

Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies

Volume 49, Issue 4, Page 7-19, 2023; Article no.AJESS.109524 ISSN: 2581-6268

On-site Learning in Museums: Re-conceptualizations and Redirections for Museum Education in the Post-pandemic Digital Age

Zhichao Lei ^{a*}

^a Department of Communication, Seoul National University, South Korea.

Authors' contributions

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJESS/2023/v49i41182

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109524

Review Article

Received: 18/09/2023 Accepted: 23/11/2023 Published: 27/11/2023

ABSTRACT

As the digital age introduces an increasing amount of media technologies to traditional museum settings, a wealth of literature has found that these technologies are exerting prominent effects on museum visitor experiences. Such technical shifts in essence imply a growing part of communication activities, metaphors of media culture, and implications for technology-empowered learning based on museum institutions. New emphasis is being placed on state-of-the-art definitions of museum-technology, museum-learner, and museum-society relationships, appealing for re-conceptualizations of Museum, Museum Communication, and Museum Education, and therefore inviting communication and media culture scholars to join this discourse. Reviewing upon on-site learning issues discussed in previous museum research, this paper addresses the theoretical needs for present on-site educational practices in museums with re-conceptualizations of several important and operationalizable terms and proposes an interdisciplinary, learner-centred agenda for future museum education research and practices that are facing challenges and potential opportunities from e-learning and digital culture.

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: noisee11211@snu.ac.kr;

Keywords: On-site learning; museum education; digital age; media culture.

1. INTRODUCTION

While e-learning embraced its heyday during the COVID-19 pandemic period [1,2], on-site learning confronted huge setbacks (for a discussion on pros and cons of this situation in the pandemic crisis, see [3]) from long-lasting regulations such as social distancing and quarantining policies, rendering the reopening and restoration of numerous educational institutions as a social priority that follows the advent of the post-pandemic era. Museum, performing a unique educative role, has its own values to be included in this discourse, and even pushes museum-based on-site learning - defined as the learning experience realized by an actual museum visit in the real world - beyond restoration and more into innovation, in the face of rising challenges and chances from various digital media technologies, featured by virtual museums and so on, which constantly casts doubts on the point of physical presence in museum-based communication and education.

Accordingly, this paper aims to cut across academic boundaries to address theoretical needs in response to emerging on-site learning issues based on museum institutes, mainly from the standpoint of communication, with a fruitful intersection of education. A critical inquiry on a series of fundamental concepts and a review of the brief history of educative contributions made by the museum industry is conducted first, followed by the proposition of a yet undervalued core curriculum that highlights the necessity of being present in a physical museum, as well as a learner-centred proposal of future directions for on-site learning in museums.

2. RE-CONCEPTUALIZATIONS: WHAT CAN BE BUILT OFF MUSEUM

There exist numerous definitions of *Museum* in both the museum industry and academia. In line with the latest definition approved by the International Council of Museums (ICOM), a museum is "a not-for-profit, permanent institution in the service of society that researches, collects, conserves, interprets and exhibits tangible and intangible heritage. Open to the public, accessible and inclusive, museums foster diversity and sustainability. They operate and communicate ethically, professionally and with the participation of communities, offering varied experiences for education, enjoyment, reflection,

knowledge sharing" [4]. Definitions and introduced by different professional museum associations around the world could be slightly different, but their common point is to insist on the activities that make museums differ from other institutions: conservation, research, and communication [5]. Similarly, in the academic realm, despite a great number of subdivisions existing under the general concept of museums, some scholars have made efforts to put forward a generalized, common role for all museums. The functions of communication have been widely discussed. For instance, in line with Witz [6], museums, acting as sites for the visual management of the past, utilize curation to communicate to museum visitors.

Notably, the review of the existing literature so far reveals that withstanding similarities shared among certain conceptualizations, no consensus has been reached on the conceptual definition of museum; and even when one definition is offered, the conceptualization usually seems unsophisticated and single-perspective-based, which could be problematic for myriad reasons, especially causing issues for future research. As the wealth of wisdom is inconsistent with the conceptual definition of a museum, it could be hard for future studies to operationally define and study museums, not to mention the meaningful interpretation of possible findings.

In summary, empirical research needs a conceptual benchmark, and that, surprisingly, is missing from the literature for museology. To fuel a discussion on how to best conceptualize a museum, the author offers his position about Museum Communication here, from the viewpoint of communication scholarship, since communication, as argued before, is seen as one of the common functions/roles of museums, and more importantly, known as an interdisciplinary domain.

2.1 Museum as in "Museum Communication"

Museum, when examined as "a setting for communication", could be found to come in the term *Museum Communication*, which is supposed to be a concept that communication scholars should deal with - but the scholarship has yet to do so widely.

In the modern scientific discourse, Museum Communication can be interpreted as: " the

museum-society process of transmitting and understanding information: the basic form of the museum communication is the museum exhibit." [7]. Several studies have acknowledged the values of museum settinas unique in communication studies and have identified various factors that may affect the effectiveness and efficiency of communication practices taking place in museum settings, such as storytelling, semiotics, and media technology [8-11]. A line of research tends to approach Museum Communication merely as a presentation strategy for building brand image(s) via museums [12]. Though the scope of interest is limited to specific communication practices like promotion campaigns, such studies also follow the basic logic of Museum Communication in its general meaning.

