



Lymphedema as Consequence of Loxosceles Brown Spider Bite: A Case Report and Literature Review

Luis E. L. Montoya ^{a*}, Maria T. Zarate-Sánchez ^{a,b},
K. Gabriela Saenz-Ramirez ^{a,b}, Yucari Lopez-Cabanillas ^a,
Joceline Sandoval-Aguirre ^a and Angel L. Montoya ^a

^a *Fi Fisioterapia Integral S.C. Research Group, Calle San Juan Bosco 1333, Col. Camino Real, C. P. 45040, Zapopan, Jalisco, México.*

^b *Universidad Marista Valladolid, José Juan Tablada 1111, Santa Maria de Guido C.P. 58090, Morelia, Mich, Mexico.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJTDH/2022/v43i730602

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86464>

Case study

Received 10 February 2022
Accepted 20 April 2022
Published 21 April 2022

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Secondary lymphedema is defined as a chronic-progressive disease that causes rich protein edema of the limbs, this may be caused due to the damage or obstruction of lymphatic structures; complications associated with loxosceles spider bite may cause damage to the lymphatic system.

Objective: To describe the clinical case of a patient with lower extremity lymphedema secondary to loxosceles' spider bite complications and to present a literature review on the topic.

Case Presentation: A 24-year-old man received previous medical attention between 2020-and 2021 due to complications of a loxosceles brown spider bite. He arrived at our facilities in January 2022 to assess his case related to progressive volume leg increase and a chronic wound in the bitten site. After the clinical history analysis, physical examination, and a near-infrared lymphography study of the leg, damage to lymphatic structures was identified; secondary lymphedema was confirmed. It was possible to reverse and control the lymphedema volume and the wound evolved to complete closure. The case is presented along with a literature review on the topic.

Conclusion: It is presented a case of lymphedema associated with a loxosceles brown spider bite, and successfully treated. Lymphedema related to a loxosceles spider bite and its complications is a clinical reality that might be underrecognized by scientific literature and clinicians; this condition should be properly studied and considered with adequate assessment strategies in patients after suffering the spider bite in extremities, especially in the mid and long-term.

Keywords: Lymphedema; secondary lymphedema; loxosceles bite; near-infrared lymphatic imaging; NIR lymphography; brown spider; violinist spider.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lymphedema is a chronic-progressive disease that causes rich protein edema in a body's segment and occurs due to the obstruction of lymph vessels, lymph nodes, or lymphatic function disorders, according to Pubmed's MeSH database and the International Society of Lymphology [1,2]. Lymphedema produces progressive limb growth due to lymph stasis, chronic fibrosclerotic changes in tissues, and a chronic inflammatory response [3], its progression affects the quality of life [4] the social context and economy of this group of patients [5], affecting more than 250 million people worldwide [6] and an estimated of 5 million in Mexico [7].

Lymphedema is classified mainly into primary and secondary, the first is related to congenital anomalies of lymphatic structure and function [7] while secondary lymphedema is commonly caused by events that affect lymphatic structures and function such as neoplasm, cancer-related treatments like lymph node removal surgeries, radiotherapy, central venous catheter complications, direct trauma, chronic infection, or any factor that affect lymphatic structure integrity like obesity and venous disease [7-11]. 25.01% of cases of secondary lymphedema are not related to cancer in Mexico [6].

Sometimes animals play a role in some types of lymphedema, for example, it is known that mosquito bite is the vector that transmits lymphatic filariasis infection, one of the predominant causes of secondary lymphedema worldwide [13]; some insect bites have been reported as the cause of chronic infections, wounds, and later onset lymphedema as a complication [14-16]. This may be explained due to the toxicity of the poison that destroys subcutaneous structures in some cases but also the exposure to the chronic related infections; when lymphedema is not directly caused by the complications of bite, the process well may make evident underlying primary lymphedema [17].

