

# **Characterization of Compact Operators in Pre-Hilbert and Hilbert Spaces**

**Achiles Nyongesa Simiyu** <sup>a</sup> *∗* **, Hillary Amonyela Isabu** <sup>a</sup> **and Aldril Wekesa Wanambisi** <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, P.O.Box 190-50100, Kakamega, Kenya.*

### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2022/v37i330438

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86273

> *Received: 15 February 2022 [Accepted: 20 April 2022](https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86273)*

*Original Research Article Published: 23 April 2022*

# **Abstract**

The concept of a compact operator on a Hilbert space, *H* is an extension of the concept of a matrix acting on a finite-dimensional vector space. In Hilbert space, compact operators are precisely the closure of finite rank operators in the topology induced by the operator norm. In this paper, we provide an elementary exposition of compact linear operators in pre-Hilbert and Hilbert spaces. However, whenever advantageous, we may prove a few results in the general context of normed linear spaces. It is well known that strong convergence implies weak convergence but weak convergence does not imply strong convergence. We also show that an operator  $T \in B(H)$  is compact if and only if *T* maps every weakly convergent sequence in *H* to a strongly convergent sequence.

*Keywords: Compact operator; Hilbert space Normed linear spaces; strong convergence; weak convergence.*

# **1 Introduction**

The notion of a compact or a completely continuous linear operator was motivated by the study of integral equations and its systematic theory emerged from the discussions of linear integral equations of the form

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: anyongesa@mmust.ac.ke;*

$$
(T - \lambda I)x(s) = y(s) \quad \text{where} \quad Tx(s) = \int_{a}^{b} K(s, t)x(t)dt \tag{1}
$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  (K a set of complex numbers) is a parameter that takes nonzero values and the kernel *K* and *y* are given functions subject to certain conditions [1]. It was discovered by D. Hilbert in 1912 that certain essential results about the solvability of (1) ("Fredholm's theory") do not depend upon the existence of the integral representation of *T* in (1) but only on the assumption that *T* is a compact linear operator [2]. In 1918, F. Riesz put Fredholm's theory in an abstract form [3]. The theory of compact linear operators served as a model for earlier work in Functional analysis [4]. The property of such operators closely resemble those of linear [op](#page-16-0)erators in finite-dimensional normed linear spaces [5]. In this paper we provide an elementary exposition of compact linear operators in Pre-Hilbert and Hilbert spaces. However, whenever advantageous, we may prove a few results in the general context of no[rm](#page-17-0)ed linear spaces. Most definitions in this paper can be found in [\[](#page-17-1)6], [7], [8], [9], [10] [1], [11], and [12].

### **2 Definitions and Consequences**

**[D](#page-17-3)e[fin](#page-17-4)it[ion](#page-17-5) [1.](#page-16-0)** [Let](#page-17-6)  $(X, \tau)$  [be](#page-17-7) a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be **relatively compact** if its closure  $\overline{A}$  is compact.

**Definition 2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and *A* be a subset of *X*. We say that *A* is **sequentially compact** if every sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements of A has a convergent subsequence with limit in A.

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A subset *A* of *X* is said to satisfy the **Bolzano-Weierstrasss property** (or equivalently, is said to be countably compact) if every infinite subset of A has a limit point in A.

In Metric spaces the following characteristics are equivalent for any subset *A* of a metric space (*X, ρ*)

- (i) *A* is compact
- (ii) A is sequentially compact
- (iii) *A* is countably compact
- (iv) *A* is precompact and complete (i.e. the metric subspace  $(X, \rho_A)$  is complete.

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. A Set E is called an  $\varepsilon - net$  with respect to *X* if for every  $x \in X$ , there is a  $y \in E$  such that  $\rho(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ . (If  $A \subset X$ , we can have an *ε*-net defined with respect to *A* to be any set  $E \subseteq X$  such that for each  $x \in A$  there is a  $y \in E$  such that  $\rho(x, y) \leqslant \varepsilon$ )

**Definition 5.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. A subset A of X is said to be **precompact** (or **totally bounded**) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , A has a finite  $\varepsilon - net$ 

**Lemma 1.** *A is pre-compact implies A is bounded but the converse is not true [13].*

**Proposition 1.** *Let*  $(X, \rho)$  *be a metric space. A subset A of*  $X$  *is relatively compact if and only if every sequence*  $(x_n)$  *of points of A has a convergent subsequence. (Note that it is not asserted that the limit of the convergent subsequence is in A).*

*Proof.* Let *A* be relatively compact, i.e.  $\overline{A}$  is compact. Hence  $\overline{A}$  is sequentially compact (equivalent to compactness in metric space). Let  $(x_n)$  be any sequence of points of A. Since  $A \subseteq A$ , so  $(x_n)$ is also a sequence of points of  $\tilde{A}$ . Since  $\tilde{A}$  is sequentially compact,  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$ converging to a limit in  $A$  (so this limit need not be in  $A$ ).