Recently, along with the flooding of communication research on media technologies, a great number of studies have found that media technologies are taking an increasingly prominent part to account for variations in museum visitor experiences. These studies confirm that compared with museums in late 19th-century, current museums are not only a storyteller telling a fixed story to visitors through curatorial design, but a story co-maker in collaboration with visitors, as the digital age has been bringing an increasing amount of media technologies to traditional museum settings [10,13], and that new emphasis is being placed on museum-audience/visitor relationships, as well as re-conceptualizations of Museum and Museum Communication, in that older modernist for communication-based models on the transmission of authoritative subject-based facts to a mass of passive receivers are being superseded bv new approaches that acknowledge "active audiences", constructivist and interpretivist learning theories and the complexities of cultural politics [14].

If examined from a traditional, phenomenological lens and discussed in a general sense, Museum Communication refers to all the communication activities and phenomena happening in museumlike spaces, which should entail not only all kinds of museums, like art museums, general museums. encyclopedic museums. and children's museums but similar institutions like galleries, science centres, heritage spaces, visitor centres and so on [15,16]. This definition delineates meaning the of Museum Communication by positioning the museum in a dynamic framework of communication, in which

the museum is a mediating site for communication activities to take place, rich in distinct antecedents and consequences that can be understood according to the basic rules of communication.

2.2 Museum Education Based on Museum Communication

When a mediating site is combined with educational purposes, it is not considered a new topic within the realm of education research. The educational aspects of museum communication are studied in museum pedagogy [7]. A group of education scholars have interrogated how museums can be utilized as an educational space both formal and informal for learning/teaching [16-18]. In line with Sapanzha [7], Museum Education is widely interpreted as findina and designing different ways of acquaintance with museum information, and thus has a connection with Museum Communication. It seems safe to say that Museum Education, in and of itself, constitutes an important branch of Museum Communication, which stresses the purposes of educational museums while communication theories, such as audience applicable theory, are to educational assessment, examining the effectiveness of museum education programs.

Hence, *Museum Education* can be defined below in a way that will navigate the on-site learning and teaching practices:

Museum Education refers to a series of communication activities that serve educational utilizina museum spaces and purposes. resources. While museum visitors come as audience slash learners, museum staff members, like curators and tour guides on site, as well as people coming with the learners, such as teachers leading a team of students or parents accompanying their kids for a visit, could play the role of instructors. In other words, the museum spaces and resources could be used by various educators for different types of learning experiences.

The defining characteristic of museum learning experiences is, that the instructional design of every single learning experience happening in a museum space must be based on the curation of contents exhibited in the museum, as well as the planning of special activities. In other words, the planning, curation, management, and organization taking place in a museum - or to put them together, the design of a museum visit experience - constitutes an issue of instructional design in essence, which could and should take a learner-centred perspective.

3. BRIEF HISTORY: ACHIEVEMENTS SO FAR IN MUSEUM EDUCATION

Moving on to what has been achieved in Museum Education, the author tapped into the relevant intellectual heritage and found a strongly underlined relationship between Museum Education and Informal Education [19-24], not only for children but also for adults [17,23], opening up novel possibilities for certain instructional purposes that could be fulfilled outside traditional classrooms. This relationship has a relatively short history, considering the variation people's understandings of of museums, chronologically, from the early history of the museum to the recent empirical and conceptual endeavors with similar concerns.

3.1 Locating On-site Learning in the History of Museum

The history of Museum Education has been examined by some scholars, as an educational viewpoint to interpret the history of Museum. Although it would be better not to claim a single origin point for all museum education, the early museums are often said to be art museums [25]. Historically, traditional museums have shared an elitist image (think of The Louvre) for a long time [26]. They were created by those in power, like collectors, masters, and sovereigns, with the purpose of bringing together the greatest possible number of rare, strange, rich, and memorable objects and works, and displaying them to reaffirm the power; the visitors and audiences were elite members of the nobility. then the clergy during the Middle Ages [27]. The first public museums began to emerge in the 17th and 18th centuries in Europe [28], chiefly intended for university students, which means limited access to the public but still stands out as a turning point. Then during the Industrial Revolution, science museums emerged, mainly showcases working as for industrial achievements to promote industrial development [27].

It was not until the nineteenth century that museums began to be seen as environments for people to learn [23], with a growing number of museums finally getting open to the public, especially in the mid-20th century [22]. During the 20th and 21st centuries, there has been a dramatic increase in the number and types of museums, and a steady movement to identify museums as educational and cultural institutions; museum collections were made public to enlighten the visitors and instil the values of the state [23], which could be interpreted as the early educational purposes of museums. From then on, museums have begun to be seen as public and social places of on-site learning, to which Crowley, Pierroux and Knutson gave a vivid description slash apt summary (2014):

"..., where (in museums) it is easy to find learning happening with families or peer groups who need to collectively negotiate how to move through the museum, decide what to do at each exhibit, and figure out how to make sense of what they encounter. Museums also provide a wide range of diverse examples of designs to support learning for audiences ranging from the youngest children to the oldest adults. Because of these features, museums are learning environments that expand our existing definitions of learning; they require learning scientists to account for phenomena that are very different from formal, in-school learning." (p.461)

Similar notions regard a museum as an informal learning environment, which can be described as a "salad bar" - a place where visitors can pick and choose as they feel inclined [29] - implying the possibility of active learning. Meanwhile, within the realm of informal education, history education, arts education, and science education have been found to be able to take advantage of museums with relevant themes [16], such as history museums, art museums, and science museums, which to some extent also reflects that the broadly accepted classification of museums is based on their role that concentrates on a certain discipline. It is easy to find such discipline-based museums in some museumschool interaction programs and initial/continual teacher training courses, since these museums are believed to provide an interactive setting for ending passive acceptance of information and encouraging critical capacity [22]. However, this discipline-based classification of museums could be accused of being oversimplified, appealing for alternative approaches to help establish the bounds of museum education studies.

