



Estimates of Genetic Parameters for Yield Attributing Characters in Restorer Lines of Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

**Ippa Vinay ^{a*}, D. Shivani ^a, P. Senguttuvel ^b
and Kalyani M. Barbadikar ^b**

^a *Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India.*

^b *ICAR-Indian Institute of Rice Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i103069

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106705>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/07/2023

Accepted: 19/09/2023

Published: 25/09/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted to study the genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for eight yield and yield attributing traits in 47 (40 improved parental lines + 7 checks) lines of rice. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among all the treatments under study. High values of PCV and GCV were recorded for traits like number of filled grains per panicle and single plant yield while moderate PCV and GCV recorded for plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, spikelet fertility and 1000 grain weight. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as percent of mean was observed for the traits namely number of filled grains per panicle, plant height and single plant yield. The traits *viz.*, productive tillers per plant, panicle length, number of filled grains per panicle, spikelet fertility % and 1000-grain weight exhibited significant and positive association with single plant yield. Number of filled grains per panicle, 1000-grain weight, number of productive tillers per plant, plant height and panicle length exhibited positive direct effect on single plant yield indicating that direct selection for these traits would lead to improvement in single plant yield.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ippavinay10@gmail.com,

Keywords: Genetic variability; heritability; correlation; path coefficient analysis; rice.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the primary staple food for one third of the world population and is often referred as “Global Grain” [1]. “It constitutes approximately 20% of the global dietary energy supply, making it a significant contributor in meeting the world's nutritional needs. Rice production and research have encountered significant challenges in recent times. Some major rice-producing nations have experienced a plateau in yield and total production for many years” [2]. “In the current scenario, rice productivity is increasing at a rate of 1% per year which is less than the required rate of 2.4% per year to double global production by 2050” [3]. To meet the increased demand, many countries are actively seeking to leverage hybrid rice technology, which has the potential to enhance rice production and productivity. Restorer lines play a crucial role in hybrid rice breeding and by enhancing restorer lines, breeders can introduce greater genetic diversity into hybrid development, resulting in the creation of superior hybrids.

Grain yield is influenced by various component traits, with some directly impacting yield and others exerting indirect effects. Hence, to enhance grain yield, it is essential to identify the traits that directly or indirectly contribute to it. In such conditions a comprehensive study on the genetic variability of a population, character association and the path coefficient analysis for the grain yield and its attributing traits play a crucial role in improving crop productivity [4]. “The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) provide an idea about the magnitude of variability. Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are more helpful in predicting the genetic gain under selection. Analyzing correlations between crop yield and its attributing traits offers valuable insights towards the relationship between yield and its components” [5]. Path analysis also helps with the identification of the direct and indirect reasons of association and the creation of effective breeding plans for the improvement of genotypes. Therefore, the present investigation was carried out with the aim of assessing the variability parameters, character association and path coefficient analysis for grain yield and its attributing traits.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was carried out during *kharif*, 2022 at Research farm, ICAR- Indian Institute of Rice Research (IIRR), Hyderabad, located at an altitude of 542 m above mean sea level on 17° 19' N latitude and 78° 23' E longitude in Southern Zone of Telangana State.

The material for the present genetic study comprised of 47 entries of which 40 are improved parental lines derived from the cross between AR 9-18 and yield enhancing donor YPK 198, through forward breeding, seven checks *i.e.*, four maintainer lines (APMS6B, IR58025B, IR79156B, CRMS32B), two parents (AR 9-18, YPK 198) and a national check (CR-DHAN-201). The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RBD) in two replications.

Phenotypic data was recorded for eight yield and its attributing traits *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length (cm), spikelet fertility (%), number of filled grains per panicle, weight of 1000 grains (g) and single plant yield (g) on all the lines based on flowering duration and maturity. During the experiment to raise a healthy nursery and main crop, the recommended cultural practices and essential crop protection measures were implemented.

