

Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science

Volume 9, Issue 2, Page 35-43, 2024; Article no.AJRCS.116276 ISSN: 2581-7167

Mitigate the Impact of Various Abiotic Stress by Using Grafting in Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* **L.) and Other Vegetables: A Comprehensive Review**

Muhammad Faisal ^a , Muhammad Kamran ^b , Abiha Arshad ^a , Muhammad Junaid Maqsood a*, Komal Rehman ^a , Sama Usman ^a and Pakeeza Farooq ^a

> *^aDepartment of Botany, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan. ^bDepartment of Botany, University of Education Lahore, Faisalabad Campus, Pakistan.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJRCS/2024/v9i2265

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116276

Review Article

Received: 18/02/2024 Accepted: 21/04/2024 Published: 29/04/2024

ABSTRACT

Grafting technology has developed as a promising alternative to slow traditional breeding methods for boosting abiotic stressor resistance, soil pathogen tolerance, and fruit vegetable output and quality. Tomato, cucumbers, watermelons, eggplants, muskmelon and sweet papers have been grafted commercially to increase plant tolerance to factors such as salt, drought, waterlogging, the heavy metal toxicity, extreme temperatures, and variations in vegetable crop yield and quality. The goal of this study was to evaluate the research on the effect of grafting in reducing abiotic stressors and increasing vegetable crop production and quality. At different phases of culture, abiotic and biotic stressors harm tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.), a significant vegetable crop globally.

Asian J. Res. Crop Sci., vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 35-43, 2024

^{}Corresponding author;*

Drought, salt, floods, severe temperatures, and heavy metals may alter plant morphology, physiology, and biochemistry, affecting crop development, production, and quality. Grafting technology is an excellent alternative to delayed breeding operations to reduce biotic and abiotic stressors. Grafted tomatoes have higher osmolytes, antioxidant enzymes, photosynthesis, which improves plant growth and fruit harvests and makes them more tolerant to abiotic challenges. Additionally, tomato grafting on proper rootstocks enhances fruit nutritional value, including lycopene, β-carotene, ascorbic acid, and proteins. This information may help researchers and producers improve vegetable quality, especially under abiotic stress.

Keywords: Abiotic stressor resistance; grafting technology; crop yield; plant morphology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Through the process of grafting, plant parts are joined together to establish vascular continuity, enabling the resultant genetically composite organism to carry out the same functions as a single plant. Nevertheless, there have been documented instances of spontaneous grafts occurring across distinct families [1]. The use of resistant rootstock via vegetable grafting emerged as a strategy to address soil transmitted illnesses, commencing in the 1920s. Grafted vegetables were first cultivated on a large area in Japan and Korea.

Vegetables provide a substantial component of a nutritious dietary regimen, serving as a valuable reservoir of dietary fiber, antioxidants, protein, carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals. The agricultural development worldwide is often hindered by several abiotic variables, such as drought, floods, soil salinity, hot or cold temperatures, oxidative stress, and heavy metal toxicity [2]. Depletion of water supplies, forest clearing, and unintentional climate change increase the potential for plants to face various abiotic stressors. The worldwide output of

vegetables is significantly limited by increasing temperatures, decreasing supply of irrigation water, floods, and salt [3]. The reduction in agricultural output by 50% can be attributed to various abiotic stresses, including water-related factors such as drought, flooding, and hypoxia, temperature variations such as heat, cold, chilling, and freezing, chemical exposures such as mineral deficiency or excess, heavy metal pollutants, pesticides, and gaseous toxins, radiation exposure such as UV and ionizing radiation, as well as mechanical factors like wind, soil erosion, and submergence. The majority of watermelon seedlings in countries such as Korea, Greece, Japan, Spain, Turkey and Italy are grafted. The use of grafted plants is seeing a growing trend in many vegetable cultivations worldwide, including tomato, eggplant, sweet pepper, cucumber, and muskmelons. According to Fu et al. [2], the process of grafting in vegetables has been seen to improve several aspects of plant vigor, harvesting time, yield, and fruit quality [4]. Today, we use the practice of grafting to reduce soilborne pathogen infections and increase resistance to abiotic stresses (see Fig. 1).