The violinist spiders are venomous arthropods that belong to the loxosceles genus, these spiders are endemic from South America, but they are spreading worldwide due to their high adaptability, there are 140 species identified as loxosceles, violinist or brown spider corresponds to the loxosceles laeta one. [18,19]. The spider uses their venom for predation and defense, however when this spider bites humans it provokes local and systemic toxicity; local toxicity includes dermonecrosis, subcutaneous tissue destruction, with a gravitational spread of lesions while systemic toxicity may cause hematological abnormalities and impaired renal function. The signs and symptoms observed following a loxosceles spider bite are called loxoscelism and in some cases may result in a patient's death, amputation of the affected limb, or a broad variety of mid-term complications like recurrent cellulite, lymphangitis, chronic ulcers, swelling and disability among others [19,20].

It is presented a case of secondary lower extremity lymphedema in clinical stage II, according to the ISL lymphedema clinical staging system, lymphatic damage confirmed by near-infrared lymphatic imaging, related to loxosceles laeta spider bite complications.

There is a lack of reports about peripheral lymphedema described as a complication of loxosceles spider bite, it was decided to perform a literature review on the topic.

Objective

The objective of this study is to describe a clinical case of lower extremity lymphedema as a loxosceles' spider bite complication, its outcome after treatment and to present a literature review on lymphedema-related to the loxosceles spider bite.

2. CASE PRESENTATION

A 24-year-old white man, height 1.87 m, 119 kg weight, normal heart rate, and respiratory frequency, 120/80 arterial tension, without

comorbidities referred and other possible comorbidities not identified without relevant familial health antecedents. The patient lives in a rural environment, he is an active farmer while lives a sedentary lifestyle, single. The patient received previous medical attention in public and private health services due to a loxosceles spider bite in the medial third of his left leg between August 2020 and December 2021; this bite caused local loxoscelism, same conditioned chronic local inflammation process, increased edema volume, dermonecrosis, subcutaneous soft tissue destruction and a chronic wound in the bite site (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Three months after spider bite

Previous treatments consisted only of local simple cleaning of wound with surgical soap, gauze, and long stretch cotton bandaging for leg as wound control; diuretics, rest, same bandage, and leg elevation for edema reduction; oral antibiotics for recurrent lymphangitis, and thromboprophylaxis with acetylsalicylic acid. All this care provided poor results for managing wound and leg volume.

6 months after the spider bite and treatment began, the patient noted edema volume worsening that did not improve with time and despite his treatment, notwithstanding this, the wound improved but not completely, patient began to present lymphorrhagia of the leg.

The patient arrived at our center Fi, Fisioterapia Integral S.C. located in Zapopan, México, in January 2022 to receive attention and to assess his case due to increased leg volume related to progressive edema and a chronic wound that didn't improve with previous treatments; the

situation began to affect movements and basic activities that demanded continuous efforts, like walking, working, and completing house chores.

The patient identified a faster-worsening tendency of volume in the time since November 2021; noteworthy, he presented a frequent lymphangitis rate that began 6 months after the spider bite, being at least 4 lymphangitis episodes from last year until his assessment date in January 2022.

Medical file included radiography and MRI performed in February 2021, studies helped to discard any bony or muscular damage to the leg, and a venous duplex ultrasound study performed in September 2021 which showed normal integrity of superficial and deep veins, the normal behavior of mechanical characteristics of the venous system and an absence thrombosis of any vein of his left lower limb.

After examination of the left leg, the patient presented positive godet signs from knee to foot, thicker skin panicles than his right leg due to the presence of edema (positive Stemmer sign), chronic inflammatory changes in the skin, and concentric fibrosclerotic tissue around the injury, the wound location was exactly in the epicenter of the spider bite (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. Leg after 14 months of spider bite, during the at-clinic assessment

The wound dimensions were 3cm x 2cm, 3mm deep, moderately exudative, with a high amount of necrotic tissue and superficial bacterial burden. (Fig. 3) However, after the wound's debridement of the superficial devitalized tissue and the fibrous rings around the wound's epicenter, it was noticed epidermolysis underneath. Wound extension was still 10cmx10cm.



Fig. 3. Wound detail, note the broad rings of fibrous and devitalized tissue as the epicenter of the wound with necrotic tissue

Comparative anthropometric circumferential measurements were performed for lower limbs: knees, 10cm and 20 cm below, ankle, and foot. Circumferential measures showed a significant circumferential mean difference of 2.7cm (Table 1).