Conversely, let A have the property that every sequence of elements of A has a convergent subsequence. We must show that A is relatively compact i.e.  $\overline{A}$  is compact, i.e.  $\overline{A}$  is sequentially compact (which is equivalent to compactness in metric spaces).

Let  $(x_n)$  be any sequence of points of *A*. Since *A* is dense in  $\overline{A}$ , for each  $(x_n)$ , we can find a  $y_n \in A$ such that  $\rho(x_n, y_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(y_n)$  is a sequence of points of *A*, it follows from the hypothesis, there is a subsequence  $(y_{n_k})$  of  $(y_n)$  such that  $(y_{n_k})$  converges to say *y*. Clearly,  $\rho(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) < \frac{1}{n_k}.$ Now

$$
\rho(x_{n_k}, y) \le \rho(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) + \rho(y_{n_k}, y) < \frac{1}{n_k} + \rho(y_{n_k}, y)
$$

as  $k \to \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{n_k} \to 0$  and  $y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\rho} y$  which implies  $x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\rho} y$ .

This limit  $y \in X$ . Clearly,  $y \in \overline{A}$ , since  $\overline{A}$  is closed. Thus every sequence of points of  $\overline{A}$  has a subsequence converging to a limit in  $\overline{A}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{A}$  is sequentially compact, i.e. compact.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 6.** Let *X*, *Y* be normed linear spaces over  $\mathbb{K}(\equiv \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  ). A linear transformation  $T: X \to Y$  is said to be **compact** (equivalently **completely continuous**) if for every bounded subset *M* of *X*,  $T(M)$  is relatively compact, i.e.  $T(M)$  is compact.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed linear spaces over K. A linear transformation  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ *is compact if and only if for every bounded sequence*  $(x_n)$  *of elements of*  $X$  *there is a subsequence*  $(x_{n_k})$  such that  $(Tx_{n_k})$  converges (with limit in Y).

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be compact. Let  $(x_n)$  be any bounded sequence of elements of X. Let  $E =$  $\overline{\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ . Since *T* is compact, *E* is compact. {Indeed, since  $(x_n)$  is bounded, the set  ${x \in \mathbb{R} \atop n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded so by compactness of *T*, the set  $\overline{T(\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})}$  is compact i.e. *E* is compact *}*. Hence *E* is sequentially compact.  $(Tx_n)$  is a sequence of elements of *E* and *E* is sequentially compact. Hence, there is a subsequence  $(T X_{n_k})$  of  $(T X_n)$  such that  $(T x_{n_k})$  converges to some limit in *Y* .

Conversely, let for each bounded sequence  $(X_n)$  of elements of  $(x_n)$  there be a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$ such that  $(Tx_{n_k})$  converges.

Let *M* be any bounded subset of *X*. We must show that  $\overline{T(M)}$  is compact. Let  $(x_n)$  be any sequence of elements from  $M$ , since  $M$  is bounded, so  $(x_n)$  is bounded. By hypothesis, there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  such that  $(Tx_{n_k})$  converges to a limit in *Y*. Since  $Tx_{n_k} \in T(M)$  and  $Tx_{n_k}$  is convergent this limit belongs to  $\overline{T(M)}$  (and need not be in  $T(M)$ ).

Thus we have shown: For any bounded set *M* of *X*, any sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements from *M* has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  such that  $(Tx_{n_k})$  converges. Thus any sequence  $(y_n)$  of elements from  $T(M)$ has a convergent subsequence. Thus  $T(M)$  is relatively compact( by proposition 1) i.e.  $\overline{T(M)}$  is compact. Thus for every bounded subset *M* of  $X$ ,  $\overline{T(M)}$  is compact i.e. *T* is compact.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.** *Let*  $X, Y$  *be normed linear spaces and*  $T : X \to Y$  *be compact. Then*  $T \in B(X, Y)$ 

*Proof.* Let M be any bounded subset of X. Since *T* is compact, so  $\overline{T(M)}$  is compact. Compactness implies boundedness (in metric spaces). So  $\overline{T(M)}$  is bounded (in Y) and hence  $T(M)$  is bounded. We have proved: For every bounded subset *M* of *X*,  $T(M)$  is also bounded i.e. *T* is bounded.  $\square$ 

*Corollary* 1*.* Let *X,Y* be normed linear spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear operator. Let  $N =$  $N(\overline{0}; 1) \subseteq X$ . Then *T* is compact if and only if  $\overline{T(N)}$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let *T* be compact. Since *N* is a bounded subset of *X* and *T* is compact, so  $\overline{T(N)}$  is compact. Conversely, let  $\overline{T(N)}$  be compact. To show that *T* is compact; Let *M* be any bounded subset of *X*.

