinant of grain yield is the number of productive tillers.

# 3.6 Nitrogen Uptake by Different Parts and Use Efficiency

N fertilization significantly affected the nitrogen uptake by different Varieties (grain + straw) during the two years. The interactions between N rates and Varieties were significant for grain and total N uptake (Fig. 4 i & ii). Results indicated that nitrogen uptake clearly followed the yield pattern. Grain and total N uptake increased with the application of N rates in all the Varieties except at N<sub>0</sub> (Table 4 i & ii). The highest N uptake was with variety DRR Dhan 44 and was closely followed by DRR Dhan 46. Similar to grain yield and total nitrogen uptake, ANUE and RE were significantly higher for both DRR Dhan 44 and DRR Dhan 46 at all the N rates. At all the N rates, DRR Dhan 44 had higher RE whereas it was lower in other varieties. During both the years, ANUE and RE declined with increasing N rates which is in consistence with the results of the prior reports (Ju et al., 2015). The agronomic use efficiency of N applied in fertiliser always decreases as the N-application rate increases [14,6]. Higher N uptake in DRR Dhan 44 is because of its higher grain yield and higher N concentration in grain. Many studies have demonstrated that a significant genetic variability exists among the varieties for NUE [15].

#### 3.7 Relationship between N Uptake, Grain Yield and ANUE

Grain yield was positively correlated relationship with N uptake with  $r^2$ =0.97 for 2015/16 and 0.94 for 2016/17 respectively (Fig. 4 i). Similarly, corelation between total N uptake and grain yield also exhibited a significant positive relationship with a high degree of correlation with  $r^2$  value of 0.98 for the first year and 0.93 for the second year demonstrating that the grain yield increased with increase in nitrogen rates up to 140 kg/ha (Fig. 4 ii). However, the relationship between total N uptake and grain yield was linear for the first year whereas quadratic relationship was during the second observed vear. The relationship between grain yield and ANUE was observed to be positively associated with  $r^2$ = 0.39 for first year and  $r^2=0.48$  for second year with quadratic response (Fig. 4 iii). Wu et al. [15] and Chen et al. [16] also observed quadratic relationship between grain yield and agronomic nitrogen use efficiency and similar results were reported in our study. It was observed that grain vield was significantly and positively co related with total N uptake and similar results were also reported by Wu et al. [15].

Month				201	5/16					2016/17								
	Rainfall (mm)	Те	Temperature ( <sup>u</sup> C)			Relative humidity		Sunshi ne (hrs)	Rainfall (mm)		Temperatu	re (⁰C)	Relativ	e humidity	Evapo ration	Sunsh ine		
		Мах	Min	Mean	Max (%)	Min (%)	(mm)			Мах	Min	Mean	Max (%)	Min (%)	(mm)	(hrs)		
January	0.0	32.5	10.5	21.5	91.0	20.0	3.8	8.3	0	29.3	12.2	20.8	86.9	33.4	3.7	8.0		
February	0.0	37.0	12.0	24.5	91.0	18.0	5.8	8.8	0	32.6	13.6	23.1	79.3	26.7	5.1	9.6		
March	3.0	32.5	10.5	21.5	91.0	20.0	6.8	8.0	5.6	35.7	18.2	26.9	73.7	24.7	6.5	8.4		
April	2.6	42.5	20.0	31.2	84.0	14.0	8.7	9.3	4.2	39.9	22.0	30.9	60.9	22.5	8.1	9.1		
May	157.4	41.5	18.5	30.0	100.0	20.0	7.8	8.5	61.8	39.7	24.6	32.2	63.7	28.9	8.4	9.3		

# Table 1. Weather parameters for the year 2015/16 and 2016/17\*

\*Rainfall, Sunshine hours, temperature and Relative humidity during the rice-growing season of (A) 2015/16 (B) 2016/17 in Hyderabad, Telangana, India. Rainfall, Sunshine hours are monthly totals whereas temperature data is monthly averages