Clinically it is suspected a case of secondary lymphedema due to lymphatic injury as a complication of three important factors that may correlate to lymphatic structure damage:

The first, is the acute loxoscelism process that may have conditioned the partial destruction of important superficial lymphatics of the leg and subcutaneous soft tissue; the second, the recurrent lymphangitis; and the third, the exposure of superficial lymphatics to the chronic inflammatory regional process.

Anatomically, most peripheral superficial lymphatic vessels of the lower leg run along the way of the medial face of the limb, parallel to the superficial great saphenous vein [21] damage, chronic inflammation, and trauma to this specific anatomic area contribute to the development of secondary lower limb lymphedema [22-26]. This site was exactly the spider bite site, where local loxoscelism was developed, where the skin and soft tissue destruction happened to be; the epicenter of the chronic inflammatory process caused by the wound, and where recurrent lymphangitis originated.

NIR imaging test allowed good visibility of superficial lymphatic vessels of the leg and different patterns described in the literature [27-29]. The left leg showed an initial normal Linear Pattern (LP) of the foot and distal leg; as long as we screened the medial region following this lymphatic pathway it was identified an interruption of flux and a Stardust Pattern (StP) made evident an abnormal lymphatic function with no clear definition of lymphatic vessels pathway; this site happened to be the bite and wound site; noteworthy that following this interruption, the lymphatic vessels appeared again in its normal path without any other abnormality identified (Fig. 4).

NIR imaging test allowed good visibility of superficial lymphatic vessels of the leg and different patterns described in literature [30-33]. Left leg showed an initial normal Linear Pattern (LP) of foot and distal leg; as long as we screened medial region following this lymphatic pathway it was identified an interruption of flux and a Stardust Pattern (StP) that made evident an abnormal lymphatic function with no clear definition of lymphatic vessels pathway; this site happened to be the bite and wound site; noteworthy that following this interruption, the lymphatic vessels appeared again in its normal path without any other abnormality identified (Fig. 4).

Table 1. Circumferential measurements

Circumferences	Right leg (cm)	Left leg (cm)	Difference(cm)
Knee	47	47	0
10cm below the knee	42.5	44.5	2
20cm below the knee	41	46.5	5.5
Ankle	31	36.5	5.5
Foot	27.5	27.5	0.5
	Average		2.7



Fig. 4. Sequence of NIR lymphatic imaging test. Note the medial interruption accompanied by a local stardust pattern

These findings, the clinical history, and the clinical examination confirmed secondary lymphedema in clinical stage II, according to the ISL staging system, the lymphatic involvement was confirmed with the NIR-ICG pattern; this imaging pattern shows a lymphatic injury and a decaying peripheral lymphatic function; this lymphedema was onset as a late consequence of loxosceles spider bite and its related complications, like infection and a chronic wound.

The patient received treatment in Fi, Fisioterapia Integral S.C. facilities, based on physical rehabilitation and proper wound care employing elements of TIME acronym (tissue debridement, infection control, moisture control, edges' control) as well as DOMINATE acronym (debridement, offloading, moisture control, medications/mental health, infection/inflammation, nutrition, technical advancements, and education/edema control) 24/7 short-stretch multilayered bandaging compression, daily strength and resistance functional exercises to retrieve physical capacities, weight control and education on self-care and risk reduction habits to avoid associated complications and progression of limb volume during 5 weeks and a frequency of 3 sessions per week. Treatment resulted in a successful and complete wound healing and a total lymphedema volume reduction, to be later controlled only with a 30-40mmHg compression stocking to use only along the day. The patient was re-assessed after 3 weeks of finishing treatment completion with stable and good results. The patient will continue to use compression stocking long-life (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Patient's leg after 8 weeks, during control assessment

It was decided to perform a literature review of lymphedema related to loxosceles spider bite complication.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

It was performed a research on similar cases in scientific literature, but it was found a total absence of reports of explicit lymphedema or lymphatic injury related to brown spider bite; this literature review aimed to analyze the reports of lymphedema or sign and symptoms associated with lymphatic injury as a complication related to a brown spider bite.