According to FAOSTAT [5], tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is a significant fruit or vegetable crop, ranking second only to potato. According to FAOSTAT (2019), tomato consumption is mostly connected with India, China, North Africa, the Middle East, and Brazil. The per capita tomato consumption in these regions ranges from 61.9 to 198.9 kg. The tomato fruit is belonging Solanaceae family, which encompasses other commercially significant crops like the potato, pepper, and eggplant.

Tomatoes provide several bioactive components that contribute to overall health and may be readily included into a well-rounded dietary regimen [6]. While there is a wide range of functional meals available to meet these needs, it is worth mentioning that consuming fruits and vegetables is more efficient for achieving this goal (Viuda-Martos et al., 2014). Tomatoes

possess a range of health-promoting components, such as vitamins, carotenoids, and phenolic compounds, which contribute significantly to their nutritional significance (Liu et al., 2016). According to Raiola et al. [7], the spectrum of physiological activities shown by these bioactive chemicals include antiallergenic, antibacterial, antiinflammatory, vasodilatory, antithrombotic, cardio-protective, and antioxidant actions.

2. ABIOTIC STRESS IN TOMATO

Vegetable crops exhibit sensitivity to a range of abiotic stressors, such as drought, flood, salt, and extreme temperatures. These stresses have a profound impact on the physiology and development of plants, ultimately resulting in a decrease in output see Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. Different abiotic stresses affect plant growth, physiology, and production

3. TEMPERATURE STRESS

Temperature is one of the most important environmental factors that significantly affects tomato production. Tomato plants are able to flourish in a broad range of temperatures, fruit set and production are severely restricted when the maximum temperatures (during the day) are higher than 32 degrees Celsius and the lowest temperatures (during the night) are lower than 21 degrees Celsius. According to Jones [8], the optimal range of temperatures for plant development, fruit set, and yield in tomatoes is between 21 and 29.5 degrees Celsius during the day and between 18.5 and 21 degrees Celsius during the night of the plant.

4. COLD STRESS

Low temperature is a prevalent abiotic stressor that diminishes agricultural yield [9], impacting around 25% of the global land area [10]. According to Ronga et al. [11], tomatoes are classified as cold-sensitive vegetables, since their growth and development are significantly impacted when exposed to temperatures below 12°C. According to Cao et al. [12], tomato fruit may experience chilling harm when subjected to temperatures above 10°C for a duration of 14 days or temperatures below 5°C for about one week. The development rate and photosynthesis

are reduced, and the emergence of truss and fruit growth are delayed when exposed to lowtemperature or sub-optimal temperatures above the chilling temperature. Plants may experience cold stress damage during a timeframe of 48 - 72 hours after exposure to stress [13].

5. WATERLOGGING STRESS

Flooding or waterlogging, which results from the slow movement of gaseous substances in water and the consumption of oxygen by microbes and plant roots, causes oxygen deprivation in plants. Grafting onto resistant rootstocks, namely brinjal, has been suggested as a potential solution to the issue of flooding in tomatoes. Bahadur et al. [14] and Bhatt et al. (2015) proved that waterlogging tolerance in tomatoes for durations of 4 and 6 days, respectively, by using distinct brinjal rootstocks. According to Mauro et al. [15], it was observed that the grafting of tomato (cv. 'Dreamer') onto interspecific rootstock 'Maxifort' and 'Beaufort' (*S. lycopersicum* x *S. habrochaites*) resulted in improved photosynthesis, root biomass, and growth performance. This improvement was attributed to the buffering effect of root hypoxia under low root zone oxygen conditions (2–3 mg L-1 for 30 days). Fig. 3 demonstrates a favorable transformation in vegetable crops as a result of grafting.