The on-site learning mode of museum visitors is also worth discussing here. Crowley, Pierroux and Knutson [23] describe museums as freechoice learning settings. According to their work, in most cases (excluding guided tours, for example), museum visitors are "guided by their own interests, goals, or knowledge, rather than a predetermined curriculum: as they learn, visitors engage with objects, signs, tools, discourse, and new technologies; and the topics that people learn about are diverse, including all aspects of art, science, history, geography, culture, and more"(p.461). From this point of view, during a museum visit, learning happens in a highly casual and personalized manner, which could be the beauty slash limitation of museum education. Even holding a brochure in hand does not guarantee that the visitor will follow the guidance or the recommended routes exactly the way intended by the curators. In a museum setting, decision-making opportunities are granted to the learners to a large extent, whereas museum education merely offers an abundance of possibilities for active learning; however, it is hard to guarantee that the decision made by every learner could lead to ideal learning effects due to individual differences of the decisionmakers in numerous aspects. In this sense, the learning effects of a museum education program, no matter what the learning objectives are, cannot be expected to happen like a lightning strike, coming right after one single, isolated visit. Instead of efficiency, which requires detailed and personalized designs to aid certain visitors for broad and deep engagement, the effectiveness, on the level of the general instructional design, is more worthy of attention in practice.

3.2 Common Ground and Emerging Trends among Museums

By introducing the lens of geography and ethnography, museums could be divided into national museums and regional museums, such as city museums. In a national museum, the use of terminology and physical presentation of objects becomes collective memories of a whole nation [30]. In contrast, city museums refer to institutions located in major metropolitan areas that collect and interpret the history of their city [31].

Notably, no matter what kind of museum is discussed, an agreement in academia has been reached on the importance of storytelling, conveying certain narratives to visitors [32]. Collected stories about the objects on display are considered by numerous museum researchers as important as the objects themselves and constitute a museum tradition, or common ground, with a long history that can be traced back to the early era of English museums [33]. Even a science museum is no exception, as the seemingly objective items of science and technology still follow and convey certain narratives decided by curators.

The constant development of media technologies. coming with the COVID-19 situation, has largely empowered the extensive use of digital storytelling and encouraged a certain amount of latest research on topics like digital or virtual museums and the role of social media in museum education [34-36], which gives rise to another category of museums, discussed in recent literature regarding transformative and/or hybrid museums (for a discussion on the smart transformation of traditional digital museums, see Puspasari, [37]). With a focus on the instructional design of on-site learning, the author suggests that future studies concentrate on the digital resources and digital storytelling available in a physical museum setting (for Interaction Design. Interactive Storvtelling and Artificial Intelligence in museum experience design, see Falco & Vassos, [38]), while leaving the virtual museum and social media part for interested researchers, as the latter could be better incorporated into the discussions on distance learning topics, such as "online learning", "e-learning", (collaborative) learning", "technology-mediated "virtual learning", and "web-based learning", to name but a few.

The choice of digital and interactive storytelling as a focus of future on-site learning scholarship is due to a prominent change broadly reported by museum education researchers in the past decade. The museum scholarship has confirmed that current museums are not only a storyteller telling fixed stories to visitors through curatorial decisions, but story co-maker in collaboration with visitors being active learners, who interact with multimedia storytelling devices to gain knowledge in highly personalized manners. In line with Crowley, Pierroux and Knutson [23], back in the 19th century, museums primarily focused on collections - preserving and curating were their primary functions; today, however, many museums, such as interactive science centres and children's museums, might have no collections at all. Thus, generally, the exhibits of collected items in a museum institution should work together with digital resources, interactive devices and so on, to provide explicitly designed experiences for specific educational goals.

4. UNDERVALUED CURRICULUM: IDENTITY EDUCATION IN COLLECTED REALITY

A growing body of literature has assigned Culture to all museums as a common theme for these educational and cultural institutions [23], which is delivered through storytelling. From the concept of Culture, an undervalued curriculum for museum education, as proposed by the author, should be developed.

4.1 Culture and Identity: On-site Learning Themes for Personal Sustainability

be utilized Museums can as learning environments for informal and lifelong learning [39], which implies that educational practices for personal sustainability can be incorporated into museum-based pedagogies [40]. To better understand sustainable development and other sustainability issues on an individual level, at least two life-long themes should be first examined. The author starts with Culture, as a comprehensive theme for personal sustainable development.

