

Effect of Different Mulching Materials on Yield and Growth Parameters of Tomato Crop

Nagalakshmi Yarlagadda^{1*} and Yesubabu Vinnakota²

¹Department of Agricultural Engineering, Aditya Engineering College, Surampalem-533437, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh, India.

²Department of Agricultural Engineering, Vikas college of Engineering and Technology, Nunna-521212, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2021/v40i1231381

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Chen Chin Chang, Hunan Women's University, China.

Reviewers:

(1) Renisson Neponuceno de Araújo Filho, Universidade Federal do Tocantins, Brazil.

(2) Mohamed El-Sayed El-Awadi, National Research Centre, Egypt.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/69135>

Original Research Article

Received 27 March 2021

Accepted 03 June 2021

Published 07 June 2021

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out to study the performance of yield and growth parameters of tomato crop under different mulching materials. This experiment was conducted at Vikas College of Engineering and Technology, Nunna, Vijayawada during the period from Jan 2020 to April 2020. The experimental field has an area of 180 m² (15m × 12m) and divided into 4 plots i.e., Drip with plastic mulch (A), Drip with live mulch (coconut coir) (S), Drip without mulch (M) and Control (without mulch and without drip) (K). Growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves per plant, soil parameters like bulk density, soil temperature, soil moisture and yield were observed for each treatment. Crop water requirement was calculated using CROPWAT 8.0. The results showed that the bulk density has no effect between the treatment plots. The soil moisture in initial stage is more in K and least in S; in flowering stage, M was high and least in K and in harvesting stage, it is high in A. The soil temperature was high in K and least in A. The readings of number of leaves was observed high in A and least in K. It was observed that highest yield was obtained in A and least in K. The weed control efficiency was found to be highest in A (57%) followed by S (41.3%) and weed control efficiency was lowest in M (22.8%).

Keywords: Mulch; crop water requirement; CROPWAT 8.0; weed control efficiency

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ynagalakshmi16@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Mulch is a layer of material applied to the surface of soil, which is used for conserving soil moisture, improving fertility and health of the soil, reducing weed growth and enhancing the visual appearance of the area. Mulching allows early seeding and transplanting of certain crops, and encourages faster growth. As the season progresses, it stabilizes the soil temperature and moisture, and prevent weed growth. Materials used as mulch includes coir, woodchips, papers, stones, plastic sheet, paddy straw etc. Mulching increased marketable yield relative to bare soil as the plants grown on silver/black plastic mulch indicated a 65% increasing in marketable mulch compared to control treatment. The plastic mulches resulted in an 84-98% reduction in weed biomass [1]. The effect of mulching and amount of water on the yield of tomato under drip irrigation was studied and stated that micro irrigation system saves water about 30-70% in various orchard crops and 10-16% in vegetable crops [2]. The influence of organic mulches on soil properties and crop yield were studied and observed that soil moisture in mulched plots is not only higher but also more stable during the entire vegetation period which is an important factor for crops, and also highest soil moisture content was in plots which was mulched with coconut peat (2.6-7.3% units) with saw dust (3.8-6.1% units) compared with soil moisture in plots without mulch [3]. The soil moisture and yield of tomato in a plot with sugarcane trash mulch were more by 44% and 98%, respectively than those in a plot without mulch [4]. The inorganic mulches (CB and WPB), organic mulches (GWC and PB) and living mulch (TG) exhibited positive effect by elevating the soil moisture content [5]. The effect of different mulches on growth, flowering and yield of tomato was studied at Abohar and it was observed that there is significantly higher plant height (79.4 cm), branches per plant (7.1), dry weight of plant (287 g), fruit per plant (37.2), fruit weight (85.2 g) and yield per hectare (54t/ha) in black polythene mulching, whereas days to flowering (45) and days taken for ripening were minimum in clear polythene mulching [6]. The increase in yield of black mulched was probably associated with the conservation of moisture, improved micro-climate both beneath and above the soil surface, light reflection and great weed control which reflected also in terms of higher return [7]. Mulching caused marked variations in number of leaves per plant, plant height, no. of shoots per plant, shoot length and plant spread [8]. Average soil

temperature was found to be higher by 2 to 5^oC under open field condition than inside the polyhouse. Among the mulches, highest soil temperatures were obtained under transparent mulch with a maximum difference of 10^oC from no mulch soil inside and outside the polyhouse during December to mid March [9].

