



Clinical Study of Neurodegenerative Treatment on Glaucoma by Insulin Intravitreal Injection

Amine Chaoui Boudghene^{a*}

^a *Laboratory Neurogenesis Research and Development, Ophthalmology Clinic Dar El Bassar, N°: 01 Courtyard of Culture, Bus Station, Mostaganem, Algeria.*

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/OR/2022/v17i4368

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91862>

Original Research Article

Received 28 July 2022
Accepted 30 September 2022
Published 12 October 2022

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Our trail was to study insulin intravitreal injection's (IIV) efficacy and safety to treat glaucoma neurodegeneration.

Methods: Eleven subjects (11eyes) were recruited;10 patients treated in a double masked randomized sham controlled including 5 patients received one IIV injection 3UI and 5 patients received one injection of balanced salt solution(BSS) 3UI. A follow up during 168days was realized using Optical Coherence Tomography(OCT) and visual field(VF).The eleventh patient received two IIV injection without masking the injection content within one month between each injection and followed up for 877days.All the patients have a correct ocular pressure and no ocular treatment was stopped.

Results: The 5 patients who received IIV revealed a swift improvement of decibel (DB), and remains stable during the first month. The average improvement was 6.62DB during 168days.The 5 patients treated with one BSS injection showed no significant improvement regaining 1. 45DB.The last patient who received two injections showed increase from 7.54DB to 17.22DB with a functional amelioration of 9. 68DB.The OCT examination showed a structural improvement during the first month, then returned to the initial value. No complication was observed during and after the treatment.

Conclusion: Insulin shows not only efficacy and safety but more than that, the visual field(VF) of the patients became stable and show no deterioration in all the follow up, which confirmed that insulin act to improve the function rather than structure. it means insulin reconnect the stoma and improve the neurite outgrowth This treatment will change the evolution of this pathology and protect the glaucomatous patients against blindness.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: amichab@yahoo.fr;

Keywords: *Insulin; glaucoma; neurodegeneration disease; neurite outgrowth; visual field; average defect; nerve cells regeneration.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma is a progressive degenerative disease [1] and the first leading cause of blindness, [1,2]. In adult population the prevalence of primary open glaucoma (POAG) cases was estimated approximately 2% in patients over 40 and 10% in 80 years old patients, with a 76 million cases number in 2020 and could reach 111.8 million in 2040 [3], this increase in glaucomatous patients in the world will therefore more important given the increase in aging. The first risk factor of glaucoma is the ocular hypertonia, which is due to an increase in resistance to the flow of aqueous humor through the meshwork trabecula.

All the antiglaucoma drugs currently available are going to have an action on lowering eye pressure which decreases the risk and the rate of disease progression, but currently there is no serious treatment for the neurodegenerative problems.

Anatomically and developmentally, the eye is an extension of the brain, Consequently, its share similar pathophysiological mechanisms as other degenerative disorders of the central nervous system (CNS) like the Alzheimer's disease [4].

According to previous studies insulin is approved as a safe and efficacy drug in clinical uses with no detectable clinical toxicity [5].

Our approach is to use insulin in a clinical trial to treat neurodegeneration in glaucoma and solve this problem.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Subjects

Inclusion factors: Patients selected for this study suffer of an open angle glaucoma with strain eyes balanced under medical treatment or having previously under gone filtration surgery or laser SLT.

Exclusion factors: Mono-ophthalmic patients, diabetic retinopathy and high myopia, cataract, age related macular degeneration or another maculopathy, atrophic neuropathy from another origin, amblyopic eye.

2.2 Study Design

This study was realized in an ophthalmology private clinical center located in Mostaganem,

Algeria. In the confluence with the protocol of good clinical practice, and performed in adherence to the guide lines of the Helsinki declaration. Informed consent was taking from patients.

Eleven patients (seven men and four women) with average age of 62 years (± 14), were recruited for this study, 10 patients are treated in a double masked sham controlled including 5 patients were received one injection of insulin rapid intravitreal 3 UI. The other 5 patients received 3 UI of BSS. With follow up of 168 days.

The last patient was a man aged 55 years old received two intravitreal injections of insulin 3UI in the left eye within one month between each injection in a prospective study, with follow up of 877 days.