Culture is a fundamental concept that requires an acceptable definition for academic inquiries, which could be constructed from the perspective of social science or philosophy [41]. According to Blumenthal [41], Culture is, in a broad meaning, the sum-total of past and present cultural ideas those whose processors are able to commute them by means of symbols; even if the usage of the term "idea" in the definition is objected, at least a term that is sometimes considered to be its synonym should be used instead, such as "concept". This broad usage of the term Culture is adopted in the remainder of this paper. The issue of learning culture is thus interpreted as the learning of ideas or concepts. To facilitate the definition of identity later, this understanding of how culture acts upon a person can be useful: on an individual level, a cultural mind consists of the stream of inactive and active cultural ideas in the individual from the first in his lifetime to whatever combination of them he may have later [41]. The fact that a cultural mind is open for commuting and building up implies that cultural ideas can be learned.

Previous literature has established that a wide range of categorical concepts have been coined to define a specific group of people. This is where *Cultural Identity* comes in [42], which is a term on and with which myriads of historians and anthropologists have been working. Even though some researchers have found support for common complaints of the extant literature lacking in definitions of Cultural Identity or simply Identity [43], a classic argument is that Cultural lies in the historical imagination, Identity especially when it comes to nationalism. For instance, Hobsbawm [44] theorized how social mechanisms link our contemporary experience to previous generations, underwrite the processes of the historical imagination, and give rise to the Identity of a nation: likewise. Benedict Anderson. who coined the classic term Imagined Community, argued that nations are in essence imagined communities and that members of an imagined community share imagined ties [45].

As maintained by Bai and Nam [36], besides nation, the term Identity can be defined and comprehended in a broad spectrum of ways, as each individual or group may socially categorize themselves based on their own looks, beliefs, norms, attitudes, and other factors; thus, people may represent their identities according to social and cultural factors such as gender, sexual orientation, race, ethnicity, and national origin. One's identity could be constructed based on one's own selection of the available, given cultural factors, which means that an individual's initiative takes a pivotal role in the development of an identity. In accordance with Falk [46], all individuals enact multiple identities, many of which are situational and constructed in response to a social and physical context. However, no matter what criterion is adopted or what selection is made to construct an identity, self-esteem, or self-evaluation, is believed to be the most crucial aspect of the self-concept, and it has received theoretical and empirical attention over the last decades from psychology, psychotherapy, and other related fields [47].

Based on the discussion so far, the author accepted the constructivist philosophy and proposed a definition of Identity as follows:

Identity, one kind of self-knowledge or selfperception, refers to a set of cultural ideas about oneself, culturally defined and distinct from the proved identification determined and bv governmental documents; it is the representation of an individual and/or a social group based on certain cultural categories, which tends to be open for manipulation flexible and and construction. A certain identity constructed based on a cultural category could usually find a relationship with a social group characterized by its own culture of this category. Even not necessarily in a tangible way, a specific identity could make an individual believe that one belongs to a community. One can choose an identity from the given, build on the chosen to redefine it, and even give birth to a new identity. More than one identity could be claimed and owned by someone at the same time, and they collectively serve to present one's own selfunderstanding and self-esteem.

The construction of an identity is based on 1) imagination, for the internalization of identity narratives, and 2) storytelling, for the externalization of one self, both sides of which rely on a narrative structure organizing historical materials for the most part to deliver the plots and settings. In short, identity is mainly constructed by history-based narratives, with a considerable amount of imagination. Based on these features, it requires a narrative structure at the bottom to teach an identity: and on the part of learners, reflection and critical thinking on their own identities usually happen during the learning of a new identity.

4.2 The Curation of Identity in Museums

Bearing the definition of identity in mind, the author investigated previous research that established museums as narrative tools for identity. Museums are believed to depict narratives that can be crucial to understanding the past for their visitors [30]. The relationship between the learning of identity and museum education could be more clarified.

Museums, as active social participants, far from being neutral organizations, serve many social purposes, which include defining and expressing major social narratives; the narratives conveyed by museums are observed as definitive and authoritative, and the objects displayed are understood as emblematic or normative culture [48]. To be more specific, as maintained by Roberts (1994), it is through collecting, cataloguing and conserving art objects that society's ontology of objects is displayed; the objects are acquired, scientifically ordered, and processed to be preserved and part of a [49]; the comprehensive whole social construction of values is revealed in curatorial decisions aiming for presenting a "collected reality". Therefore, what kind of narratives and how the narratives are learned by a visitor, when they approach the collected reality in a museum,

could be considered an essential issue in museum education. The learning of identity, as the main concern of the current discourse, also happens in this process.

One essential fact of the "collected reality" available in museums can be revealed here, which could also support the utilization of museums for social integration: in practice, the curatorial decisions could exert huge influences on the contents on display and how the contents are organized; and the act of presenting only part of a historical narrative can be a form of officially sanctioned forgetting, working alongside practices of remembering [50], to modify the identity narratives shared by a group of people in short, an imagined community could be formed this way and open to anyone who is willing to accept the shared narratives in and through social interactions, regardless of the actual differences at the individual level. It is based on this theorv and the pursuit of cultural hybridization (for the democratic value of cultural hybridization, see Kwok-Bun & Peverelli, [51]) that the usage of museums for social integration could, as believed by the author, help humanity to form an inclusive society that embraces constantly growing diversity and differences, in response to the unprecedented prosperity and still ongoing development of transportation and communication technologies, which largely accounts for the growing complexity in reality.