Statistical analysis was done for the data recorded on eight yield and its attributing traits *viz.*, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), broad sense heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean. GCV and PCV were determined using the method described by Burton and Dewane [6]. The range of variability was categorized as follows: high (> 20%), moderate (10-20%) and low (< 10%) in accordance with the classification proposed by Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon [7]. Lush [8] and Hanson *et al.* [9] proposed that broad sense heritability $h^2(bs)$ was determined by taking the ratio of genotypic variance to the total variance and expressed in per cent. As per the classification proposed by Robinson *et al.* [10] heritability estimates were categorized into three groups: high (> 60%), moderate (30-60%) and low (0-30%).

Genetic advance was calculated and then categorized into different levels: high (> 20%),

moderate (10-20%) and low (< 10%) as suggested by Johnson *et al.* [11]. For the calculation of simple correlations, the formula given by Weber and Moorthy [12] was used while for computation of path analysis, the method described by Dewey and Lu [13] was utilized.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) indicated that the mean sum of squares due to genotypes was highly significant for all the characters, which further ascertain that there is considerable amount variability in the material and ample scope for improvement in the investigated traits (Table 1).

The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) provide an idea about the magnitude of variability. Number of filled grains per panicle (28.91% and 26.54%) and single plant yield (24.13% and 21.12%) recorded high PCV and GCV values (Table 2, Fig. 1), indicating the presence of considerable variability thus selection may be useful for improvement of these traits. Similar results were reported by Hasan-Ud-Daula and Sarker [14]. In variability studies, moderate PCV and GCV were recorded for Plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, spikelet fertility and 1000 grain weight as observed by Sandeep *et al.* [15] and Singh *et al.* [16] for plant height, productive tillers per plant, 1000-grain weight and Tiwari [17] for spikelet fertility. Panicle length recorded moderate PCV and low GCV, similar results were reported by Ali *et al.* [18]. Low PCV and GCV estimates were exhibited by days to 50% flowering. These findings are consistent with the results reported by Singh *et al.* [19]. The slight disparities reported between phenotypic coefficient of variation and genotypic coefficient of variation on most traits imply the presence of sufficient genetic variability for those traits, which could facilitate selection. Number of filled grains per panicle (84.24% and 50.17%), plant height (77.4% and 22.67%) and single plant yield (76.56% and 38.06%) recorded high heritability and high genetic advance as a percentage of the mean (Table 2, Fig. 2). This indicates the presence of additive gene action thus selection may be effective for these traits. These results align with the findings of Lakshmi *et al.* [20], Kiruthikadevi *et al.* [21], Patra *et al.* [22], Kumar *et al.* [23] and Nath and Kole [24]. The traits spikelet fertility (73.30% and 18.28%) and 1000 grain weight (65.15% and 17.23%) exhibited high

heritability with moderate genetic advance as a percentage of the mean, as reported by Hassan *et al.* [25] and Awad *et al.* [26] whereas, days to 50% flowering (71.30% and 7.41%) showed high heritability with low genetic advance as percent of mean as that reported by Dhavaleshvar *et al.* [5]. Moderate levels of heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean were recorded for number of productive tillers per plant (40.40% and 14.12%) and panicle length (55.42% and 14.79%) which are in accordance with the results of Parvathi *et al.* [27] and Singh *et al.* [19].

At phenotypic level, number of productive tillers per plant (0.382**), panicle length (0.238**), number of filled grains per panicle (0.619**), spikelet fertility % (0.232**) and 1000-grains weight (0.604**) exhibited significant and positive association with single plant yield. Hence, direct selection for these characters would improve the yield wherein, other yield components *viz.*, days to 50% flowering (0.032), plant height (0.073) have shown positive non-significant association (Table 3). Similar results were reported by Santhipriya *et al.* [28] and Singh *et al.* [19] for single plant yield with number of productive tillers per plant, panicle length and 1000-grain weight; Saha *et al.* [29], Kiruthikadevi *et al.* [21], Shrivatsav *et al.* [30], Hasan-Ud-Daula and Sarker [14] for number of filled grains per panicle; Shrivastav *et al.* [30] and Buelah *et al.* [31] for spikelet fertility %.