In every visit a complete exam was applicated, enclosing the OTC, standard visual field examination, ocular tension and optic nerve retinopathy.

2.3 Evaluated Parameters

After ophthalmic examination (VA, SL; fundus of the eye) and control of ocular pressure by the Glodman aplantation tonometer. all subjects were assessed with OCT Optovue exploration of RGC (average thickness, focal lost vision, global lost vision, RNFL average thickness and visual field).

All the injections were conducted in the operating room after disinfection with the Betadine.

A ticket was placed to masque the reel continent of the injected substance.

The last patient treatment was not masked.

2.4 Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using XL-Stat/Excel software. All the analyzes are based on individual eye in both sham and treated group.

3. RESULTS

3.1 One Injection Statistical Interpretation

The function parameters are observed with the visual field (REODENSTOCK Field Analyzer device) by studying the indices average (DB, AD) and structural parameters using OCT (optovue octdevice) by studying the indices (RGC, RNFL).

The average of all the patients was calculated and used in the statistical study.

3.1.1 Comparative study of the evolution of visual field in the treated group and the placebo group

3.1.1.1 Average DB

Insulin treatment: The average DB was 13.17DB before treatment and reached 19.79DB at the 168 day of treatment, the average DB showed an increase of 6.62 DB (Fig. 1).

Placebo treatment: The placebo group showed a non- significant improvement, before treatment it was 20.17DB while at the 168 day it attempts 21.62DB, showing an increase of 1.45DB (Fig. 2).

3.1.1.2 Average AD

Insulin treatment: The average AD was -5.396 before treatment and reached -3.78 at the day 168, showing an increase of 1.616 (Fig. 3).

Placebo treatment: The placebo group showed a non- significant improvement, it was -3.49 before treatment while at the 168 day it attempted -3.46 (Fig. 4).

3.2 Comparative Study of the Evaluation of RGC and RNFL in the Treated Group and the Placebo Group

3.2.1 RGC

Insulin treatment: The average RGC was 76 μ m before the treatment, it showed an increase until 21st day from the injection to attempt 90 μ m and return after that to the initial value after 168 day (Fig. 5).

Placebo treatment: The placebo group showed a non- significant improvement, it was 77.04 μ m before treatment and 75.72 μ m after 168 days (Fig. 6).

3.2.2 RNFL

Insulin treatment: The average RNFL was 68.4 μ m before treatment showing an increase of 80 μ m on the 21th day, returning to the initial value after 168 day (Fig. 7).

Placebo treatment: The placebo group was 74.7 μ m before the treatment than decrease to 73.44 μ m after 168 days (Fig. 8).

3.3 Two Injections Results

The two graphs represent the evolution of decibel level over a period of 877days in a patient treated with 2 injections with rapid insulin with dose of 3UI.

The red area shows the points not seen by the patient in visual field and the blue area represent the points seen.

The Green sloping line going up from left to right across the two graphs shows amelioration and the yellow lines constitute the average decibel.

The first graph shows a field of vision on February 28,2020.

The first and the second injection was realized on March 8,2020; March30,2020 respectively.

The second graph shows a field of vision on July22,2022.

We conclude that there was an amelioration.




Fig. 1. The effect of insulin on DB in the treated groups during 168




Fig. 2. The effect of insulin on DB in placebo groups during 168 days




Fig. 3. The effect of insulin on AD in treated groups during 168 days




Fig. 4. The effect of insulin on AD in placebo groups during 168 days




Fig. 5. The effect of insulin on RGC in treated groups during 168 days




Fig. 6. The effect of insulin on RGC in placebo groups during 168 days




Fig. 7. The effect of insulin on RNFL in treated groups during 168 days




Fig. 8. The effect of insulin on RNFL in placebo groups during 168 day




Fig. 9. Decibel level graphs presentation-dB level, with follow-up of 877days

- Red area: The point not seen by the patient in visual field
- Blue area: The point seen by the patient in visual field
- Green sloping line: Going up from left to right across the two graphs shows amelioration.
- Yellow lines: The average decibel

3.4 The Visual Function Field (VF) Shows Decibel (dB) Examinations for Left Eye (Fig. 10)




Fig. 10. Visual Field changes after 2 injections of 3UI of rapid-acting insulin at one-month intervals with a follow-up of 877 days

- (A) Visual field after the first injection
- (B) Visual field after second injection

3.5 Statistical Interpretation for Two Injections Study

3.5.1 Average DB insulin treatment with 2-injections

The average dB was 7.54 dB before treatment and 13.76 dB in the first injection. It reached 21.07dB in the second injection, showing an increase of 9.68 dB during 877days (Fig. 11).