#### Table 2 (i). Influence of Nitrogen levels and short duration drought-tolerant varieties on yield attributes and yield of rice for the year 2015/16

Treatment		No.o	of Panicle	es m <sup>-2</sup>		Panicle weight (g)							Fest weig	ght (g)		Filled grain percentage (%)				
			<b>N-Level</b>	5		N-Levels							N-Lev	els		N-Levels				
	NO N100 N120 N140 Mean				Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean
DRR-Dhan 42	282	340	390	413	356	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	84.0	86.9	89.9	93.0	88.4
DRR-Dhan 44	310	377	423	440	388	2.2	2.6	2.9	3.2	2.7	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.2	85.5	88.7	93.8	96.5	92.0
DRR-Dhan 46	307	373	407	430	379	1.8	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.4	85.1	88.4	93.4	94.8	90.4
IR64	287	335	390	403	354	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.4	79.1	82.3	84.5	85.2	82.8
MEAN	296	356	403	422	-	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.4	-	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	-	83.4	86.6	90.4	92.4	-
LSD (0.05) N rates (N) = 41, Variety (V)= 20, N X V=NS N rates							rates= 1.7, Variety= 0.8, N X V= <u>NS</u> N					es= 0.10	, Variety=	= 0.1, N	X V=NS	N rates= 2.9, Variety=2.3, N X V=NS				

Treatment		No.	of Panicle		Panicle weigh t(g)						Tes	t (g)		Filled grain percentage (%)						
			N-Level	s		N-Levels							N-Levels	5		N-Levels				
	N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean					N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean
DRR-Dhan 42	304	364	400	426	374	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.60	2.28	1.94	2.11	2.15	2.29	2.12	74.4	86.0	89.84	93.74	86.00
DRR-Dhan 44	322	393	438	459	403	2.2	2.2	2.7	2.87	2.50	2.12	2.34	2.38	2.43	2.32	91.1	91.5	92.45	93.02	92.00
DRR-Dhan 46	318	392	423	448	395	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.62	2.40	2.04	2.14	2.19	2.30	2.17	79.6	83.9	85.19	88.24	84.23
IR64	300	359	409	417	371	1.7	1.8	3.0	2.37	2.20	1.87	1.89	1.91	2.17	1.96	70.4	79.3	81.70	85.23	79.16
MEAN	311	377	418 438 -				2.1	2.7	2.61	-	1.99	2.12	2.16	2.30	-	78.9	85.2	87.30	90.05	-
CDM	N rate	s=26.5, va	ariety=20.1	I, N X V=	NS	N rate	s=0.1, va	riety=0.1	, N x V =	=NS	N rates	s=0.09, v	ariety=0.	15, N X	V= NS	N rates	s=2.94, v	ariety=2.	70, N X <sup>v</sup>	V= NS

Table 3 (i). Influence of Nitrogen levels and short duration drought-tolerant varieties on yield and harvest index of rice for the year 2015/16