Fig. 3. Beneficial impact of grafting in vegetables

According to Bahadur et al. (2015) grafted watermelon and tomato plants have been shown to have increased adventitious roots and parenchyma production, which is linked to their ability to tolerate waterlogging [14]. The use of promising rootstocks for grafting is widely recognized as a viable strategy for minimizing the adverse impacts of floods in various vegetable crops. For example, Bhatt et al. (2015) shown that tomato plants can tolerate waterlogging or flooding for a duration of 4-6 days by using eggplant as a rootstock [16]. According to Haghighi and Khosravi [17], the grafting of cucumber into 'Ferro' and 'Cobalt' resulted in a notable enhancement of flooding stress in cucumber. Grafting has the potential to enhance the tolerance of bitter melon to waterlogging stress [18]. This improvement is achieved through the upregulation of anaerobic respiration enzymes, namely pyruvate dehydrogenase in both adventitious and taproots. Additionally, grafting also leads to the expansion of the aerenchyma in the adventitious roots of bitter melon. In contrast, the non-grafted plants experienced a reduction in Fv/Fm ranging from 39.6% to 41% and a reduction in CCI ranging from 41% to 100% at 96 hours after exposure to waterlogging stress. In addition, Bahadur et al. [14] have reported that the physiological, biochemical, and yield parameters, exhibited minimal impact on tomato plants subjected to waterlogging conditions for a duration of up to 96 hours when grafted onto eggplant rootstocks. Grafting research conducted at the World Vegetable Centre in Taiwan found that wild varieties of chili can withstand floods in sweet pepper plants in hot-humid conditions, resulting in enhanced production [19].

6. SALINITY STRESS

The presence of salinity is a significant abiotic stressor that poses a substantial risk to agricultural output. Salinity stress is seen in around one-third of irrigated land worldwide, and projections indicate that by the year 2050, over 50% of the world's cultivated area would experience the adverse effects of soil salinity [20]. Salinity arises as a consequence of an inordinate buildup of salts (namely Na⁺, Cl-, and SO₄) within the soil or irrigation water. In addition, an excessive number of salts may lead to ion toxicity and an imbalance in nutrients, including potassium (K⁺), calcium $(Ca²⁺)$, and magnesium $(Mg²⁺)$, by disrupting their absorption and/or transportation to the shoots [21].

7. HEAVY METAL STRESS

Agricultural soil contaminated with heavy metals poses risks to human health, the environment, and plant development. Many different sources, including industrial runoff, reclaimed wastewater, and soil amendments, have introduced heavy metals including cadmium, arsenic, lead,
mercury, and nickel into agricultural and nickel into agricultural environments. It is possible that soil levels of NO₃, SO₄, H₂PO₄, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and metallic micronutrients (such as Cu) may become abnormally high due to these sources. Limited copper transmission to tomato leaves and roots was noted by Savvas et al. [22] when the tomato variety 'Belladona' was grafted onto the He-Man rootstock (*Solanum lycopersicum* × S*. habrochaites*).

8. DROUGHT STRESS

With less water available and more people demanding it, drought stress is becoming worse. Seventy percent of the world's fresh water consumption is attributable to agriculture, and that number is projected to climb sharply in the years ahead [23]. Drought stress levels determine the extent to which drought reduces crop yields. As shown for barley under field circumstances, crop loss due to severe drought might be entire in the absence of irrigation [24]. Results from field experiments were included in a meta-study that found that when water was decreased by around 40%, yields dropped by about 21% in wheat and 39% in maize [25]. Drought in Europe is expected to reduce wheat and maize yields by 10% [26].

When comparing species based on their drought resistance, it is important to keep in mind that, similar to other abiotic stressors, drought-induced yield loss differs between cultivars [27]. In addition, water scarcity reduces crop quality; for instance, rapeseed seeds have been shown to have less oil when grown in drought [28]. The stage of development at which a plant is when drought strikes is an important consideration in determining yield loss. According to Dufková et al. [29], plants are more susceptible to drought while they are in the reproductive stage. Consequently, according to Wiegmann et al. [30], improving the timing of plant growth might lead to improved yields. According to Farooq et al. [31], traits including grain filling, flower output, seed composition, and lifespan are all negatively impacted by prolonged exposure to drought stress during the reproductive period.