Considering grain yield as effect and other quantitative traits as causes the correlation coefficient between grain yield per plant and various component characters were partitioned into measures of direct and indirect effects through path analysis. It was observed that the direct and indirect association through other characters by path analysis revealed that number of filled grains per panicle (0.4495) exhibited highest positive direct effect on single plant yield (Table 4, Fig. 3) followed by 1000 grain weight (0.3778), number of productive tillers per plant (0.2006), plant height (0.0503) and panicle length (0.0105) while the trait days to 50% flowering (-0.0809) exhibited negative direct effect followed by spikelet fertility (-0.0277). These results are in accordance with Kiruthikadevi *et al.* [21] for filled grains per panicle, 1000-grain weight, productive tillers per plant and panicle length, Gunasekaran *et al.* [32] and Devi *et al.* [33] for plant height. The residual effect of path coefficient analysis was 0.6475. The high residual effect value may be attributed to the effects of additional characters not included in this study.

Table 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for eight yield and yield attributing traits in rice

Source of Variation		Replication	Treatment	Error
d.f		2	46	92
Mean sum of squares	DFF	26.596	45.791**	7.661
	PH	225.11	451.09**	57.4
	PTPP	2.6111	2.5011**	1.0604
	PL	0.3102	14.3035**	4.1029
	FG	233.02	2982.49**	255.1
	SF	0.856	161.506**	24.879
	TGW	0.1755	9.5835**	2.0223
	SPY	0.013	32.979**	4.379

** Significant at 1% level

DFF- Days to 50% flowering, PH- Plant height (cm), PTPP-Number of productive tillers per plant, PL-Panicle length (cm), FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF-Spikelet fertility (%), TGW- 1000 grains weight (g), SPY- Single plant yield (g)

Table 2. Estimates of variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield attributing traits in rice

S. No	Characters	Mean	Range		PCV (%)	GCV (%)	Heritability in broad sense (h ²) (%)	Genetic Advance as % of mean
			Min	Max				
1	DFF	102.46	91.00	116.00	5.05	4.26	71.30	7.41
2	PH	112.10	72.33	146.67	14.21	12.51	77.40	22.67
3	PTPP	7.88	5.00	12.00	16.94	10.78	40.40	14.12
4	PL	23.42	17.00	31.00	12.95	9.64	55.42	14.79
5	FG	139.16	76.67	267.00	28.91	26.54	84.24	50.17
6	SF	79.76	42.69	92.85	12.10	10.36	73.30	18.28
7	TGW	18.76	13.72	25.01	12.83	10.36	65.15	17.23
8	SPY	17.91	9.04	24.65	24.13	21.12	76.56	38.06

DFF- Days to 50% flowering, PH- Plant height (cm), PTPP-Number of productive tillers per plant, PL-Panicle length (cm), FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF-Spikelet fertility (%), TGW- 1000 grains weight (g), SPY- Single plant yield (g)




Fig. 1. Histogram of phenotypic coefficient of variation and genotypic coefficient of variation




Fig. 2. Histogram of h² (broad sense) and genetic advance as per cent of mean

Table 3. Correlation coefficients of yield and its attributing traits in rice

Traits	DFF	PH	PTPP	PL	FG	SF	TGW	SPY
DFF	1	0.0269	-0.0067	0.0562	0.2636 *	-0.1516	-0.0262	0.0325
PH		1	-0.0081	0.3291 **	0.0323	-0.0233	0.0220	0.0735
PTPP			1	0.248 *	0.2068 *	-0.1117	0.2204 *	0.3826 **
PL				1	0.1875	-0.1198	0.2102 *	0.2387 *
FG					1	0.3503 **	0.4131 **	0.6196 **
SF						1	0.3052 **	0.2325 *
TGW							1	0.6046 **
SPY								1

* Significant at 5% level, ** Significant at 1% level

DFF- Days to 50% flowering, PH- Plant height (cm), PTPP-Number of productive tillers per plant, PL-Panicle length (cm), FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF-Spikelet fertility (%), TGW- 1000 grains weight (g), SPY- Single plant yield (g)