3.5.2 Average AD

Insulin treatment: The average AD was -7.33 before treatment and was -6.06 in the first injections. It attempted to -3.44 at the second injection, showing an increase of 3.09 dB during 877days (Fig. 12).




Fig. 11. The effect of 2-injection with insulin on the dB levels in one patient during 877 days




Fig. 12. The effect of 2-injection with insulin on the AD levels in one patient during 877 days

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Insulin – Receptors and Signaling Pathways

Glaucoma and Alzheimer disease share many identical symptoms and characteristics as disease that affecting different locations of the brain [6-7] it has been mentioned as an Ocular Alzheimer’s disease [8].

Glaucoma present with many common characteristics including increased cell death, abundant neurofibrillary tangles, consequent dystrophic neuritis, impaired deposits of APP (Amyloid protein precursor) , which are resulting from many pathological pathways such as mTOR; Beta amyloid aggregation, Tau hyperphosphorylation and IDE (insulin degrading

enzyme) downregulation, increased expression of apoptotic genes, disturbing energy metabolism and mitochondrial dysfunction with elevated OS (oxidative stress) causing DNA damage. [9].

Insulin is a potent neuroprotective agent that acts mainly against apoptosis, beta amyloid toxicity, oxidative stress, and other ischemia [10]. On the other hand, the Insulin and IGF (Insulin-like growth factor) have essential roles in growth and development [11]. It has been shown that antiapoptotic effects of insulin depends on the PI3K pathway to stimulate neurite formation. [12].

Insulin is part of a family of peptides including insulin-like growth factors I/II (IGF-I/II) and relaxin [13]. However, the expression of the insulin receptor is present in the retina [14,15].

The insulin Receptor and IGF-1R (Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor) belong to a family of transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinases permit the kinase of the receptors to phosphorylate these proteins on tyrosine residues [16]. Most of insulin responses are mediated by IRS-1 and IRS-2.

Insulin and its receptor are generally expressed throughout the brain and have been postulated to play a crucial role in synaptic plasticity. Although structural remodeling of dendritic spines is associated with stable expression of synaptic plasticity [17].

IR signaling plays an important role in neuronal proliferation during development, this was confirmed with a study that shown that IRS-2 mediated the effects of insulin on brain growth [18], outgrowth, maturation, and axons reconstruction [19]. Moreover, insulin had an increasing effect on the protein expression of the dendritic scaffolding protein post-synaptic density-95 (PSD-95) in hippocampal CA1 region by PI3K/mTOR activation pathway. The process activates extracellular signal-related kinase/mitogen-activated protein kinase (ERK/MAPK) and PI3K/Akt (protein kinase B) pathways inhibiting GSK-3 β . These pathways are involved in inhibition of apoptosis, cell growth (and proliferation) and reduction in OS [20,21].

The insulin receptor tyrosine-kinase substrate P58/53 IRSp53 co-expressed with the IR in the synapse-rich molecular layer and in granule cell layer of the cerebellum, like-wise, in the cultured hippocampal neuron's synapses [22]. The neurite formation depends on insulin and IGF2 presence which are important for NGF (nerve growth factor), there for this effect depend to the presence of the astrocytes.

Ser/Thr kinase Akt are important promote neuronal survival, Akt has been revealed as a primordial mediator of several aspects of neurite outgrowth, implicating elongation, branching and caliber. Moreover, activated Akt targets and inactivates pro-apoptotic proteins such as Bad, caspase 9, and glycogen synthase kinase 3 β (GSK3 β). Activated Akt also protects against neuronal hypoxia by NO-induced (nitric oxide) and stop apoptosis by preventing the transcriptional activity of p53 [23].