Reduced fruit set in tomatoes and chillis, tomato and cabbage splitting, root crop nitrate toxicity, watermelon bitterness, and cucumbers with crooked fruits are some notable impacts of drought stress. According to Padilla et al. (2023), one way to decrease output losses and boost water use efficiency (WUE) during drought is to graft high-yielding vegetable cultivars onto rootstocks that may mitigate the effects of water stress on the shoot. The effects of water stress on the development and output of many vegetable crops have been extensively studied [32]. During drought stress circumstances, interspecific grafting of cucumber plants led to higher plant height and also a notable decrease in leaf lipid peroxidation content [3]. In order to alleviate drought stress in plants, rootstock mediated phytohormone synthesis is also crucial (see Table 1).

9. QUALITY AND YIELD PARAMETER

The freshness, texture, flavor and health-related compounds of a vegetable fruit are some of the criteria for determining its quality. Heavy metals, pesticides, and nitrates are some of the undesirable compounds that should be minimized. It is well-known that grafting may alter the nutritional value, taste, and physical characteristics of vegetables, particularly the amounts of minerals, vitamin C, and carotenoids [38]. Because research on the impact of grafting on quality characteristics is mixed, selecting the right rootstock/scion combination is essential for optimal fruit quality. It has been noted that watermelon grafting results in subpar fruit quality, such as lower levels of soluble solids, more yellowish bands in the flesh, bland flavor, uneven texture (due to the presence of more fibers), and less firmness [39]. Grafting is a powerful method for improving the nutritional value and biofortification of vegetables

10. CONCLUSION

Significant physiological and biochemical processes such as reduced photosynthetic activity, altered metabolism and enzymatic activity, thermal injury to the tissues, reduced pollination and fruit set, etc. are significantly impacted by abiotic stresses such as low and high temperatures, drought, salinity flooding, heavy metals, and so on, which in turn significantly reduce tomato yield and quality. When compared to the time-consuming process of breeding tomatoes to be more resistant to environmental stress, grafting is a clear winner.