From the above studies it is clear that mulches plays a great role in conserving moisture and improving soil temperature and growth parameters of various crops. Keeping this in view, the experiment was planned to determine the effects of different mulches on soil properties, yield and growth parameters of tomato crop.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The research farm was located at Vikas College of Engineering and Technology, Nunna with an area of 180 m² (15m × 12 m) and was divided into 4 plots namely A (plastic mulching with drip), S (coconut coir mulch with drip), M (without mulch with drip), K (control without mulch without drip). The soil in the study area was red sandy loam texture and the source of irrigation is tube well. The average annual temperature and rainfall is 28.5^oC and 1067 mm respectively. The seedlings are transplanted with row to row spacing of 60 cm and plant to plant spacing of 40 cm in order to analyse the growth and yield characteristics of tomato crop grown under various mulching materials with drip and without drip and also control conditions.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data Collection

Meteorological data are collected from online sources such as climatedata.org. The data such as Maximum & Minimum Temperatures (^oC), Mean Relative Humidity (%), Wind Speed (kmph) and Sunshine Hours (h). The Reference Crop Evapotranspiration (ET_o) - (mm/day) is calculated by Penman Method. Table 1 shows average Meteorological data for the Nunna region on daily data.

3.2 Soil Data

It is required to know the soil parameters for that we have to select the soil in the CROPWAT 8.0

software, values for the different soils are already saved in the software for the present experiment the soil is red loamy soil. The information regarding the soil data is as shown in the Plate 1.

3.2 Crop Data

Table 2 lists typical values for Kc ini, Kc mid, Kc end for various agricultural crops. The experiment was studied on tomato so select the crop as tomato and enter the planting date then it automatically displays the harvest date and Kc values for different stages and rooting depth for different stages are adopted from the database of the FAO as shown in Plate 2.

After the completion of the data entry as mentioned above, the crop water requirement could be estimated in CROPWAT 8.0. The

irrigation scheduling and duration for the tomato crop is estimated based on crop water requirement calculated by CROPWAT 8.0. The amount of water required for the tomato crop calculated by CROPWAT 8.0 is taken as 100 percent of irrigation and the same amount of water applied to the control plot (conventional method) by applying with hose pipe.

3.3 Bulk Density

Bulk density is an important parameter and is defined as the dry weight of soil per unit volume of soil. In this study for the determination of bulk density the soil samples are collected before and after the experiment from the four plots.

Table 1. Meteorological Data of Nunna Station

Month	Min Temp °C	Max Temp °C	Humidity %	Wind km/day	Sun hours	Rad MJ/m ² /day	ETo mm/day
January	18.9	30.1	92	4	7.5	16.9	3.02
February	20.1	32.8	92	4	7.3	18.2	3.5
March	22.6	35.4	78	6	8.4	21.4	4.29
April	25.8	37.5	76	8	8.4	22.4	4.83
May	27.9	39.6	65	9	8.9	23.2	5.17
June	27.4	37.5	64	9	8.9	23	5.08
July	25.4	32.9	69	9	9	23.1	4.79
August	25.2	32.4	73	8	8.8	22.9	4.65
September	25.2	32.6	80	6	8.3	21.5	4.44
October	24.2	31.8	80	4	8.3	20	4
November	20.9	30.4	80	5	7.8	17.5	3.25
December	18.8	29.5	77	4	8	16.9	2.86
Average	23.5	33.5	77	6	8.3	20.6	4.16

Soil - untitled

Soil name: RED SANDY

General soil data

- Total available soil moisture (FC - WP): 100.0 mm/meter
- Maximum rain infiltration rate: 30 mm/day
- Maximum rooting depth: 900 centimeters
- Initial soil moisture depletion (as % TAM): 0 %
- Initial available soil moisture: 100.0 mm/meter

Plate 1. Soil data entered in CROPWAT 8.0




Plate 2. Crop data entered in CROPWAT 8.0.

3.4 Formulae Used for Calculation of Bulk Density

Bulk density = Mass of dry soil/Volume of core cutter (gm/cm³)

Mass of wet soil = (Mass of core cutter + wet soil) - Mass of core cutter (gm)

Volume of core cutter = π/4 (D²) × Height (cm³)

Table 2. Crop coefficients of some of the crops

Crop	Kc ini.	Kc mid.	Kc end.
Wheat	0.7	1.15	0.25
Rice	0.50	1.05	0.70
Tomato	0.40	1.05	0.70
Sorghum	0.30	1.0	0.55

3.5 Soil Temperature

Soil temperature plays an important role during the life cycle of the plant right from the germination, root extension, emergence to the reproductive stage. Soil thermometers are required to measure the temperature of the soil at a depth of 15 cm during crop period at initial stage, flowering stage and harvesting stage.