Vascular dysfunction of neurovascular contribute to the pathogenesis of glaucoma [24,25]. This is sustained by a balance between the vasodilator action of NO and vasoconstrictor action of endothelin-1 [26,27], Which is regulate by insulin

in vascular endothelium via PI3K and MAPK signaling [28].

The PI3K dependent signaling cause an endothelial dysfunction by an imbalance between NO secretion and the hyperphosphorylation of caspase 9 cause endothelial cell apoptosis [29].

Insulin has antiapoptotic effects by mTOR activation which suggesting that p70S6 protein (one of the downstream targets of PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway, may be one of the mechanisms through which insulin prevent apoptosis [30].

This activation modulates mitochondrial electron transport chain function, and inhibits also FOXO1/HMOX1 and conserves the NAD⁺/NADH ratio, which regulates the SIRT1/PGC1 α pathway for mitochondrial biogenesis and function [31].

All of this show that enhancing mitochondrial function may be an important therapeutic benefit to targeting insulin signaling in glaucoma pathogenesis.

The high levels of insulin in brain extracts, was consolidated by the detection of insulin secretion in neuronal cultures [32] and the presence of insulin immunoreaction inside the Golgi and the rough endoplasmic reticulum in the brain [33]. Which was detected using insulin antisera, in the retinal layers including the ganglion cell layer, and this was approved in human and mouse retina, as well as optic nerve glial cells [34]. There is evidence that the insulin had a central origin, firstly, C-peptide was found in the brain from human cadavers, and the highest content were specifically in the hypothalamus [35].

Secondly, the synaptic vesicles within nerve-endings store the insulin in the adult rat's brain. [36].

Furthermore, insulin mRNA was located in the CA1 and CA3 regions of the hippocampus, precisely in the dentate gyrus, and in the granule cell layer of the olfactory bulbs of the neonatal rabbit brain [37].

Usually, insulin protect against beta amyloid; in the case of Insulin resistance which is closely associated with reduced responses to insulin signaling in IR, IRS-1, PI3K and IGF1R, IRS-2, PI3K signaling pathways, the A β (Beta amyloid) metabolism is affected as a consequence of Tau hyper phosphorylation [38], that has been implicated in neurofibrillary endo

threads and tangles (NFT) formation in both AD (Alzheimer disease) and glaucoma [39].

Glucose hypometabolism results in both reduced glucose transporter expression and the decreased O-GlcNAcylation of tau. They proposed that hypometabolism in the brain reduces the O-GlcNAcylation of tau that conversely increases its phosphorylation, which induces the NFTs (neurofibrillary tangle) [40,41].

Accordingly, impaired cerebral glucose metabolism, mainly thiamine metabolism and insulin resistance, could promote A β accumulation and tau hyper phosphorylation.

The reduced brain insulin/PI3K/Akt pathway leads to the overactivation of GSK-3 β calpain-1 and downregulation of O-GlcNAcylation, which promoted altered tau hyperphosphorylation and neurodegeneration [42].

By contrast, serine phosphorylation of IRS- 1/2 by C-Jun N-terminal Kinase (JNK1) and other

kinases down regulates insulin- stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation, and that corresponds with insulin resistance [43]. Likewise, ubiquitin-mediated degradation of IRS-1/2 also aid to insulin resistance formation [44] (Fig. 13).

On the other hand, the presence of the GLUT was noticed in the brain likewise: GLUT-1; GLUT-2; GLUT- 3 and GLUT-4; with different level and distribution than the peripheral (Table 1).

The inflammatory responses are closely associated with the development of insulin resistance in peripheral and central tissues and the presence of TNF α producing hypothalamic inflammation [45]. This inflammation interacts with the processing and deposit of β -amyloidpeptide (A β), with low amount of insulin producing anti-inflammatory effect. JNK inhibitors stimulate the neuroinflammatory response, while JNK activation promotes neuroinflammation and facilitates insulin resistance [46] (Fig. 14).