Mesh terms, keywords, and phrases in English and Spanish were employed in medical scientific search engines such as PubMed, Cochrane, Cinhal, Scielo, Scopus, Researchgate, and Google Scholar. Phrases and keywords included "lymphedema", "lymphatic", "lymphoedema", "lymphatic injury", "secondary lymphedema" plus "loxosceles bite", "loxosceles spider", "violinist spider", "brown spider", "loxosceles laeta" and "loxosceles". Surprisingly no results were found with this keyword and phrases combination; it was decided to do broader research substituting the first keywords with "secondary effects", and "consequences" reported due to this spider bite to identify those cases, reports, and studies in which lymphatic involvement could have been present without being directly reported like dermonecrosis, wounds, lymphangitis, cellulitis, edema, and limb swelling that might have been present.

116 studies were reviewed and considered to be analyzed during the research with a total of 257 patients.

Table 2. Reports about complications potentially related to lymphedema development as consequence of brown spider bite

Author	Date	Study	Patient characteristics	Initial signs/ and symptoms	Tracking time	Clinical outcome
Sanchez M., et al. [34]	2011	Case report	A 28-year-old male patient with no family or personal history record.	Skin injury on the left forearm, with pain, and local inflammation, with a violaceous appearance, scaling and transudate, which evolved into a necrotic eschar. He then presented two injuries with the same characteristics, located on the back of the left leg.	1 week	First injury keloid scar. The other two injuries not reported.
Baldovino R, et al. [35]	2012	Case report	A female patient aged 1 year 7 months with no family or personal history to highlight.	Necrotic eschar, lower limb edema, pain, perilesional erythema, exudate.	23 days	Autograft surgery and supervision.
Harz-Fresno I, et.al. [36]	2014	Case report	27-year-old male patient, consulted the emergency department for a skin injury of 20 hours of evolution, secondary to a spider bite in the proximal third of the right forearm, ventral side.	Central violaceous plaque associated with edema, erythema, and pain. 4mm skin ulcer with signs of necrosis on the forearm. At 32 hours of evolution, he presented macroscopic hematuria and an increase in edema with the appearance of a blister of approximately 6 mm in diameter at the site of the injury.	32 hours	The patient abandoned treatment, so it was not possible to document the final situation of his case.
Pezzi M, et al. [37]	2016	Case report	65-year-old woman, obese, with no history of diabetes and allergies but with a mild form of myasthenia gravis.	Circular necrotic skin lesion on the middle phalanx of the third finger of her right hand with erythroderma and oedema of the hand which partially affected the forearm, with strong pain symptoms.	Not reported.	Patient died
Cachia M, et al. [38]	2016	Case report	A female patient with no recorded personal and family history.	He presented pain, erythema, ulcer, and necrosis in the left thigh.	2 months	Conservative local wound care with povidone-iodine dressings and dermatological follow-up. The study doesn't mention a long-term solution.

Author	Date	Study	Patient characteristics	Initial signs/ and symptoms	Tracking time	Clinical outcome
Fernandez E, et. al [40]	2018	Case report	71-year-old man with a history of colon adenocarcinoma in complete remission. 3 days after the spider bite, the patient presented to the hospital.	Blistering, violaceous mass with surrounding erythema and dema of the forearm, associated with severe pain. Compartment syndrome, after 2 surgical debridements with poor response, amputation above the elbow was chosen, and later a shoulder disarticulation.	10 months	Patient died at 1 year due to colon cancer recurrence.
Köse A.,et. al[39]	2020	Case report	A 29-year-old female patient who had no history of illness was admitted to the emergency department. The patient reported that she had been bitten by a brown spider on the upper part of her left knee 6 days earlier.	Lymphangitis; erythematous surface on the trunk and arms. Local necrotic arachnidism (skin ulcer), urticaria and cellulitis.	33 days	The necrotic area was debrided through plastic surgery and a flap was implanted in that area.

The first report was made by Sanchez M, et al. in 2011 [34] about a patient with classical initial evolution of brown spider bite in the forearm and leg and a follow-up of 1 week; however, it is not stated if there was an evolution to lymphedema due to the lack of medium- and long-term follow-up nor received any lymphatic assessment or screening.

In 2012, Baldovino R et al. [35] presented the case of a child (19 months old) in which loxoscelism characteristics were present after the spider bite on his leg; the initial characteristics of this case were like our case study presented, but the follow-up is only about 23 days.

Harz-Fresno et.al [36] reported in 2014 another interesting case which might have been had development of lymphedema due to the location of loxoscelism, this time in the forearm; unluckily the patient abandoned treatment and it was possible only a 32-hour follow-up.