4.3 The Learning of Identity in Museums

On the part of museum visitors, Falk [46] argues that identities, motivations, and learning seem to be inextricably intertwined. Identity is considered important to the realization of all educational goals in general, as identity influences motivations, which in turn directly influence behaviour and learning [46]. Thus, educators may choose to target aspects of students' identity in their pedagogical practices [52]. These insights also apply to museum education, as museums stand out as a frontier for identity issues.

On a global scale, identity issues are becoming increasingly complicated and challenging to education, especially in some immigration countries where the diversity of races and the existence of multilingual contexts are evident in educational practices [53,54]. Taking transnationalism into consideration, people from different countries, who no longer fit into nice, neat categories, have complicated allegiances to places [31]. Immigrants, soon after settling down in a new country, could confront the confusion and problems brought by multiple identities with which they must cope in daily life.

Moreover, there has been a growing body of literature in cultural studies and global studies that seeks to understand cosmopolitanism and intersection with transnationalism its and transnationality among divergent culture-sharing groups in nation-states. The cross-national context of museums is believed to be helpful to the construction of such understandings. Museums, although bound by national and local contexts, are genuinely transnational and multilocal, representing cultural heritages and identities which can transcend the structures of national particularity that demonstrate a positive social and cultural movement based on their government's political-ideological and politicaleconomic ends [36]. Besides, a museum is, by its very nature, a democratic institution, involving the public in its programs and thereby supporting target mechanisms [55]. This is the reason why the author believes that museums embrace cultural democracy and provide ideal contexts for the public to understand the cosmopolitanismrelated issues happening around them, from which specific solutions might also be found for social integration.

While the identity shared by some museum visitors could align largely with the one represented through a museum, an increasing number of visitors have identities that do not align with and even clash against the one embraced by a museum, as cosmopolitanism is expanding with the growth of international transportation and migration. More importantly, people who have, or claim to have, multiple identities are no more rare cases, because of not only international migration but the increasingly developed intercultural communication, largely propelled by social media [56]. Most of the visitors could come to a museum with multiple identities and relevant identity needs.

In essence, a museum, as it is open to the public, principally with no certain target audience, could have visitors of all ages, nations, races, religions, and all the other categories that have formed different identities. Visitors already possess a certain number of identities and relevant needs prior to their visit to a museum. However, it could be laborious to consider all the identities possibly possessed by the target visitors in the general curation process, even though such mindsets are highly recommended. The instructional design of personalized programs, or programs targeting specific identity groups, on the other hand, should start with the identity needs of the target visitors. For example, to cope with the increasingly complicated identity issues in some immigration countries, identitybased educational interventions could become part of the naturalization program, where museums could offer an ideal context for certain immigrant groups to embrace their own legacy, as well as their new identity brought by the new citizenship. Theoretical frameworks and practical guidelines are needed to facilitate the design and assessment of museum education programs with identity concerns (for a starter, see Lei [57], which establishes the basic process of how interactions could happen among different identity learners when approaching the same identity contents within a museum).

5. REDIRECTIONS: ON-SITE LEARNING IN FUTURE MUSEUMS

In summary, to clarify the ambiguity surrounding Museum, Museum Communication, and Museum Education, the author argues for conceptual should be perused parsimony that in conceptualizations. By looking back at the museum's history and tracing the educational tradition of the museum, the three fundamental concepts have been identified more clearly than previous studies, in turn scaffolding the discussion on on-site learning in museums. Withstanding all the theoretical work that has been done so far, the dynamics of the ongoing digital age have yet to receive enough consideration, alongside a series of impactful changes taking place during the pandemic period. They cannot be taken away from the agenda, especially when physical museums seek new directions to fight their own way out, in the face of challenges from not only their counterparts, like virtual museums, e-learning resources, and so forth, but more generally, the post-pandemic digital age.

5.1 Challenges from E-presence and Elearning

The unfolding of the digital age has enabled content, once posted online, to be more accessible, interactive, and diversified, which constitutes a crucial aspect of digitalization and has exerted far-reaching influences on the production and consumption of cultural content. Besides, given the rapid shareability of virtual spaces, there are now more forms of presence than in the past, redefining the meaning of "being on-site" and "participating". What is new in human history is, that people can now communicate with each other and share ideas in real time around the world and across any geographical or cultural boundary.

By giving rise to a new form of presence, namely e-presence, as an alternative to physical presence, the digital age has empowered communication and participation. E-presence refers to the electronic trail that we leave behind [58], recorded and represented with the help of digital methods. E-learning exemplifies a specific application of e-presence. Across all the fields that have incorporated e-presence, two trends appear - the increasing openness of private space and the privatization of public space - and have been intertwined to shape online cultural environments.

Compared with on-site experiences, online participation and discussion could take place more instantly and spread more widely. Once a private topic intersects with the public agenda, or a private topic causes a controversy to draw public attention, the value of the private topic is likely to be exploited. Lines between "public" and "private" have been re-drawn and both spaces are in constant interactions with the other, which could pose direct threats to industries that traditionally draw on the practice of being physically present in a public space. The business of exhibition, in this sense, is being threatened. For example, instead of just hanging up a piece of artwork and showing it to visitors in a gallery, an online exhibition could allow a user to create personal artwork and tag a friend to spread a promotion message. With the expansive Internet characterizing this digital age, any Internet user can add messages and contexts to the cultural content they produce or simply come across; they can include different elements of expression and distribute their own user-generated content (UGC) onto various platforms. Thanks to such advantages, online content is multi-disciplinary and multifaceted, and insights from multiple perspectives on multiple topics can be learned. Therefore, some features of on-site learning have been questioned, as online communication has enabled more ideas and beliefs to spread among creators and consumers, as well as instructors and learners.