	G	Frain yield	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )			S	traw yield	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Harvest index (%)						
		N-Leve	ls				N-Leve	els			N-Levels					
N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean		
3.38	5.24	5.45	5.60	4.92	5.44	6.52	7.84	7.80	6.75	34.5	44.6	41.0	41.8	40.48		
3.58	5.80	6.00	6.30	5.42	5.44	7.96	8.26	8.68	7.58	39.7	42.2	42.1	42.1	41.53		
3.55	5.40	5.65	5.95	5.14	6.73	7.62	7.92	8.01	7.57	34.5	41.5	41.6	42.6	40.06		
3.40	5.00	5.15	5.30	4.71	5.28	7.20	7.34	7.66	6.87	32.8	41.0	41.2	40.9	38.98		
3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79						7.32	7.84	7.88	-	35.38 42.33 41.48 41.86						
N rates	= 0.27 , va	arieties= 0.2	29**, N X V=	= NS	N rates	s= 0.30, va	arieties= 0.	25, N X V=	= 0.39	N rates=	N rates=NS, varieties=NS, N X V=NS					
	N0 3.38 3.58 3.55 3.40 3.48 N rates	N0 N100   3.38 5.24   3.58 5.80   3.55 5.40   3.40 5.00   3.48 5.36   N rates= 0.27, value	N0 N100 N120   3.38 5.24 5.45   3.58 5.80 6.00   3.55 5.40 5.65   3.40 5.00 5.15   3.48 5.36 5.56   N rates= 0.27, varieties= 0.27	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   N0 N100 N120 N140   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79   N rates= 0.27 , varieties= 0.29**, N X V= 0.29**, N X V=	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60 4.92   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30 5.42   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95 5.14   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30 4.71   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79    N rates= 0.27 , varieties= 0.29**, N X V= NS	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60 4.92 5.44   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30 5.42 5.44   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95 5.14 6.73   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30 4.71 5.28   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79 5.27   N rates= 0.27, varieties= 0.29**, N X V= NS N rates	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) S   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0 N100   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60 4.92 5.44 6.52   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30 5.42 5.44 7.96   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95 5.14 6.73 7.62   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30 4.71 5.28 7.20   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79 5.27 7.32   N rates= 0.27, varieties= 0.29**, N X V= NS N rates= 0.30, varieties=	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Straw yield   N-Levels N-Levels N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0 N100 N120   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60 4.92 5.44 6.52 7.84   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30 5.42 5.44 7.96 8.26   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95 5.14 6.73 7.62 7.92   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30 4.71 5.28 7.20 7.34   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79 5.27 7.32 7.84   N rates= 0.27, varieties= 0.29**, N X V= NS N rates= 0.30, varieties= 0.50 0.50	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   N-Levels N-Levels N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0 N100 N120 N140   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60 4.92 5.44 6.52 7.84 7.80   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30 5.42 5.44 7.96 8.26 8.68   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95 5.14 6.73 7.62 7.92 8.01   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30 4.71 5.28 7.20 7.34 7.66   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79 5.27 7.32 7.84 7.88   N rates= 0.27, varieties= 0.29**, N X V= NS N rates= 0.30, varieties= 0.25, N X V= N rates= 0.30, varieties= 0.25, N X V=	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   N-Levels N-Levels N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60 4.92 5.44 6.52 7.84 7.80 6.75   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30 5.42 5.44 7.96 8.26 8.68 7.58   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95 5.14 6.73 7.62 7.92 8.01 7.57   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30 4.71 5.28 7.20 7.34 7.66 6.87   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79 5.27 7.32 7.84 7.88 -   N rates= 0.27, varieties= 0.29**, N X V= NS N rates= 0.30, varieties= 0.25, N X V= 0.39	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   N-Levels N-Levels N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60 4.92 5.44 6.52 7.84 7.80 6.75 34.5   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30 5.42 5.44 7.96 8.26 8.68 7.58 39.7   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95 5.14 6.73 7.62 7.92 8.01 7.57 34.5   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30 4.71 5.28 7.20 7.34 7.66 6.87 32.8   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79 5.27 7.32 7.84 7.88 - 35.38   N rates= 0.30, varieties= 0.25, N X V= 0.39 N rates= 0.30, varieties= 0.25, N X V= 0.39 N rates=	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   N-Levels N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0 N100   3.38 5.24 5.45 5.60 4.92 5.44 6.52 7.84 7.80 6.75 34.5 44.6   3.58 5.80 6.00 6.30 5.42 5.44 7.96 8.26 8.68 7.58 39.7 42.2   3.55 5.40 5.65 5.95 5.14 6.73 7.62 7.92 8.01 7.57 34.5 41.5   3.40 5.00 5.15 5.30 4.71 5.28 7.20 7.34 7.66 6.87 32.8 41.0   3.48 5.36 5.56 5.79 5.27 7.32 7.84 7.88 - 35.38 <th>Grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) Straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) Harvest ind   N-Levels N-Levels</th> <th>Straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) Harvest index (%)   N-Levels N-Levels N-Levels N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0 N140 Mean N0 N120 N140 Mean N0 N140 M140 Mean N140 M140 M140</th>	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Harvest ind   N-Levels	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) Harvest index (%)   N-Levels N-Levels N-Levels N-Levels   N0 N100 N120 N140 Mean N0 N140 Mean N0 N120 N140 Mean N0 N140 M140 Mean N140 M140		