Another case was presented by Pezzi et. al [37] in 2016; a 65-year-old woman suffered a bite on the third finger of his hand and experienced an extremely aggressive local response that affected her whole hand; the patient died so it was not possible any further evolution tracking.

Probably Cachia et.al [38] in 2016 and Köse et.al [39] in 2020 reported the most similar case to ours, at least in location and initial local loxoscelism responses and complications. The first patient was a female with a bite on his thigh; she had a 2-month follow-up, and it is evidenced the chronic complication of a local wound and dermatological management in the future, but there was no further report on its evolution. In Köse's report, the patient was bitten in his left thigh near the knee, but only underwent a 33-day follow-up, they treated the ulcer with plastic surgery there is no report of success in the mid-term or long-term, and as in the rest of cases, there is only a short term follow-up.

Fernandez et.al [40] reported in 2018 a very severe case of loxoscelism and complications in the arm of an elderly patient; it was necessary, at first, a partial amputation of the arm and later a shoulder disarticulation. This was the patient with the longest follow-up at 10 months. In the end, the patient died due to cancer, but there were no reports of any potential lymphatic complications once the last amputation occurred.

The period between these studies was 9 years, 2011 to 2020; with a total of 7 case reports and 7

patients. Out of 257 patients' reports, only 7 (2.7%) reported some relevant data that could be associated with lymphatic injury in the future, such as dermonecrosis, edema, lymphangitis, cellulitis, ulcers, and wounds. There was no reported lymphedema in any of them. It should be noted that 57% of these studies reported a follow-up of less than a month, 28% of the studies reported a follow-up between 1-2 months, 14% up to 10 months, and the rest did not report a follow-up time.

4. DISCUSSION

It is relevant to put special attention to the fact that the patient suffered in the mid-term and long-term, bad management due to the lack of a precise early diagnosis and the specific treatment for the conditions that were chronic after the acute spider bite complications, such as the wound and the lymphedema.

It is important to note that the clinical evolution of this patient's case was solved with conservative management based on physiotherapy and advanced wound care in a short time and a relatively low cost (approximately \$400USD) that made it possible for the patient to work again. This cost is estimated lower than the economic impact of treating the frequent infections for the last year (\$200USD), the ineffective previous treatments (\$500USD) and the patient's disability to work caused by the condition, the prognosis of this burden in the future tends to be worse considering the risk of this health condition being each time more severe and expensive to treat in time.

Lymphedema as a complication of loxosceles spider bite should be considered a rare complication but not impossible to happen in the long term after loxoscelism in the extremities; especially when it occurs in critical anatomic areas that compromise important venous and lymphatic structures.

This patient had in common something with the reviewed cases in literature; all of them had a lack of follow-up in the long term. It is suspected that many patients do not receive the control, treatment, or supervision they need in the long term, and this may represent the reason there are only a few cases reported in the literature with long term consequences of loxosceles spider bite, being this the only study so far, to our knowledge, reporting lymphedema.

It might be possible, according to the reviewed data, a prevalence of lymphedema after loxosceles Spider bit of 0.82% in a span of 11 years and a population of 257 patients, which is a small rate. However, this number might increase if more reports of loxosceles spiders' bites complications were published, especially if they had a long-term follow-up. We encourage clinicians and researchers to study and report more cases and long-term data about this population, which seems to be necessary to solve these clinical scenarios.

Regardless of this, it is important to note that a big limit of this review was the lack of reports and studies addressing the long-term complications of loxosceles brown spider bites. And the manual selection and assessment of literature researched.

From this research, it was identified that this is the only review and case addressing this clinical problem considering available literature and scientific reports.

It is suspected that peripheral lymphedema related to a loxosceles spider bite and its complications may be an underdiagnosed, underreported, and underrated entity probably due to different factors, such as:

Follow-up time for patients that suffered this spider's bite: this, considering that the largest follow-up in similar and relevant clinical cases was up to 10 months. We suggest clinical prospective studies with long-term follow-ups; however, it is logical the difficulty to perform this kind of study.

Clinical skills to identify and diagnose lymphedema in clinical practice. We suggest clinicians become familiar with lymphatic pathology.