A museum visit can now be a purely digital or online experience. It is amazing to witness how far the museum industry can reach now through the Internet. During the pandemic, some museums launched virtual interactive tours for free, characterized by open access to the digital versions of their collections. Anyone, in another area of the country or the globe, would be able to access an exhibit in New York City, where they might not be able to physically visit, as long as the Internet is available.

5.2 Defense for Physical Museums against Full Digitalization

Regarding digitalization, а separate conversation, distinct from the praises of the bright side, is that there is still a digital divide. exacerbated and more problematized by the pandemic [59] and that even as we reach more people with the internet, disparities remain. It seems by now in previous literature, we have been presented with a wealth of evidence to support the realities of the digital divide and the stakes of being left out (for another postpandemic discussion on the digital divide, see Zollinger & DiCindio, [35]), which leads us to land on a primary conclusion for now: the reality is not ready for full digitalization; physical presence and participation are still integral to our real-life experience.

Museums have survived shocks from technology more than once. Prior to digitalization, the age of mass production also once cast a shadow over museums. Cinemas. for example. were considered as another kind of cultural institution. characterized by mechanical reproduction that enabled moving pictures to contain narratives. This directly challenged the definition of art, once understood as an authentic or original copy that occupies a particular time and space, since a film, unlike a painting, a classic form of art, does not occupy a single space for display or require the viewers to appreciate it at the same time. A film-watching experience can be realized simultaneously in multiple places and times, creating different experiences and contexts for each viewer. The scenes, depicted by the camera lens, cannot be captured as original in their traditional meaning; and the focused subject alone cannot be considered art without a specific context. The lack of singularity, following the prosperity of film art, causes the loss of essence - this is what Benjamin coined as the loss of "aura" [60], a notion that has profoundly influenced discussions surrounding art and technology and could be used for the defence of other cultural institutions like museums. When

watching a film, viewers might seek the aura of a real-life experience, but end up merely consuming reproductions of it in the form of videos and audio, whereas the aura of reality can be appreciated by visiting a museum.

Artworks, traditionally, are believed to be featured by cult values, suitable for collection based on intrinsic aesthetic values. This attitude denies any social function of artistic and cultural content. However, the sociopolitical significance of a cultural item, as argued earlier for the use of museums in identity development. miaht manifest itself when the narratives surrounding this item attempt to tell the audience what they are seeing, although the item itself suffices to be visual evidence. The narratives serve to address the perspectives and positions of the audience so that the audience might unconsciously accept and celebrate the overall construction of messages delivered by the narratives. Thus, it might be safe to conclude that every time new cultural forms ensue, they mainly vary in the rhetorical distance of their narratives, namely the distance between reality and the imaginary, while sticking to their specific social functions.

Marching into the digital age, Benjamin's insights based on the context of mechanical reproduction still have important implications. Similarly, traditional notions of authenticity and originality are being challenged, once again, by mechanical and digital reproduction in a collective manner, including the reproduction of images and texts on the Internet, as well as some state-of-the-art technologies being recently discussed, like 3D printing, Augmented Reality, and Virtual Reality. In the digital age, with enhanced productivity and accessibility, these technology-based representations of reality might become a more stable reality than reality itself, while missing its authentic aura. In this sense, Benjamin's theories communication. reproduction. on urban experience, and cultural memory provide a framework for analyzing the impact of digital technologies on the modern environment, which is still built on reality for the most part, thereby helping reaffirm the value of physical museums for preserving and presenting the original reality.

Looking ahead, as the age of Metaverse is unfolding right before our very eyes, researchers are invited to investigate the legal, ethical, and humanitarian issues lying behind mixed realities, from the perspectives of sociology, media culture, cultural criticism and so on; meanwhile, the on-site sphere remains the core arena for the identity development of an individual, which, as underscored by the author before, is crucial in determining the satisfaction and success of one's life. On a social level, as we take a stance against full digitalization, we ought to show support for free and open access to knowledge, as well as public institutions that may function as venues for the promotion of a culture of inclusiveness, peace, and nonviolence. This is the kind of future-oriented tenets, required by the era of global citizenship.

The beauty of a museum lies in its way of storytelling based on a collection of reality, the originality of which is scientifically provable and/or officially guaranteed. The author looks forward to witnessing more appreciation for the intricate art of storytelling through museums; meanwhile, the author also tends to prompt the industry to embrace museum emeraina technologies, as tools rather than goals. In this hope, this paper serves to facilitate explorations on a broader spectrum of possibilities and perspectives for museum education practices. The liberation from past constraints invites future scholarship to engage with technology and culture in ways that transcend conventional boundaries; meanwhile, the academia and the industry must adhere to some principles and passed down throughout traditions. the museum's history, which spotlights the value of physical museums for on-site learning and social integration.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the author has provided a timely overview of theoretical and practical issues surroundina on-site learning in museums, presenting a brief history of Museum Education, foregrounding the theoretical foundations for this area of study, and summarizing what should be known and reapproached for on-site educational practices in museums that are undergoing restoration and innovation in the post-pandemic digital age. The author has delineated the mechanisms of how Museum Communication and Museum Education operate, as well as the theoretical framework within which on-site learning programs may be delivered. Promising directions for ongoing research have been identified, including the use of museums for Identity Education to serve the interests of personal sustainability. The paper lands on the importance of building a cumulative, learnercentred agenda for on-site learning in museums and, most importantly, translating the

interdisciplinary expertise to applied settings physical museums that function as increasingly technology-empowered cultural and educational institutions for reality-based storytelling.