3.6 Moisture Content

The soil samples were collected from each plot for crop period during initial stage, flowering stage and harvesting stage at a depth of 15 cm by using soil auger. The collected samples are used to estimate moisture content present in the soil samples by following oven-drying method.

The formula used for calculation of moisture content is given by eqn (1).

$$w = \frac{M_2 - M_3}{M_2 - M_1} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Where,

w = Moisture content in percentage (%)

M₁ = Mass of container (gm)

M₂ = Mass of container + wet soil (gm)

M₃ = Mass of container + dry soil (gm)

3.7 Water Use Efficiency of Tomato Crop

The water use efficiency is the ratio of total yield obtained to the amount of water used. The following formula is used for calculating the water use efficiency of the cabbage under different treatments. The water use efficiency is calculated by using eqn (2).

$$\text{Water use efficiency} = \frac{\text{yield} \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{ha}}\right)}{\text{Amount of water applied (mm)}} \quad (2)$$

3.8 Weed Control Efficiency (%)

Weed control efficiency (WCE) denotes the magnitude of weed reduction due to weed control treatment. It indicates the comparative magnitude of reduction in weed dry matter in different treatments. It was worked out by using the following formula which was suggested by [10] and expressed in percentage given by eqn (3).

$$\text{Weed control efficiency} = \frac{DWC - DWT}{DWC} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

Where,
 DWC = Dry weight of weeds from control plot and
 DWT = Dry weight of weeds from treated plot.

3.9 Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed using the SPSS software package (version 20.0) (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York). The data were subjected to one-way of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by the least significant difference test at $p < 0.05$.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Crop Water Requirement for Tomato

The crop water requirement for the tomato crop are presented in Plate 3 and it was found that crop water requirement for tomato crop during experimental period (January 1st week to April 1st week) as during the crop growing period, rainfall is not considered as experiment was conducted in summer season.

4.2 Irrigation Scheduling

Irrigation schedules were prepared for Tomato crop based on the climate data, crop data, cropping pattern data and soil data using CROPWAT 8.0 model consider there is no rainfall during the crop period and furnished in

Table 3. It was found that 83.3 mm of water was required for development stage, 87.2 mm of water was required for middle stage and 99.3 mm of water was required for late stage. Time of operation of the drip irrigation system for all the drip treatments with and without plastic mulch (A, S and M) was calculated and operated the drip system daily as per the irrigation schedule and for conventional method of irrigation without mulch K (control), 8mm depth of water is applied at daily throughout the crop period with the help of pipe.

4.3 Soil Physical Properties

4.3.1 Bulk density

The average values of bulk density for initial stage of the crop and at harvesting stage of the crop are shown in Table 4 and observed that the slight increase in the bulk density in the drip plots comparatively from control i.e. traditional method of cultivation. Maximum Value of bulk density 1.56 g/cc was observed in the control treatment which is significant @ $p=0.05$ with a change of 0.26 g/cc in bulk density due to the soil compaction with the over application of water. In drip irrigation experimental plots having the lesser change in bulk density before and after experiment due to the effect of mulching. These results are also in line with results of treatments in [5].

Month	Decade	Stage	Kc	ETc	ETc	Eff rain	Irr. Req.
			coeff	mm/day	mm/dec	mm/dec	mm/dec
Jan	1	Init	0.40	1.19	3.6	3.0	3.6
Jan	2	Init	0.40	1.21	12.1	15.1	0.0
Jan	3	Deve	0.49	1.57	17.3	10.2	7.1
Feb	1	Deve	0.74	2.45	24.5	0.7	23.8
Feb	2	Mid	0.94	3.30	33.0	0.0	33.0
Feb	3	Mid	0.98	3.68	29.5	0.4	29.0
Mar	1	Mid	0.98	3.94	39.4	5.2	34.2
Mar	2	Late	0.97	4.18	41.8	7.7	34.1
Mar	3	Late	0.96	3.87	42.5	7.1	35.5
Apr	1	Late	0.72	3.33	33.3	5.7	27.5
Apr	2	Late	0.63	3.04	6.1	1.0	6.1
					283.0	56.2	233.9