Table 4. Phenotypic path analysis showing direct and indirect effects of yield attributing traits on single plant yield

Traits	DFF	PH	PTPP	PL	FG	SF	TGW	SPY
DFF	-0.0809	0.0014	-0.0013	0.0006	0.1185	0.0042	-0.0099	0.0325
PH	-0.0022	0.0503	-0.0016	0.0035	0.0145	0.0006	0.0083	0.0735
PTPP	0.0005	-0.0004	0.2006	0.0026	0.0930	0.0031	0.0833	0.3826
PL	-0.0045	0.0166	0.0491	0.0105	0.0843	0.0033	0.0794	0.2387
FG	-0.0213	0.0016	0.0415	0.0020	0.4495	-0.0097	0.1560	0.6196
SF	0.0123	-0.0012	-0.0224	-0.0013	0.1575	-0.0277	0.1153	0.2325
TGW	0.0021	0.0011	0.0442	0.0022	0.1857	-0.0085	0.3778	0.6046

Bold values are direct effects

Residual effect = 0.6475

DFF- Days to 50% flowering, PH- Plant height (cm), PTPP-Number of productive tillers per plant, PL-Panicle length (cm), FG- Number of filled grains per panicle, SF-Spikelet fertility (%), TGW- 1000 grains weight (g), SPY- Single plant yield (g)




Fig. 3. Phenotypic path diagram

4. CONCLUSION

The estimates of PCV and GCV were notably high for traits such as number of filled grains per panicle and single plant yield implying that direct selection for these traits may lead to genetic improvement. Furthermore, it was noted that for traits like plant height, number of filled grains per panicle and single plant yield both high heritability and a substantial genetic advance as a percentage of the mean were recorded. This indicates the predominance of additive gene action in their genetic control. Through correlation and path coefficient analysis, it was evident that among the examined yield traits, characters such as number of filled grains per panicle, 1000-grain weight, productive tillers per plant, panicle length were considered as most critical ones as they exhibited higher correlation coefficients and direct effects in association with single plant yield.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is part of the author's post graduate thesis work. This study is supported by ICAR- Indian Institute of Rice Research and Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ajmera S, Kumar SS, Ravindrababu V. Evaluation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield components in rice genotypes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 6(10):1657-1664.
2. Shetty PK, Hegde MR, Mahadevappa M. *Innovations in rice production* (NIAS Books and Special Publications No. SP1-2013); 2013.
3. Donde R, Mohapatra S, Baksh SY, Padhy B, Mukherjee M, Roy S, Chattopadhyay K, Anandan, et al. Identification of QTLs for high grain yield and component traits in new plant types of rice. *PLoS One*. 2020; 15(7):1-32.
4. Jan N, Lal EP, Kashyap SC, Gaur A, Parry GA, Ramteke PW. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis studies for grain yield and contributing traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