Lack of precise and accessible diagnostic tools for lymphatic function such as NIR lymphatic imaging to identify differential causes of "edema" additional to only clinical presentation; this lack of tools may be leading to inaccurate reports of "chronic edema", unspecific "edema" or the assumption that it has a venous origin with no clear definition of etiology. We suggest the use of NIR lymphatic imaging as a tool for clinical practice, as it is easy to use, ambulatory, economic, secure, and easy to develop imaging technique, with high sensitivity and specificity to assess peripheral lymphatic function.

Poor- and low-quality evidence reporting this complication; most reports are based on highly heterogeneous populations and case reports, and only estimations can be done regarding the epidemiology of this situation. We suggest developing new studies considering strategies to identify lymphedema along with other complications after a loxosceles spider bite, not only in the short term but also in the mid and long term.

5. CONCLUSION

It is presented a case of lymphedema associated with loxosceles spider bite complications that evolved successfully. Lymphedema related to a loxosceles spider bite and its complications is a clinical reality that might be underrecognized by scientific literature and clinicians; this condition should be properly studied and considered with adequate assessment strategies in patients after suffering the spider bite in extremities, especially in the mid and long-term.

CONSENT

The authors declare that the written informed consent was obtained from the participant for publication of this study and accompanying images.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Hereby, all authors declare that all interventions have been examined and approved by the appropriate ethics committee of Fi, Fisioterapia Integral S.C. And have therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Executive Committee of the International Society of Lymphology. The diagnosis and treatment of peripheral lymphedema: Consensus Document of the International Society of Lymphology. *Lymphology*. 2020;53(1):3–19. PMID: 32521126
2. López Montoya, Luis. *El linfedema Explicado*. Tercera edición. Editorial Fenix. México. 2021;(1)1-8:41-45. ISBN: 975-607-96852- DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6216069>

3. López-Montoya LE, Pereira-de Godoy JM, Guerreiro-Godoy M, de F. Evolución distinta de linfedema primario etapa II de miembros inferiores. *Revista de la Facultad de Medicina*. 2019;67(3):547-549. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15446/revfacmed.v67n3.66099>
4. Bowman C, Piedalue K-A, Baydoun M, Carlson LE. The quality of life and psychosocial implications of cancer-related lower-extremity lymphedema: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2020;9(10):3200. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9103200>
5. Boyages J, Xu Y, Kalfa S, Koelmeyer L, Parkinson B, Mackie H, Viveros H, Gollan P, Taksa L. Financial cost of lymphedema borne by women with breast cancer. *Psycho-oncology*. 2017;26(6):849–855. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.4239>
6. Greene Arin. Epidemiology and Morbidity of Lymphedema. *Lymphedema: Presentation, Diagnosis, and Treatment*. 2015;33-44. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14493-1_4
7. Montoya LL, Cabanillas YL, Aguirre JS, Luna C, Alcaraz I, D Lopez A. Lymphedema in Mexico: A Clinical and Epidemiological Overview. *Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal*. 2022; 11(1):21-31. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ca/2022/v11i130186>
8. Kayıran O, De La Cruz C, Tane K, Soran A. Lymphedema: From diagnosis to treatment. *Turkish Journal Of Surgery*. 2017;33(2):51–57. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5152/turkjsurg.2017.3870>
9. Sleigh BC, Manna B. Lymphedema. In *Stat Pearls*. Stat Pearls Publishing; 2021. PMID: 30725924
10. Bunke N, Brown K, Bergan J. Phlebolymphedema: usually unrecognized, often poorly treated. *Perspectives in vascular surgery and endovascular therapy*, 2009;21(2):65–68. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1531003509337155>
11. Montoya LL, Lopez DY, Sandoval J, Montoya AL, Valadez RG, Luna CP, Arevalo IA. Lymphatic Injury and Peripheral Lymphedema as Complications of Central Venous Catheter: A Case Report and a Literature Review. *International Research Journal of Oncology*. 2021;4(4):1-10. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6098585>
12. Sudduth CL, Greene AK. Current Overview of Obesity-Induced Lymphedema. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)*. 2021 Jan 27. DOI: 10.1089/wound.2020.1337. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33493081.
13. Lymphatic Filariasis: A Systematic Review on Morbidity and Its Repercussions in Countries in the Americas. Medeiros ZM, Vieira AVB, Xavier AT, Bezerra GSN, Lopes MFC, Bonfim CV, Aguiar-Santos AM. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*; 2021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19010316>
14. Swick BL, Clark AH. Chronic lymphedema with recurrent blistering and ulceration arising in a leishmaniasis scar. *Indian Dermatol Online J*. 2016;7:210-1. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4103/22295178.182352>
15. Brenes H, Herrera M L, Ávila-Aguero M L (November 12, 2018) Chromoblastomycosis Caused by *Phialophora verrucosa* in a Costa Rican Child with Skin Sequelae due to Snake Bite. *Cureus* 10(11): e3574. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.3574>
16. Cemal Y, Pusic A, Mehrara BJ. Preventative measures for lymphedema: separating fact from fiction. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2011;213(4):543-551. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.07.001>
17. Seval Sarıkaya; Hilal Bektaş Uysal; Ayfer Gemalmaz; Hulki Meltem Sönmez. A Rare Case of Idiopathic Lymphedema, *Anatol J Family Med* 2021;4(2):182–186. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5505/anatoljfm.2020.29290>
18. Canals M, Veloso C, Solís R. Adaptation of the spiders to the environment: the case of some Chilean species. *Front Physiol*. 2015 Aug 11;6:220. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2015.00220>
19. Lopes PH, Squaiella-Baptistão CC, Marques MOT, Tambourgi DV. Clinical aspects, diagnosis and management of *Loxosceles* spider envenomation: literature and case review. *Arch Toxicol*. 2020 May;94(5):1461-1477.. Epub 2020 Mar 30. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-020-02719-0>