Fig. 13. Insulin pathways signaling

Table 1. The different distribution of Glut-transporters between the central and peripheral region

Glucose transport Isoforms	Location	Abundance in central	Abundance in periphery
GLUT-1	Ubiquitous	+++	+
GLUT-2	Hypothalamus	++	+++
GLUT-3	Cerebellum, striatum , cortex, hippocampus	+++	+
GLUT-4	Olgactory bulb , hyppocampus (dentate gyrus) And hypothalamus cerebellum	+	+++
GLUT-8	Hypothalamus, dentate gyrus , amygdala And primary olfactory cortex	++	-




Fig. 14. Relationship between alterations of insulin signaling and glaucoma disease pathogenesis

5. CONCLUSION

In this trial we investigated the insulin effects to promote the visual function; this regeneration is visible on visual field. as we saw in discussion how the insulin promotes the neurite out growth, but also the increase in visual function stays stable during this study (24 months) the use of tow injections shows better results. These results are confirmed returning to different theoretical and experimental studies that we have mentioned it in the discussion. it explains that insulin promote the regeneration of synaptic and dendrites, by activating the different insulin signaling pathways.

Other studies will be carried out for the generalization of this treatment in all glaucomatous patients.

This treatment will change the dramatic evolution that this pathology can have to the glaucomatous patient.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patients' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank all the personal of Dar El Bassar ophthalmology clinic for funded this research and for laboratory neurogenesis research and development for the supervision of this article; we apologize and thank for many personals who have participate to this field and who because of the space constraints have not been cited.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. J Song, P-F Deng, SS Stinnett, DL Epstein, PV. Rao effects of cholesterol-lowering stations on the aqueous humor outflow pathway invest ophtalmol Vis Sci. 2005;46(7):2424-2432.
2. Blumberg D, Skatt A, Liebmann JM. Chapter 5 emerging risk factors for glaucoma onset and progression Prong Brain Res. 2015;221:81-101.
3. Noel CY, Chan MBCHB, FRCSED, Jane W, Chan MD. Glaucoma as a neurodegenerative disease: A clinician perspective. Advances in Ophthalmology andOptometry. 2021;263-274.
4. Paolini Paoletti F, Simoni S, Parnetti L, Gaetani L. The contribution of small vessel