Plate 3. Crop water requirement for tomato crop in CROPWAT 8.0




Plate 4. Irrigation scheduling in CROPWAT 8.0

Table 3. Irrigation schedule for the tomato crop for all drip treatments

Month	Decade	Stage	Irrigation requirement (mm/day)	CWR (mm/day)	Time of operation per day for drip system (min)
Jan	1	Init	3.6	1.19	10.71
Jan	2	Init	12.1	1.21	10.89
Jan	3	Deve	17.3	1.57	14.13
Feb	1	Deve	24.5	2.45	22.05
Feb	2	Mid	33.0	3.30	29.7
Feb	3	Mid	29.5	3.68	33.12
Mar	1	Mid	39.4	3.94	35.46
Mar	2	Late	41.8	4.18	37.62
Mar	3	Late	42.5	3.87	34.83
April	1	Late	33.3	3.33	29.97
April	2	Late	6.1	3.04	27.36

Table 4. Bulk density values before and after the experiment in different treatments

S. No	Treatment plot	Average bulk density (g/cm ³)	
		Before experiment	After experiment
1.	Drip with Plastic mulch (A)	1.31 ^a	1.44 ^c
2.	Drip with Live mulch (S)	1.30 ^b	1.41 ^d
3.	Drip without mulch(M)	1.29 ^c	1.49 ^b
4.	Control (K)	1.30 ^b	1.56 ^a
Mean		1.3	1.41
CV		0.62	4.44
Standard error		0.004	0.0032
Mean±Standard deviation		1.3±0.008	1.41±0.065

Values with different letters in the same column indicate significant differences between treatments (p<0.05, n = 4).

4.3.2 Soil moisture content

The results are shown in Table 5. The soil moisture in initial stage of growth was high in

Control (10.23%) and Drip with without Mulch (10.08%) and least was in Drip with coconut coir (8.23%). In flowering stage, it was high in both Drip with coconut coir (15.23%) and Drip with

without mulch (15.8%) and less in control (13.8%). The soil moisture during harvesting stage is maximum in Drip with plastic mulch (17.53%) and least in Drip with without mulch (14.23%). This is due to the mulch that helps in conserving moisture and there is less need for irrigation [7,11].

4.3.3 Soil temperature

The soil temperatures were recorded during different growth stages at different a depth of 15cm in all treatments by using soil thermometer and results were presented in the Table 6. During initial stage the soil temperature was high in control (30.43°C) and Drip with without mulch (29.8°C) and the least was observed in Drip with coconut coir (28.5°C). During the flowering stage control treatment had a temperature of (33.58°C), drip with without mulch (32.0°C), Drip with plastic mulch (31.43°C) and Drip with coconut coir (27.5°C). In harvesting stage, the maximum soil temperature was observed in

control (34.5°C) followed by Drip with without mulch (33.7°C), Drip with plastic mulch (32.13°C) and Drip with coconut coir (31.2°C). From the above results it is clear that mulch helps in conservation of moisture and there by lessening the effect of temperature on soil [9]. Here in the present scenario Drip with coconut coir is showing low temperatures which are significant at 0.05% probability level.

4.4 Crop Yield and Yield Attributes

4.4.1 Crop growth

Crop growth was observed under different treatments with the help of plant parameters such as plant height and Number of plant leaves are presented in Table 7. The results revealed that, these crop growth and yield attributes are significantly higher in the drip with plastic mulch (A) as compared to the rest of the treatments. These results are in agreement with the results in references [8,11].

Table 5. Soil moisture contents at initial, flowering and harvesting stages in different treatments

Sl.no	Treatments	Initial	Flowering	Harvesting
1.	Drip with Plastic mulch(A)	9.9 ^c	14.2 ^c	17.53 ^a
2.	Drip with coconut coir(S)	8.23 ^d	15.23 ^b	16.24 ^c
3.	Drip with without mulch(M)	10.08 ^b	15.8 ^a	14.23 ^d
4.	Control(K)	10.23 ^a	13.8 ^d	16.5 ^b
Mean		9.61	14.75	16.12
CV		9.67	6.23	8.56
Standard error		0.46	0.45	0.69
Mean±Standard deviation		9.61±0.92	14.75±0.92	16.12±1.38

Values with different letters in the same column indicate significant differences between treatments ($p < 0.05$, $n = 4$).