NOTE

This article is based on a conference presentation by the author, titled "Defining Onsite Learning in Museum Communication: Review on Conceptualizations for Museum Education undergoing Restoration and Innovation", during the 23rd International Conference on Education Research, in Seoul, South Korea.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This article was supported by the BK21 FOUR Program of the Ministry of Education of the Republic of Korea and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-4120200613754).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lynch M. E-Learning during a global pandemic. Asian Journal of Distance Education. 2020;15(1): 189-195.
- Maatuk AM, Elberkawi EK, Aljawarneh S, Rashaideh H, Alharbi H. The COVID-19 pandemic and E-learning: challenges and opportunities from the perspective of students and instructors. Journal of computing in higher education. 2022;34(1): 21-38.
- Ben-Amram M, Davidovitch N. The COVID-19 Period: A Crisis for On-Site Learning or an Opportunity for Optimal Distance Learning? Examination of Student Attitudes. Journal of Education and Learning. 2021;10(3):27-38.
- 4. ICOM; 2022. Available:https://icom.museum/en/resourc es/standards-guidelines/museumdefinition/
- Ginsburch V, Mairesse F. Defining a Museum: Suggestions for an Alternative Approach, Museum Management and Curatorship. 1997;16(1):15-33.
 DOI: 10.1080/09647779700301601
- 6. Witz L. Transforming museums on postapartheid tourist routes. Museum

frictions: Public cultures/global transformations. 2006;107-134.

- Sapanzha O. Museums in the new model of culture: concerning the issue of training professionals in museum education. Muzeológia a kultúrne dedičstvo. 2018; 6(1):7-20.
- Nielsen Jane K. Museum communication and storytelling: articulating understandings within the museum structure, Museum Management and Curatorship. 2017;32:5, 440-455.
 DOI: 10.1090/00047775.2017.1284010

DOI: 10.1080/09647775.2017.1284019

- Horta MDLP. Museum semiotics: A new approach to museum communication. University of Leicester (United Kingdom); 1992.
- 10. Fahy A. New Technologies for Museum Communication. Museum, Media, Message, Psychology Press. 1995;1(7):82-91.
- Russo A, Watkins J, Kelly L, Chan S. How Will Social Media Affect Museum Communication? In Proceedings: Nordic Digital Excellence in Museums (NORDIC 06). University of Oslo. Norway, 2006;1-4.
- Uralman H, Babic D. Trends and Tendencies in Museum Communication in 21st Century. Communication Oriented Museums. 2020;813.
- 13. Liu P, Lan L. Museum as multisensorial site: story co-making and the affective interrelationship between museum visitors, heritage space, and digital storytelling, Museum Management and Curatorship, 2021;36(4):403-426, Doi:10.1000/1000112775.0001.10.10005

DOI: 10.1080/09647775.2021.1948905

- Hooper-Greenhill E. Changing Values in the Art Museum: rethinking communication and learning, International Journal of Heritage Studies, 2000;6(1):9-31 DOI: 10.1080/135272500363715
- 15. Burcaw GE. Introduction to museum work. Rowman Altamira; 1997.
- Hooper-Greenhill E, Moussouri T. Researching learning in museums and galleries 1990-1999: a bibliographic review. University of Leicester; 2000.
- Taylor EW, Neill AC. Museum Education, Journal of Museum Education. 2008;33(1): 23-32, DOI: 10.1080/10598650.2008.11510584
- Altintas IN, Yenigül ÇK. Active Learning Education in Museum, International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education. 2020;9(1):120-128.

ISSN: 2252-8822.

- 19. Schauble L, Leinhardt G, Martin L. A framework for organizing a cumulative research agenda in informal learning contexts. Journal of Museum Education, 1997;22(2-3):3-8.
- 20. Martin LM. An emerging research framework for studying informal learning and schools. Science Education. 2004; 88(S1):S71-S82.
- 21. Russo A, Watkins J. Groundwater-Smith, S. The impact of social media on informal learning in museums. Educational media international, 2009;46(2):153-166.
- 22. Pereira GR, Soares KCM, Paula LMD, Coutinho-Silva R. Museum-school interactions: the importance of continuing education programs for teachers in municipalities away from urban centres. Field Actions Science Reports. The journal of field actions. 2011;(3).
- Crowley K, Pierroux P, Knutson K. Informal learning in museums. In R. K. Sawyer (Ed.), The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences. Cambridge University Press. 2014;461–478.
- 24. Tišliar P. The Development of Informal Learning and Museum Pedagogy in Museums. European journal of contemporary education. 2017;(3):586-592.
- 25. Prottas N. Where does the history of Museum Education begin?. Journal of Museum Education. 2019;44(4):337-341.
- 26. Ross M. Interpreting the new museology. Museum and society. 2004;2(2):84-103.
- 27. Bennett T. The birth of the museum: History, theory, politics. Routledge; 2013.
- Gaspar A. Science centres and museums : Conceituação e Proposta de um Referencial Teórico. Doutorado (Tese em Educação) – USP, São Paulo; 1993.
- 29. Lindauer M. From salad bars to vivid stories: four game plans for developing 'educationally successful' exhibitions. Museum Management and Curatorship. 2005;20:41–55.
- Saeji CT. Creating regimes of value through curation at the national museum of korea. Acta Koreana, 2014;17(2), 609-637. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.18399/acta.2014.1 7.2.004
- Tisdale R. From the Guest Editor: City Museums and Urban Learning. The Journal of Museum Education. 2013;38(1): 3–8.