- disease to neurodegeneration: Focus on Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease and multiple sclerosis. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2021;22(9):4958.
5. Agostinone J, Alarcon-Martinez L, Gamlin C, Wan-Qing Y, Wong OL, Di R, Polo A. Insulin signalling promotes dendrite and synapse regeneration and restores circuit function after axonal injury. *2018;141(7):1963-1980*. DOI:10.1093/brain/awy142
 6. Johnston H, Boutin H, Allan SM. Assessing the contribution of inflammation in models of Alzheimer's disease. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2011;39(4):886–90. DOI:10.1042/BST0390886
 7. Rogers J, Mastroeni D, Leonard B, Joyce J, Grover A. Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease: Are microglia pathogenic in either disorder? *Int Rev Neurobiol*. 2007;82:235–46. DOI:10.1016/S0074-7742(07) 82012-5
 8. Pistell PJ, Morrison CD, Gupta S, Knight AG, Keller JN, Ingram DK et al. Cognitive impairment following high fat diet consumption is associated with brain inflammation. *J Neuroimmunol* 2010; 219(1–2):25–32. DOI:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2009.11.010
 9. Muneeb A, Faiq, Rima Dada, Daman Saluja, Tanuj Dada. Glaucoma – diabetes of the brain: A radical hypothesis about its nature and pathogenesis. Laboratory for Molecular Reproduction and Genetics, Department of Anatomy, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi 110029, India c Medical Biotechnology Laboratory, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Centre for Biomedical Research, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007, India; 2014. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2014.02.005>
 10. Santos MS, Pereira EM, Carvaho AP. Stimulation of immunoreactive insulin release by glucose in rat brain synaptosomes. *Neurochem Res*. 1999;24(1):33–6. DOI:10.1023/A:1020971812098
 11. Dupont, Le Roith. *Clinical Endocrinology Branch*, NIDDK, Bethesda, Md., USA. 2001;55(2):22– 26.
 12. Leopold P. Neuronal differentiation: TOR and insulin receptor pathways set the tempo. *Cell*. 2004;119(1):4–5. DOI:10.1016/j.cell.2004.09.024
 13. Weiss M, Steiner DF, Philipson LH. Insulin biosynthesis, secretion, structure, and structure-activity relationships. *Endotext*, K.R., Ed.; MDTText.com, Inc.: South Dartmouth, MA, USA; 2000.
 14. Havrankova J, Roth J, Brownstein MJ. Insulin receptors are widely distributed in the central nervous system of the rat. *Nature* 1978;272:827–829.
 15. Plum L, Schubert M, Brüning JC. The role of insulin receptor signaling in the brain. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab*. 2005;16:59–65.
 16. Bateman JM, McNeill AH. Visions & reflections insulin/IGF signalling inneurogenesis. The wolfson centre for age- related disease, Hodgkin Building, King's College London, Guy's Campus, London, SE1 1UL (United Kingdom), Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute, 600 University Avenue, Room 884, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X5 (Canada). DOI: 10.1007/s00018-006-6036-4
 17. Insulin promotes dendritic spine and synapse formation by the PI3K/Akt/Mtor and Rac1 signaling pathways; Author links open overlay panel Cheng-Che Lee Chiungè Chun Huang Kuei Sen Hsu. *Neuropharmacolog*. 2011;61(4):567-879. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.06.003>
 18. Schubert M, Brazil DP, Burks DJ, Kushner JA, Ye J, Flint CL et al. Insulin receptor substrate-2 deficiency impairs brain growth and promotes tau phosphorylation. *J Neurosci*. 2003 ;23 (18):7084–92.
 19. Xu QG, Li XQ, Kotecha SA, Cheng C, Sun HS, Zochodne DW. Insulin as an in vivo growth factor. *Exp Neurol*. 2004;188(1):43–51. DOI:10.1016/j.expneurol.2004.03.008
 20. Evans RM, Hui S, Perkins A, Lahiri DK, Poirier J, Farlow MR. Cholesterol and APOE genotype interact to influence Alzheimer disease progression. *Neurology*. 2004;62(10):1869–71. DOI:10.1212/01.WNL.0000125323.15458.3F
 21. Frodin M, Gammeltoft S. Role and regulation of 90 k Da ribosomal S6 kinase (RSK) in signal transduction. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 1999;151(1–2):65–77. DOI:10.1016/S0303-7207(99)00061-1
 22. Abbott MA, Wells DG, Fallon JR. The insulin receptor tyrosine kinase substrate p58/53 and the insulin receptor are