Table 6. Soil temperature (°C) at different stages of tomato crop in different treatments

S. No.	Treatments	Initial	Flowering	Harvesting
1.	Drip with Plastic mulch(A)	29.08 ^c	31.43 ^c	32.43 ^c
2.	Drip with coconut coir(S)	28.5 ^d	30.53 ^d	31.2 ^d
3.	Drip with without mulch(M)	29.8 ^b	32.0 ^b	33.7 ^b
4.	Control(K)	30.43 ^a	33.58 ^a	34.5 ^a
Mean		29.45	31.88	32.95
CV		2.85	4.020	4.39
Standard error		0.42	0.64	0.72
Mean±Standard deviation		29.45±0.84	31.88±1.28	32.95±1.44

Values with different letters in the same column indicate significant differences between treatments ($p < 0.05$, $n = 4$).

Table 7. Crop growth parameters at different stages in different treatments

Sl. No	Treatment plots	Initial		Flowering		Harvesting	
		Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves
1	Drip with Plastic mulch	16.3 ^b	18 ^a	42 ^a	37 ^a	67 ^a	51 ^a
2	Drip with coconut coir	13.9 ^c	13 ^c	35.6 ^b	31 ^b	62.7 ^b	46 ^b
3	Drip with without mulch	18.5 ^a	16 ^b	31.8 ^c	29 ^c	56.1 ^c	41 ^c
4	Control	13 ^d	9 ^d	29.5 ^d	24 ^d	45.4 ^d	39 ^d
Mean		15.42	14.00	34.72	30.25	57.80	44.25
CV		16.06	27.96	15.73	17.77	16.26	12.15
Standard error		1.239	1.957	2.731	2.688	4.701	2.688
Mean±Standard deviation		15.42±2.4	14.0±3.9	34.72±5.4	30.25±5.3	57.80±9.4	44.25±5.3

4.4.2 Plant height

It was shown in Table 7, that in the initial stage the plant height was more in Drip with without mulch (M) (18.5cm), followed by Drip with plastic mulch (A) (16.3cm), Drip with coconut coir (S) (13.9cm) and least in control (K) (13cm). In flowering stage, it is maximum in Drip with plastic mulch (A) (42cm) followed by Drip with coconut coir (S) (35.6cm), Drip with without mulch (M) and least in Control (K) (29.5cm). In harvesting stage, the plant height is maximum in Drip with plastic mulch (A) (67cm) and least in control (K) (45.4cm). This pattern of plant height more in Drip with plastic mulch is similar to the results in [8,11]

4.4.3 Number of leaves

It was shown in Table 7, that the number of leaves observed in initial stage is more in Drip with plastic mulch (A) followed by Drip with without mulch (M), drip with coconut coir (S) and control (K). In the flowering stage this is more in plastic mulch (A) and coconut coir (S). The least is observed in Control (K). During harvesting the leaves are maximum observed in black plastic mulch (A) and the least in Control (K). These results are in line with agreement with the results of [8,11].

4.4.4 Crop yield

The drip irrigation in combination with different mulches significantly increased the yield of tomato as compared to drip irrigation without mulch Table 8. Among various treatments, the

highest yield (2.018 t/ha) was recorded under drip with plastic mulch (A), followed by Drip irrigation with coconut coir (S) (1.412 t/ha), Drip with without mulch (M) (1.405 t/ha) and the lowest yield (0.873 t/ha) was recorded under conventional method of irrigation control (K). This might be due to water stress during the critical growth period, coupled with aeration problem in first few days immediately after irrigation. Another reason to get low yield by conventional practice of irrigation might be due to less availability of nutrients for crop growth due to leaching and high weed infestation between the crops. These results showed the same trend as the results obtained in references [7,8,11] who showed that drip with the combination of plastic mulch is yielding higher.

4.5 Water Use Efficiency

Water use efficiency (yield per unit area per unit depth of water used) increased under all the treatments of drip irrigation system over the conventional practice of irrigation without mulch (control) is as shown in Table 9. There was a saving of 84.5 % irrigation water by the treatment which applied drip irrigation along with mulch over the control. It is also observed that highest irrigation water use efficiency 8.6 kg/ ha-mm was with the treatment (A). Greater WUE and saving of irrigation water under drip with mulch could be due to minimum water loss due to percolation, runoff, seepage and soil evaporation as water is applied directly near the root zone of the crop in required quantity. The results showed agreement with the results reported by Singnadhupe et al. [12,13,11].