20. Fusto G, Bennardo L, Duca ED, Mazzuca D, Tamburi F, Patruno C, Nisticò SP. Spider bites of medical significance in the Mediterranean area: misdiagnosis, clinical features and management. *J Venom Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis.* 2020 Oct 2;26:e20190100. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-9199-JVATITD-2019-0100>.
21. Pan WR. *Atlas of Lymphatic Anatomy in the Head, Neck, Chest and Limbs*: Springer Singapore. 2017. P. IX 282. ISBN: 978-981-10-3748-1. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3749-8>
22. Narzadow Ruchu [Post-traumatic lymphatic and venous drainage changes in persistent edema of lower extremities]. *Chir Ortop Pol.* 2000;65(3):315-25. Polish. PMID: 11057020.
23. Thomas C, Le JT, Benson E. Managing Lymphedema in Fracture Care: Current Concepts and Treatment Principles. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2020;28(18):737-741. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5435/JAAOS-D-19-00722>.
24. Hettrick H, Nof L, Ward S, Ecthernach J. Incidence and prevalence of lymphedema in patients following burn injury: a five-year retrospective and three-month prospective study. *Lymphat Res Biol.* 2004;2(1):11-24. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1089/1539685041690472>.
25. Van Zanten MC, Mistry RM, Suami H, Campbell-Lloyd A, Finkemeyer JP, Piller NB, Caplash Y. The Lymphatic Response to Injury with Soft-Tissue Reconstruction in High-Energy Open Tibial Fractures of the Lower Extremity. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2017;139(2):483-491. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.00000000000003024>
26. Minasian R, Samaha Y, Brazzio P. Post-traumatic lymphedema: review of the literature and surgical treatment options. *Plast Aesthet Res* 2022; 9: 18 DOI:<https://doi.org/10.20517/2347-9264.2021.128>
27. O'Donnell TF Jr, Rasmussen JC, Sevick-Muraca EM. New diagnostic modalities in the evaluation of lymphedema. *J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord.* 2017;5(2):261-273. Epub 2017 Jan 16. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvsv.2016.10.083>.
28. Montoya PTLL, Valadez MDLRG, López PTY, Sandoval PTJ, Montoya PTAL, Alcaraz PTI, Paz PTC. Development and feasibility of a very low-cost, home-made, near infrared lymphatic imaging device. *Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research.* 2020;32(22):59-65. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.9734/jammr/2020/v32i2230706>
29. Montoya LL, Lopez DY, Sandoval J, Montoya AL, Valadez RG, Luna CP, Arevalo IA. Lymphatic Injury and Peripheral Lymphedema as Complications of Central Venous Catheter: A Case Report and a Literature Review. *International Research Journal of Oncology.* 2021;4(4):1-10. Retrieved:<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/article/view/30160> DOI:<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6098585>
30. Medina-Rodríguez, María Elena MSc^a; de-la-Casa-Almeida, María PhD^{b,*}; Mena-Rodríguez, Antonio MD^c; González-Martín, Jesús María PhD^d; Medrano-Sánchez, Esther M^a PhD^e Relationship between perimetric increase and fluoroscopic pattern type in secondary upper limb lymphedema observed by Indocyanine green lymphography, *Medicine.* 2020;99(24):20432 DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000020432>
31. Narushima M, Yamamoto T, Ogata F, Yoshimatsu H, Mihara M, Koshima, I. Indocyanine green lymphography findings in limb lymphedema. *Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery.* 2016; 32(1):72–79. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1564608>
32. Farias-Cisneros E, Chilton PM, Palazzo MD, Ozyurekoglu T, Hoying JB, Williams SK, Baughman C, Jones CM, Kaufman CL. Infrared imaging of lymphatic function in the upper extremity of normal controls and hand transplant recipients via subcutaneous indocyanine green injection. *SAGE Open Medicine.* 2019;7:2050312119862670. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/2050312119862670>
33. Yamamoto T. Comprehensive Lymphedema Evaluation Using Dynamic ICG Lymphography. In: Kusano M, Kokudo N, Toi M, Kaibori M. (eds) *ICG Fluorescence Imaging and Navigation Surgery.* Springer, Tokyo;2016.

- DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-55528-5_41
34. Sánchez MA, Valencia Zavala MP, Sánchez Olivas JA, Sepulveda Velázquez G, Vega Robledo G. Loxocelismo cutáneo neurótico. Informe de un caso. *Rev Alergia Mex* 2011;58(3):171-176. Retrieved:12-04-22, Available:<https://www.elsevier.es/index.php?p=revista&pRevista=pdf-simple&pii=X0002515111345191&r=336>
35. Baldovino, Raquel, Moreira, Nibya, Fernández, Alicia, Ferré, Araní, Guerra, Mónica, Jaureguiberry, Julio, Payssé, Sergio, Romero, Beatriz, Telechea, Hector, & Quian, Jorge. (2012). Loxoscelismo cutáneo: A propósito de un caso clínico. *Archivos de Pediatría del Uruguay*, 83(4), 273-277. Retrieved: 12-04-22 Available:http://www.scielo.edu.uy/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1688-12492012000400007&lng=es&tlng=es.
36. Harz-Fresno I, Manterola P, Ru ZM, Abud C. Loxoscelismo cutáneo visceral: actualización en el manejo a propósito de un caso [Viscerocutaneous loxoscelism: case report and update on management]. *Revista chilena de infectología: Organo oficial de la Sociedad Chilena de Infectología*. 2015;32(2):230–233.
- DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0716-10182015000300014>
37. Pezzi M, Giglio AM, Scozzafava A, Filippelli O, Serafino G, Verre M. Spider Bite: A Rare Case of Acute Necrotic Arachnidism with Rapid and Fatal Evolution. *Case Reports in Emergency Medicine*. 2016;7640789. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7640789>
38. Cachia M, Mercieca L, Mallia Azzopardi C, Boffa MJ. Rare case of dermonecrosis caused by a recluse spider bite in Europe. *BMJ case reports*, 2016, bcr 2016;2(15):832. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2016-215832>
39. Fernández Tormos E, Corella Montoya F, Martínez Izquierdo MÁ, Sánchez-Artola B, Limousin Aranzabal B, Larraínzar-Garijo R. Infection Due to *Saksenea vasiformis* Following a Spider Bite. *The Journal of hand surgery*. 2019;44(7):619.e1–619.e5. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2018.08.020>
40. Köse A, Abacı E, Bozkurt Babus S, Yazıcı A. Skin Necrosis, Diffuse Urticaria, and Cellulitis Due to Presumed *Loxosceles* Spider Bite. *Wilderness & Environmental Medicine*. 2021; 32(2):198–203. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wem.2020.12.00>

© 2022 Montoya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86464>