Available:http://www.jstor.org/stable/43305 765

- 32. Glover Frykman S. Stories to tell? Narrative tools in museum education texts. Educational Research. 2009;51(3):299-319.
- Arnold K. Cabinets for the curious. Looking Back at Early English Museums. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2006.
- Hyun-Min L, Mi-Soo K. Case study of digital contents for online education in college-focused on virtual museum. Korean Journal of General Education, 2020;14(4):81-96.
- 35. Zollinger R, Di Cindio C. Community ecology: museum education and the digital divide during and after COVID-19. Journal of Museum Education. 2021;46(4):481-492.
- 36. Bai Q, Nam BH. Where 'West Meets East': the cross-cultural discourses regarding the Chinese arts collections at the Metropolitan Museum of Art, Identities, 2022;29(6):883-902,
 - DOI: 10.1080/1070289X.2020.1851007 Puspasari S. Constructing Smart Digital
- Puspasari S. Constructing Smart Digital Media for Museum Education Post Pandemic Recovery: A Review and Recommendation. In 2021 International Conference on Informatics, Multimedia, Cyber and Information System (ICIMCIS). IEEE; 2021;238-243.
- Falco FD, Vassos S. Museum Experience Design: A Modern Storytelling Methodology, The Design Journal, 2017;20(1):S3975-S3983.
 DOI: 10.1080/14606925.2017.1352900
- 39. Kristinsdóttir A. Toward sustainable museum education practices: confronting challenges and uncertainties. Museum Management and Curatorship. 2017;32(5): 424-439.
- 40. Hansson P, Öhman J. Museum education and sustainable development: A public pedagogy. European Educational Research Journal. 2022;21(3):469-483.
- 41. Blumenthal A. The best definition of culture. Marietta, Ohio: Marietta College Press; 1937.
- 42. Pavlenko A, Blackledge A. (Eds.). Negotiation of identities in multilingual contexts . Multilingual Matters; 2004;45.
- 43. Darragh L. Identity research in mathematics education. Educational Studies in Mathematics. 2016;93(1):19-33.

- 44. Hobsbawm EJ. From social history to the history of society. Daedalus. 1971;20-45.
- 45. Kanno Y, Norton B. Imagined communities and educational possibilities: Introduction. Journal of language, identity, and education. 2003;2(4):241-249.
- 46. Falk JH. An Identity-Centered Approach to Understanding Museum Learning, Curator: The Museum Journal, 2006;49:2:151-166. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2151-6952.2006.tb00209.x
- 47. Sam DL, Vedder P, Ward C, Horenczyk G, Berry JW, Phinney JS. Immigrant youth in cultural transition: Acculturation, identity, and adaptation across national contexts. Psychological and sociocultural adaptation of immigrant youth. 2006;117-141.
- 48. Coffee K. Museums and the agency of ideology: Three recent examples. Curator: The Museum Journal. 2006;49(4):435-448.
- Christensen HD, Haldrup M. Museum Communication between Enlightenment and Experience. The Journal Nordic Museology, No. 2019;1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5617/nm.6951
- 50. Eyerman R. The past in the present: Culture and the transmission of memory. Acta Sociologica. 2004;47(2):159-169.
- 51. Kwok-Bun C, Peverelli PJ. Cultural hybridization: A third way between divergence and convergence. In Cultural Hybridity. Routledge; 2013;144-167.
- 52. Schachter EP, Rich Y. Identity Education: A Conceptual Framework for Educational

Researchers and Practitioners, Educational Psychologist, 2011;46:4:222-238,

DOI: 10.1080/00461520.2011.614509

- 53. Mansouri F, Wood SP. Identity, education and belonging: Arab and Muslim youth in contemporary Australia. Academic Monographs; 2008.
- 54. Crichlow W. (Ed.). Race, identity, and representation in education. Routledge; 2013.
- Orloff C. Museums of Cities and the Future of Cities, City Museums and City Development, 2008;27-39. ISBN 0-7591-1180-4
- Chen Guo-Ming. The impact of new media on intercultural communication in global context. China Media Research. 2012;8 (2):1-10.
- 57. Lei Z. How's Identity Being Learned in City Museums? An Identity Education Approach to Museum Education. In Creative Approaches to Technology-Enhanced Learning for the Workplace and Higher Education: Proceedings of 'The Learning Ideas Conference'2023. Springer Nature. 2023;767:325.
- 58. Ridge RA. Performance Potential Series Part 1: Meet your e-presence. Nursing Management, 2014;45(10):46-50.
- 59. Lythreatis S, Singh SK, El-Kassar AN. The digital divide: A review and future research agenda. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2022; 175:121359.
- 60. Benjamin W. The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction; 1936.

© 2023 Lei; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/109524