Table 8. Yield comparison of tomato crop

S. No	Treatments plots	Area (m ²)	Yield (t/ha)
1	Drip with Plastic mulch (A)	15 x 3.5	2.018
2	Drip with coconut coir (S)	15 x 3.5	1.412
3	Drip with without mulch (M)	15 x 3.5	1.405
4	Control (K)	15 x 3.5	0.873

Table 9. Water use efficiency in different treatments

S. No	Treatments	Water applied (mm)	Water use efficiency (kg/ha-mm)
1	Drip with Plastic mulch (A)	233.9	8.6
2	Drip with coconut coir (S)	233.9	6.03
3	Drip with without mulch (M)	233.9	6.01
4	Control (K)	720	1.21

Table 10. Comparison of weed control efficiency with control treatment

S. No	Treatment plots	Weed control efficiency (%)
1	Drip with Plastic mulch (A)	57
2	Drip with coconut coir (S)	41.3
3	Drip with without mulch (M)	22.8
4	Control (K)	---

4.6 Weed Control Efficiency

Weed control efficiency results are shown below in Table 10. This is due to the reason that plastic mulches modified the soil temperature in the soil which promotes faster and best crop vegetative growth and thereby suppressing the weed growth. The mulching will cover the surface area thereby making it difficult for the weed growth and also irrigation will be available to the crop instead of weed in case of drip as compared to control treatment.

5. CONCLUSION

The present study shows that the combination of drip irrigation and mulch not only increased the yield but also improved the water use efficiency and weed control efficiency remarkably. Drip irrigation has effectively increased fruit yield of tomato and improved WUE due to application of appropriate quantity of water. However, integrated use of drip irrigation and mulch was more efficient and profitable. The use of drip in combination with mulching, can increase the tomato yield substantially over the conventional method of irrigation, with about 84.5% saving in irrigation water. The fruit yield (2.018 t/ha) under drip with plastic mulch (A) was at par with drip without mulch (M) and control (K) treatments. The main challenge which is faced by farmers in

rain fed areas is to improve yield, water use efficiency and weed control efficiency can be achieved by use of mulch along with drip.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Reza H, Khan FH, Rafezi R. Effect of coloured plastic mulches on yield of tomato and weed Biomass. *Journal of Environmental Science and Development*. 2012;3(6): 590-593.
2. Baye Berihun. Effect of mulching and amount of water on the yield of tomato under drip irrigation. *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*. 2013;3(7): 201-206.
3. Pupalienė R, Sinkeviciene D, Jodaugienė, M. Urbonienė. The influence of organic mulches on soil properties and crop yield. *Agronomy Research*. 2009; 7:485-491.
4. Firake NN, Bangal GB, Gural GB. Soil moisture conservation efficiency of mulches in tomato. A paper presented in 23rd ASAE conservation on 9-11 March, 1987 at Jabalpur (M. P.); 1987.

5. Qu B, Liu Y, Sun X, Li S, Wang X, Xiong K. Effect of various mulches on soil physico—Chemical properties and tree growth (*Sophora japonica*) in urban tree pits. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0210777. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210777
6. Rajbir Singh. Influence of mulching on growth and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) in north Indian plains. Vegetable Science. 2005;32(1):55-58.
7. Kundu P, Adhikary N, Saha M, Ghosal A, Sahu N. The effects of mulches on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) in respect of yield attribute in ecosystem of Coastal Bengal. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2019; 35(4) (May 2019):1-8. DOI:https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2019/v35i430190.
8. Kumar RR, Singh RN, Sohane RK, Singh AK. Effect of different type mulch on growth, yield attributes and yield of Brinjal (*Solanum melogena*). Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2019;37(6):1-6. DOI: 10.9734/cjast/2019/v37i630333.
9. Abhivyakti Kumari P, Ojha KR, Job M. Effect of plastic mulches on soil temperature and tomato yield inside and outside the polyhouse. Agricultural Science Digest. 2016;(36):333-336. DOI: 10.18805/asd.v36i4.6479
10. Mani VS, Malla ML, Gautam KC, Bhagwandas. Weed killing chemicals in potato cultivation. Indian Farming. 1973;VXXII: 17-18.
11. Devi LK, Panda J, Santosh Rangrao Yadav, Jhaharia D, Sakham NI, Sharma PSA. Effect of Drip and Mulch on Growth, Yield and WUE of Tomato under Low Cost Polyhouse in Sikkim Condition. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(1):193-198. DOI: https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.901.022
12. Singandhupe RB, Rao GGSN, Patil NG, Brahmanand S. Fertigation studies and irrigation scheduling in drip irrigation system in Tomato crop. European Journal of Agronomy. 2003;19:327-340.
13. Mukherjee A, Kundu M, Sarkar S. Role of irrigation and mulch on yield, evapotranspiration rate and water use pattern of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.). Agricultural Water Management. 2010;98:182-189.

© 2021 Yarlagadda and Vinnakota; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/69135>