\*\* significant at p=0.001

Treatments		Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )						straw yield	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Harvest index (%)						
			N-Leve	ls				N-Leve	els			N-Levels					
	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean		
DRR-Dhan 42	3.3	5.4	5.7	5.9	5.1c	6.6	7.7	7.0	7.5	7.2	33.5	40.2	42.5	44.0	40.0		
DRR-Dhan 44	3.6	5.9	6.3	6.6	5.6a	6.7	8.1	8.0	9.0	7.9	36.1	40.1	44.8	43.9	41.2		
DRR-Dhan 46	3.6	5.7	6.0	6.2	5.4b	6.6	7.2	7.7	8.7	7.6	34.2	41.9	42.2	40.4	39.7		
IR64	3.5	5.0	5.2	5.4	4.8d	5.1	6.1	6.9	7.0	6.3	30.9	40.4	43.1	45.9	40.1		
MEAN	3.5d	-	6.2	7.3	7.4	8.1	33.7	40.6	43.2	43.6	-						
CDM	N rates	= 0.22 . va	rieties= 0.2	7. N X V=N	IS	N rate	s= 0.49.v	arieties= 0.	35. N X V=	N rates	N rates= NS. varieties= 4.23. N X V=NS						

#### Table 3 (ii). Influence of Nitrogen levels and short duration drought tolerant varieties on yield and harvest index of rice for the year 2016/17

Table 4 (i). Influence of short duration drought-tolerant varieties and Nitrogen levels on Physiological and Nitrogen use indices of rice 2015/16

Treatments		Total	nitrogen upt	ake (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				RE (%	<b>%)</b> *	ANUE (kg grain kg <sup>-1</sup> )*						
			N-Leve	ls				N-Lev	els			N-Levels				
	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	
DRR-Dhan 42	77.4	120.1	125.9	133.0	114.9	-	42.7	40.5	39.7	41.0	-	18.6	17.3	17.6	17.8	
DRR-Dhan 44	83.2	128.3	135.4	143.2	122.5	-	45.1	43.5	42.9	43.8	-	22.2	20.2	19.4	20.6	
DRR-Dhan 46	77.7	122.7	129.6	136.8	116.7	-	44.9	43.3	42.2	43.5	-	18.5	17.5	17.1	17.7	
IR64	66.7	108.6	114.5	120.0	102.5	-	41.9	39.9	38.1	40.0	-	16.1	14.6	13.5	14.7	
MEAN	76.2	119.9	126.4	133.3	115.2	-	43.7	41.8	40.7	-		18.9	17.4	16.9	-	
LSD (0.05)	N rates	=5.72, variet	ties=5.33, N 2	X V=NS	-					-						

Table 4 (ii). Influence of short duration drought-tolerant varieties and Nitrogen levels on Physiological and Nitrogen use indices of rice 2016/17

Treatments		Tota	I nitrogen upt			RE (%	<b>6)</b> *		ANUE (kg grain kg <sup>-1</sup> )*							
			N-Level	s				N-Lev	els			N-Levels				
	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	N0	N100	N120	N140	Mean	
DRR-Dhan 42	84.6	117.9	125.7	130.0	114.6b	-	33.3	34.3	32.4	33.3	-	20.6	19.5	18.1	19.4	
DRR-Dhan 44	97.0	143.3	150.5	154.0	136.2a	-	46.3	44.6	40.8	43.9	-	22.6	22.3	21.1	21.9	
DRR-Dhan 46	87.1	122.5	127.8	133.3	117.7b	-	35.4	33.9	32.9	34.1	-	20.8	19.9	18.4	19.7	
IR64	78.8	115.6	119.0	123.5	109.2c	-	36.8	33.5	31.9	34.1	-	15.5	14.6	14.2	14.8	
MEAN	88.7a	124.8b	130.8c	135.2d	-	-	37.9	36.6	34.6	-	-	19.9	19.1	17.9	-	
CDM	N rates=	5.05, varieties	s= 3.69, N X V=	-					-							