Soil and weather circumstances that aren't ideal are common for many vegetable crops that are in the Cucurbitaceous and Solanaceous families. Grafting is a potential method for improving plant production in Cucurbitaceous and Solanaceous vegetables when contact with different types of abiotic stress, including drought, salt, waterlogging, sub- or supra-optimal temperature, heavy metals, and soil-borne pathogens. This can lead to higher yields and improved quality traits. This method might become a gamechanger in the future for increasing vegetable yields, especially in the face of abiotic stressors, because to its many advantages. To boost tomato crop growth, production, and quality while conferring resistance to abiotic stressors, suitable tolerant rootstocks should be used. Future research should concentrate on graft compatibility as it is an important component of successful grafting.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Warschefsky EJ, Klein LL, Frank MH, Chitwood DH, Londo JP, von Wettberg EJ, Miller AJ. Rootstocks: Diversity, domestication, and impacts on shoot phenotypes. Trends in Plant Science. 2016;21(5):418-437. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants. 2015.11.008
- 2. Fu S, Chen J, Wu X, Gao H, Lü G. Comprehensive evaluation of low temperature and salt tolerance in grafted and rootstock seedlings combined with yield and quality of grafted tomato. Horticulturae. 2022;8(7):595. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/horticultur ae8070595
- 3. Coskun OF. The effect of grafting on morphological, physiological and molecular changes induced by drought stress in cucumber. Sustainability. 2023;15(1):875. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/su150108 75
- 4. Tsaballa A, Athanasiadis C, Pasentsis K, Ganopoulos I, Nianiou-Obeidat I, Tsaftaris A. Molecular studies of inheritable grafting induced changes in pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) fruit shape. Scientia Horticulturae. 2013;149:2–8. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2 012.06.018
- 5. FAOSTAT; 2019. Available at: at: at: at: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home [Accessed April 15, 2019].
- 6. Martí R, Roselló S, Cebolla-Cornejo J. Tomato as a source of carotenoids and polyphenols targeted to cancer prevention. Cancers. 2016;8(6):58. DOI: 10.3390/cancers8060058
- 7. Raiola A, Rigano MM, Calafiore R, Frusciante L, Barone A. Enhancing the health-promoting effects of tomato fruit for biofortified food. Mediators of Inflammation. 2014;2014. DOI: 10.1155/2014/139873
- 8. Jones Jr, JB. Tomato plant culture: In the field, greenhouse, and home garden. Book CRC Press. 2007;420. ISBN 9780849373954.
- 9. Duan M, Feng HL, Wang LY, Li D, Meng
QW. Overexpression of thylakoidal QW. Overexpression of thylakoidal ascorbate peroxidase shows enhanced resistance to chilling stress in tomato. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2012;169(9): 867-877.
- 10. Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA. Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences. 2007;11(5):1633-1644.
- 11. Ronga D, Rizza F, Badeck FW, Milc J, Laviano L, Montevecchi G, et al. Physiological responses to chilling in cultivars of processing tomato released and cultivated over the past decades in Southern Europe. Scientia Horticulturae. 2018;231:118-125.
- 12. Cao X, Jiang F, Wang X, Zang Y, Wu Z. Comprehensive evaluation and screening for chilling-tolerance in tomato lines at the seedling stage. Euphytica. 2015;205:569- 584.
- 13. Atayee AR, Noori MS. Alleviation of cold stress in vegetable crops. J Sci Agric. 2020;4:38-44.
- 14. Bahadur A, Jangid KK, Singh AK, Singh U, Rai KK, Singh MK, Rai N, Singh PM, et al. Tomato genotypes grafted on eggplant: Physiological and biochemical tolerance under waterlogged condition. Vegetable Science. 2016;43(2):208–215.
- 15. Mauro RP, Agnello M, Distefano M, Sabatino L, San Bautista Primo A, Leonardi C, Giuffrida F. Chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis and growth of tomato plants as affected by long-term oxygen root zone deprivation and grafting. Agronomy. 2020;10(1):137.
- 16. Kato C, Ohshima N, Kamada H, Satoh S. Enhancement of the inhibitory activity for greening in xylem sap of squash root with waterlogging. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 2001;39(6):513–519. Available:https://doi. org/10.1016/S0981- 9428(01)01262-1
- 17. Haghighi M, Khosravi S. Effects of grafting on cucumber growth under flooding stress during 15 days in vegetative stage. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. 2022;24(4):873–883. Available:https://jast.modares.ac.ir/arti cle-23-42206-en.html
- 18. Peng YQ, Zhu J, Li WJ, Gao W, Shen RY, Meng LJ. Effects of grafting on root growth, anaerobic respiration enzyme activity and aerenchyma of bitter melon under waterlogging stress. Scientia Horticulturae. 2020;261:108977. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scienta.2019.108977
- 19. Palada MC, Wu DL. Evaluation of chili rootstocks for grafted sweet pepper production during the hot-wet and hot-dry seasons in Taiwan. Acta Horticulture. 2008;767(767):151–158. Available:https://doi.org/10. 17660/ActaHortic.2008.767.14
- 20. Rosca M, Mihalache G, Stoleru V. Tomato responses to salinity stress: From morphological traits to genetic changes. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2023;14: 1118383.
- 21. Sholi NJ. Effect of salt stress on seed germination, plant growth, photosynthesis and ion accumulation of four tomato cultivars. American Journal of Plant Physiology. 2012;7(6):269-275.
- 22. Savvas D, Papastavrou D, Ntatsi G, Ropokis A, Olympios C, Hartmann H, Schwarz D. Interactive effects of grafting and manganese supply on growth, yield, and nutrient uptake by tomato. Hort Science. 2009;44(7):1978-1982.
- 23. Boretti A, Rosa L. Reassessing the Projections of the World Water Development Report. NPJ Clean. Water. 2019;2:15.
- 24. Samarah NH, Alqudah AM, Amayreh JA, McAndrews GM. The effect of late‐terminal drought stress on yield components of four barley cultivars. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science. 2009;195(6):427- 441.
- 25. Daryanto S, Wang L, Jacinthe PA. Global synthesis of drought effects on maize and

wheat production. PloS One. 2016;11(5): e0156362.