- under temperate conditions of Kashmir. *Vegetos- An International Journal of Plant Research*. 2017;30(2):87–93.
5. Dhavaleshvar M, Malleshappa C, Kumar BMD. Variability, correlation and path analysis studies of yield and yield attributing traits in advanced breeding lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*. 2019;7(1):267-273.
 6. Burton GW, Devane. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* L.) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*. 1953;45:478 -481.
 7. Sivasubramanian S, Madhavamenon P. Genetic analysis of quantitative characters in rice through diallel crosses. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 1973;60:1097- 1098.
 8. Lush JL. Heritability of quantitative characters in farm animals. *Hereditas*. 1949;35(1):356-375.
 9. Hanson CH, Robinson HF, Comstock RK. Biometrical studies on yield in segregating population of Korean Lasphadezia. *Agronomy Journal*. 1956;48:314-318.
 10. Robinson FA, Comstock RE, Harvey PH. Estimation of heritability and degree of dominance in corn. *Agronomy Journal*. 1949;41:353-359.
 11. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agronomy Journal*. 1955;47:314-318.
 12. Weber CR, Moorthy BR. Heritability and non-heritability relationships and variability of oil content and agronomic characters in the F₂ generation of soybean crosses. *Agronomy Journal*. 1952;44:202-209.
 13. Dewey DR, Lu KH. A correlation and path analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy Journal*. 1959;51:513-516.
 14. Hasan-Ud-Daula H, Sarker U. Variability, heritability, character association, and path coefficient analysis in advanced breeding lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Genetika*. 2020;52(2):711-726.
 15. Sandeep S, Sujatha M, Subbarao LV, Neeraja CN. Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance studies in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2018;7(12):3719-3727.
 16. Singh SK, Singh P, Khaire AR, Korada M, Singh DK, Majhi PK, Jayasudha S. Genetic Variability, Character Association and Path Analysis for Yield and its Related Traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Genotypes. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science*. 2022;3(24):437-446.
 17. Tiwari JK. Association analysis and selection strategies for various yield contributing traits in rice genotypes. *Applied Biological Research*. 2017;19(1): 35-40.
 18. Ali EN, Rajeswari S, Saraswathi R, Jeyaprakash P. Genetic variability and character association for earliness, yield and its contributing traits in F₂ population of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2018;9(3):1163-1169.
 19. Singh KS, Suneetha Y, Kumar GV, Rao VS, Raja DS, Srinivas T. Variability, correlation and path studies in coloured rice. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2020;8(4):2138-2144.
 20. Lakshmi VGI, Sreedhar M, Gireesh C, Vanisri S. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis studies for yield and yield attributes in African rice (*Oryza glaberrima* L.) germplasm. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2020;11(2) 399-404.
 21. Kiruthikadevi U, Banumathy S, Arunachalam P, Renuka R, Thirumurugan T. Correlation, path analysis and stress indices studies of saltol introgressed lines of rice for salinity tolerance. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2020;11(1):230–237.
 22. Patra M, Dash P, Bhol RK, Rout GR, Das SR. Assessment of genetic variability for quantitative and physiological traits in Sub-1 introgressed lines and their parental strains in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2020;8(4):913-920.
 23. Kumar A, Kumar S, Singh S, Prasad J, Jeena AS, Upreti MC. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies for quantitative and quality traits in Basmati rice. (*Oryza sativa*. L). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(4):2065-2068.
 24. Nath S, Kole PC. Genetic variability and yield analysis in rice. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2021;12(1):253–258.
 25. Hasan JM, Kulsum UM, Majumder RR, Sarker U. Genotypic variability for grain quality attributes in restorer lines of hybrid rice. *Genetika*. 2020;52(3):973-989.
 26. Awad-Allah MM, Elekhthar NM, El-Abd MAEM, Abdelkader MF, Mahmoud MH, Mohamed AH, et al. Development of new

- restorer lines carrying some restoring fertility genes with flowering, Yield and grains quality characteristics in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Genes*. 2022;13(3):458.
27. Parvathi SP, Satyanarayana Rao V, Lal Ahamed M, Anil KP. Variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and grain quality characters in rice. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*. 2011;58(1):116-118.
 28. Santhipriya C, Suneetha Y, Babu DR, Srinivasa VR. Inter-relationship and path analysis for yield and quality characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*. 2017;6(1):381–390.
 29. Saha SR, Hassan L, Haque A, Islam MM, Rasel M. Genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analyses of yield components in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*. 2019; 17(1):26–32.
 30. Shrivastav SP, Verma OP, Singh V, Lal K. Interrelationships among yield and its contributing traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under sodic soil. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 2020;11(4):1044–1052.
 31. Buelah J, Reddy VR, Srinivas B, Balram N. Correlation and Path Analysis for Yield and Quality Traits in Hybrid Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2022;12(10):723-728.
 32. Gunasekaran K, Sivakami R, Sabariappan R, Ponnaiah G, Nachimuthu VV, Pandian BA. Assessment of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for morphological and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest*. 2017;37(4): 251-256.
 33. Devi KR, Chandra BS, Lingaiah N, Hari Y, Venkanna V. Analysis of variability, correlation and path coefficient studies for yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest*. 2017; 37(1):1-9.

© 2023 Vinay et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106705>