\* Data not statistically analysed



Fig. 1. Interaction effect of N rates and varieties on shoot biomass accumulation at tillering (TL) and flowering stage (FL) respectively (a) 2015/16 Tillering stage (b) 2016/17 Tillering stage (c) 2015-16 Flowering stage (c) 2016/17 Flowering stage \* Significant at p=0.05 \*\* p=0.001 and \*\*\* p <0.0001

29



Fig. 2. Interaction effect of N rates and varieties on number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> at tillering (TL) and flowering stage (FL) respectively (a) 2015/16 Tillering stage (b) 2016/17 Tillering stage (c) 2015/16 Flowering stage (d) 2016/17 Flowering stage \* significant at p=0.05, p=\*\* 0.001 and p=\*\*\* at <0.001

30



Fig. 3. Interaction effect of N rate and varieties on leaf area index at tillering (TL) and flowering (FL) stages (a) 2015/16 Tillering stage (b) 2016/17 Tillering stage (c) 2015/16 Flowering stage (d) 2016/17 Flowering stage. Vertical bars represent the standard error of means at 5% level of probability

\* significant at p=0.05, p=\*\* 0.001 and p=\*\*\* at <0.001



Fig. 4 (i). Relationship between N uptake by grain and grain yield of four rice varieties (a) 2015/16 (b) 2016/17



Fig. 4 (ii). Relationship between Total N uptake and grain yield of four rice varieties (a) 2015/16 (b) 2016/17



Fig. 4 (iii). Relationship between grain yield and agronomic nitrogen use efficiency (n=12) (a) 2015/16 (b) 2016/17

#### 3.8 Net Returns and B:C Ratio

Among the varieties, DRR Dhan 44 was found to be most remunerative and recorded the highest gross and net returns as well as B:C ratio which was similar to DRR Dhan 46 but significantly higher than DRR Dhan 42 and IR 64 (Fig. 5).  $N_{140}$  was the costliest treatment. B:C ratio was 2.65 for 2015/16 and 2.30 for 2016/17 in DRR Dhan 44 at  $N_{140}$  and thus recorded higher profitability (Fig. 5).



90000 3.00 80000 2.50 70000 Net Returns (Rs/ha) 60000 2.00 Ratio 50000 1.50 В:С 40000 30000 1.00 20000 0.50 10000 0 0.00 IR64 Dhan Dhan Dhan IR64 Dhan Dhan Dhan IR64 Dhan Dhan Dhan IR64 Dhan Dhan Dhan Dhan 42 44 46 42 44 46 42 44 46 42 44 46 N2 (N-100 kg/ha) N3 (N-120 kg/ha) N1 (N-0 kg/ha) N4 (N-140 kg/ha) Net Returns (Rs/ha) B:C Ratio

Sreedevi et al.; CJAST, 41(6): 21-35, 2022; Article no.CJAST.83926

Fig. 5. Gross returns, net returns, and B:C ratio of different varieties at varying nitrogen rates (a) 2015/16 (b) 2016/17