- components of CNS synapses. *J Neurosci.* 1999;19(17):7300–8.
23. Yamaguchi A, Tamatani M, Matsuzaki H, Namikawa K, Kiyama H, Vitek MP, Mitsuda N, Tohyama M. Akt activation protects hippocampal neurons from apoptosis by inhibiting transcriptional activity of p53. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001;276:5256–5264.
 24. Dienstbier E, Balik J, Kafka H. A contribution to the theory of the vascular origin of glaucoma. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 1950;34:47–58.
 25. Wareham KL, Calkins DJ. The neurovascular Unit in glaucomatous neurodegeneration. *Front Cell Dev. Biol.* 2020;8:452.
 26. Tziomalos K, Athyros VG, Karagiannis A, Mikhailidis DP. Endothelial dysfunction in metabolic syndrome: Prevalence, pathogenesis and management. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2010; 20:140–146.
 27. Roddy GW. Metabolic syndrome is associated with ocular hypertension and glaucoma. *J. Glaucoma.* 2020;29:726–731.
 28. Muniyappa R, Sowers JR. Role of insulin resistance in endothelial dysfunction. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.* 2013;14:5–12
 29. Kim AJ, Montagnani M, Koh KK, Quon MJ. Reciprocal relationships between insulin resistance and endothelial dysfunction Molecular and pathophysiological mechanisms. *Circulation.* 2006; 113:1888–1904.
 30. Ryu BR, Ko HW, Jou I, Noh JS, Gwag BJ. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinasemediated regulation of neuronal apoptosis and necrosis by insulin and IGF-I. *J Neurobiol.* 1999;39(4):536–46. DOI:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4695(19990615)39
 31. Cheng Z, Tseng Y, White MF. Insulin signaling meets mitochondria in metabolism. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 2010;21:589–598.
 32. Devaskar SU, Giddings SJ, Rajakumar PA, Carnaghi LR, Menon RK, Zahm DS. Insulin gene expression and insulin synthesis in mammalian neuronal cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1994;269:8445–8454.
 33. Schechter R, Beju D, Gaffney T, Schaefer F, Whetsell L. Preproinsulin I and II mRNAs and insulin electron microscopic immunoreaction are present within the rat fetal nervous system. *Brain Res.* 1996;736:16–27.
 34. Das A, Pansky B, Budd GC, Kollarits CR. Immunocytochemistry of mouse and human retina with antisera to insulin and S- 100 protein. *Curr. Eye Res.* 1984;3:1397–1403.
 35. Wei LT, Matsumoto H, Rhoads DE. Release of immunoreactive insulin from rat brain synaptosomes under depolarizing conditions. *J Neurochem.* 1990;54(5): 1661–5. DOI:10.1111/j.1471-4159.1990.tb01219.x
 36. Kar S, Chabot JG, Quirion R. Quantitative autoradiographic localization of [125I] insulin- like growth factor I, [125I] insulin-like growth factor II, and [125I]insulin receptor binding sites in developing and adult rat brain. *J Comp Neurol.* 1993;333(3):375–97. DOI:10.1002/cne.903330306
 37. Baura GD, Foster DM, Porte D Jr, Kahn SE, Bergman RN, Cobelli C et al. Saturable transport of insulin from plasma into the central nervous system of dogs *In vivo*. A mechanism for regulated insulin delivery to the brain. *J Clin Invest.* 1993;92(4):1824–30. DOI:10.1172/JCI116773
 38. Rensink AA, Otte-Holler I, de Boer R, Bosch RR, ten Donkelaar HJ, de Waal RM et al. Insulin inhibits amyloid beta-induced cell death in cultured human brain pericytes. *Neurobiol Aging* 2004;25(1):93–103. DOI:10.1016/S0197-4580(03) 00039-3
 39. Reddy PH, Manczak M, Mao P, Calkins MJ, Reddy AP, Shirendeb U. Amyloid-b and mitochondria in aging and Alzheimer's disease: Implications for synaptic damage and cognitive decline. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2010;20:499–512.
 40. Simpson IA, Chundu KR, Davies-Hill T, Honer WG, Davies P. Decreased concentrations of GLUT1 and GLUT3 glucose transporters in the brains of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol.* 1994;35(5):546–51. DOI:10.1002/ana. 410350507
 41. Liu F, Shi J, Tanimukai H, Gu J, Grundke-Iqbal I, Iqbal K et al. Reduced O-GlcNAcylation links lower brain glucose metabolism and tau pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain.* 2009;132(Pt 7):1820–32. DOI:10.1093/brain/awp099

42. Liu Y, Liu F, Grundke-Iqbal I, Iqbal K, Gong CX. Brain glucose transporters, OGluc NAcylation and phosphorylation of tau in diabetes and Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurochem.* 2009;111(1):242–9. DOI:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009.06320.x
43. Aguirre V, Werner ED, Giraud J, Lee YH, Shoelson SE, White MF. Phosphorylation of Ser307 in insulin receptor substrate-1 blocks interactions with the insulin receptor and inhibits insulin action. *J Biol Chem.* 2002;277(2):1531–7. DOI:10.1074/jbc.M101521200
44. Krebs DL, Hilton DJ. A new role for SOCS in insulin action. Suppressor of cytokine signaling. *Sci STKE.* 2003;2003(169):e6. DOI:10.1126/stke.2003.169.pe6
45. Tu YF, Tsai YS, Wang LW, Wu HC, Huang CC, Ho CJ. Overweight worsens apoptosis, neuroinflammation and blood-brain barrier damage after hypoxic ischemia in neonatal brain through JNK hyperactivation. *J Neuroinflammation.* 2011;8:40. DOI:10.1186/1742-2094-8-40
46. Al Awamih S. AH, Lauren K. Wareham, Michael L. Risner, David J. Calkins. Insulin signaling as a therapeutic target in glaucomatous neurodegeneration. Vanderbilt Eye Institute, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA; (M.L.R.) Department of Ophthalmology & Visual Sciences, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN 37232, USA. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094672.

© 2022 Boudghene; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91862>