- 26. Webber H, Ewert F, Olesen JE, Müller C, Fronzek S, Ruane AC, et al. Diverging importance of drought stress for maize and winter wheat in Europe. Nature Communications. 2018;9(1):4249.
- 27. Mickky B, Aldesuquy H, Elnajar M. Effect of drought on yield of ten wheat cultivars linked with their flag leaf water status, fatty acid profile and shoot vigor at heading. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants. 2020;26:1111-1117.
- 28. Hussain M, Farooq S, Hasan W, Ul-Allah S, Tanveer M, Farooq M, Nawaz A. Drought stress in sunflower: Physiological effects and its management through breeding and agronomic alternatives. Agricultural Water Management. 2018;201: 152-166.
- 29. Dufková H, Berka M, Psota V, Brzobohatý B, Černý M. Environmental impacts on barley grain composition and longevity. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2023; 74(5):1609-1628.
- 30. Wiegmann M, Maurer A, Pham A, March TJ, Al-Abdallat A, Thomas WT, et al. Barley yield formation under abiotic stress depends on the interplay between flowering time genes and environmental cues. Scientific Reports. 2019;9(1):6397.
- 31. Farooq M, Gogoi N, Barthakur S, Baroowa B, Bharadwaj N, Alghamdi SS, Siddique KH. Drought stress in grain legumes during reproduction and grain filling. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science. 2017;203(2): 81-102.
- 32. Djidonou D, Zhao X, Simonne EH, Koch KE, Erickson JE. Yield, water-, and nitrogen-use efficiency in field-grown, grafted tomatoes. Hort Science. 2013; 48(4):485-492. Available:https://doi.org/10.21273/ HORTSCI.48.4.485
- 33. Awu JE, Nyaku ST, Amissah JN, Okorley BA, Agyapong PJ, Doku FE, Nkansah GO. Grafting for sustainable management of

Fusarium wilt disease in tomato production in Ghana. Journal of Agriculture and Food Research. 2023;14:100710. Available:https://doi.

org/10.1016/j.jafr.2023.100710

34. Consentino BB, Sabatino L, Vultaggio L, Rotino GL, La Placa GG, D'Anna F, et al. Grafting eggplant onto underutilized Solanum species and bio-stimulatory action of *Azospirillum brasilense* modulate growth, yield and nutritional functional traits. Horticulturae. 2022;8(8):722. Available:https://

doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae8080722

- 35. Pal S, Rao ES, Hebbar SS, Sriram S, Pitchaimuthu M, Rao VK. Assessment of Fusarium wilt resistant *Citrullus sp*. rootstocks for yield and quality traits of grafted watermelon. Scientia Horticulturae. 2020;272:109497. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2 020.109497
- 36. Zhen X, Sun Y, Yuan X, Ma ZY, Hong Y, Xia S. Impact of Cucurbita moschata resistant rootstocks on *Cucumis sativus* fruit and Meloidogyne incognita development. Plant Disease. 2023;4. Available:https://doi.org/10. 1094/PDIS-02- 22-0319-RE
- 37. Chawda V. Development of suitable rootstock and standardization of appropriate grafting technology for dry and humid areas of India. Acta Horticulture. 2021;1302:45–48. Available:https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHort ic.2021. 1302.6
- 38. Rouphael Y, Schwarz D, Krumbein A, Colla G. Impact of grafting on product quality of fruit vegetables. Scientia Horticulturae. 2010;127(2):172–179. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2 010.09.001
- 39. Lee JM, Oda M. Grafting of herbaceous vegetable and ornamental crops. Horticultural Reviews. 2003;28:61–124. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/97804706 50851.ch2

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116276