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Grain yield of around more than 6.0 t ha <sup>-1</sup> under direct-sown conditions can be reached under proper Nitrogen management and use of appropriate varieties suited to the location with better drought responsiveness and nutrient use efficiency. Higher shoot biomass, tillers, and greater leaf area are the major contributors to higher grain yield and thereby increase the N uptake and utilisation efficiency. Our findings suggest that optimum rice yields for directseeded rice can be obtained by selection of suitable variety with the use of optimum N rates which will greatly facilitate the wide adoption of this technology in Southern India. Results from this study indicate that genotype differences in NUE existed among different drought-tolerant rice varieties; therefore, NUE of different cultivars could be a useful tool to adopt the appropriate cultural practices for achieving higher yield and nutrient response.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Fageria NK. Yield physiology of rice. J. Plant Nutr. 2007;30:843–879.
- Bhushan L, Ladha JK, Gupta RK, Singh S, Tirol-Padre A, Saharawat YS, Gathala M, Pathak H. Saving of water and lobor in rice-wheat system with no-tillage and direct seeding technologies. Agron J. 2007;99: 1288-1296.
- 3. Bouman BAM, Tuong TP. Field water management to save water and increase its productivity in irrigated rice. Agricultural Water Management. 2001;49:11-30.
- 4. Bouman BAM, Lampayan RM, Tuong TP. Water management in irrigated rice: Coping with scarcity. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos. Philippines. 2007;54.
- Heffer P. Assessment of fertilizer use by crop at the global level 2006/07-2007/08. International fertilizer Industry Association-IFA, Paris, France; 2009.
- Thind HS, Singh Y, Sharma S, Goyal D, Singh V, Singh B. Optimal rate and schedule of nitrogen fertilizer application for enhanced yield and nitrogen use efficiency in dry-seeded rice in north-

western India. Archives Agron. Soil Sci; 2017.

DOI: 10.1080/03650340.2017.1340642

- Kumar V, Ladha JK. Direct seeding of rice: recent developments and future research needs. Adv. Agron. 2011;111:299–413.
- Ju XT, Xing GX, Chen XP, Zhang SL, Zhang LJ, Liu XJ, Cui, ZL, Yin B, Christiea P, Zhu ZL, Zhang FS. Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2009;106:3041–3046.
- 9. Garnett T, Conn V, Kaiser BN. Root based approaches to improving nitrogen use efficiency in plants. Plant cell Environ. 2009;32:1272-1283.
- Yoshida S, Forno D, Cock J, Gomez K. Laboratory Manual for Physio-logical Studies of Rice. International Rice Research Institute, Philippines. 1976;24– 79.
- 11. Xue Y, Duan H, Liu L, Wang Z, Yang J, Zhang J. An improved crop management increases grain yield and nitrogen and water use efficiency in rice. Crop Sci. 2013;53:271–284.
- Statistical 12. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. procedures for agricultural research. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, international an rice research institute book. Wiley-Inter-

Science publication. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1984.

- Koutroubas S, Ntanos D. Genotypic differences for grain yield and nitrogen utilization in Indica and Japonica rice under Mediterranean conditions. Field Crop. Res. 2003;83:251–260.
- Ahmed S, Humphreys E, Salim M, Chauhan BS. Growth, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of dry-seeded rice as influenced by nitrogen and seed rates in Bangladesh. Field Crops Res. 2016;186: 18-31.
- 15. Wu L, Yuan S, Huang L, Sun F, Zhu G, Li G, Fahad S, Peng S and Wang F. Physiological mechanisms underlying the high grain yield and high nitrogen use efficiency of elite rice varieties under a low rate of nitrogen application in china. Front. Plant Sci. 2016;7:1024. DOI:10.3389/fpls.2016.01024.
- 16. Chen XP, Cui ZL, Fan MS, Vitousek P, Zhao M, Ma WQ, Wang ZL, Zhang WJ, Yan XX, Yang JC, Dang X, Gao Q, Zhang
- Yan XY, Yang JC, Deng X, Gao Q, Zhang Q, Guo S, Ren J, Li S, Ye Y, Wang Z, Huang J, Tang Q, Sun Y, Peng X, Zhang J, He M, Zhu Y, Xue J, Wang G, Wu L, An N, Wu L, Ma L, Zhang W, Zhang FS. Producing more grain with lower environmental costs. Nature. 2014;514: 486–491.

© 2022 Sreedevi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83926