Then there exists a real  $k > 0$  such that  $||x|| < k$   $\forall x \in M$ . Hence  $\left\|\frac{1}{k}x\right\| = \frac{1}{k}||x|| < 1$  i.e.  $\frac{1}{k}x \in N$ . We define

$$
\frac{1}{k}M = \left\{\frac{1}{k}x : x \in M\right\}
$$

1  $\frac{1}{k}$ *M* ⊂ *N* 

Therefore,

Thus,

$$
T\left(\frac{1}{k}M\right)=\frac{1}{K}T(M)\subseteq T(N)
$$

and

$$
\overline{T(M)} \subseteq \overline{kT(N)} = k\overline{T(N)} \text{ ( Trivial steps)}
$$

Since  $\overline{T(N)}$  is compact. So  $k\overline{T(N)}$  is also compact. So  $\overline{T(M)}$  is a closed subset of a compact set  $k\overline{T(N)}$  and hence  $\overline{T(M)}$  is compact.  $\Box$ 

The last result is seen thus:

Let F be compact *E* be closed and  $E \subseteq F$ . *F* is compact implies *F* is bounded.

Let  ${G_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda}$  be an open cover for *E*. Since *E* is closed,  $E^{c} (= X - E)$  is open. Adjoin  $E^{c}$  to  ${G_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda}$  and we get an open cover for *X* and hence an open cover for *F* for  $(F \subset X)$ .

But *F* is compact and hence there is a finite subcover (from this cover  $\{E^c, G_\alpha = \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  for *F*). This finite subcover for *F* is also a finite subcover for E. If this finite subcover contains  $E^c$ , delete  $E^c$  from it and thus we get a finite subcover from  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  for *E*. Thus every open cover for E has a finite subcover. Hence *E* is compact.

#### **Lemma 2.** *{Riesz Lemma}*

*Let X be a normed linear space and M be a proper closed linear subspace of X. Let*  $0 < a < 1$ *.* Then there exists an  $x_a \in X$  such that  $||x_a|| = 1$  and dist  $(x_a, M) > a$ . (clearly  $x_a \notin M$ ).

*Proof.* Since *M* is a proper subspace of *X*, there exists  $x_1 \in X - M$ . Clearly,  $x_1 \neq 0$ . Since *M* is closed, so

$$
d = dist(x_1, M) > 0
$$

{Note:  $dist(x_1, M) = \inf ||x_1 - y||$   $y \in M$  }. Let  $0 < a > 1$ , a given so  $\frac{d}{a} > d$ . There exists an element  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $||x_1 - x_0|| < \frac{d}{a}$  (Assume the contrary, then it would imply  $||x_1 - y|| \ge \frac{d}{a}$ <br> $\forall y \in M$  in which case dist  $(x_1, M) \ge \frac{d}{a} > d$ , a contradiction!)

Also  $x_1 - x_0 \neq \overline{0}$ . So  $||x_1 - x_0|| \neq 0$ . Put  $x_a = \frac{x_1 - x_0}{||x_1 - x_0||}$ ; so  $||x_a|| = 1$ . Also  $x_a \notin M$  (Note  $x_a \in M \Rightarrow$  since  $x_0 \in M$  so  $x_a + \frac{1}{\|x_1 - x_0\|} x_0 \in M \Rightarrow \frac{x_1}{\|x_1 - x_0\|} \in M \Rightarrow x_1 \in M$  a contradiction!. Thus  $x_a \in M$ . Therefore,

dist 
$$
(x_a, M) = \inf_{y \in M} ||x_a - y|| = \inf_{y \in M} ||\frac{x_1 - x_0}{||x_1 - x_0||} - y||
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{||x_1 - x_0||} \inf ||x_1 - x_0 - ||x_1 \overline{\oplus} x_0 ||y|| = \frac{1}{||x_1 - x_0||} \inf ||x_1 - \{x_0 + ||x_1 - x_0||y\}||
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{||x_1 - x_0||} d > \frac{d}{d/a} = a
$$

Thus dist  $(x_a, M) > a$ .

There are bounded operators which are not compact.

**Proposition 4.** *Let X be a normed linear space of infinite Hamel dimension. The identity operator I:*  $X \rightarrow X$  *is not Compact.* 

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* Let *X* be a normed linear space of infinite Hamel dimension. Pick any countable subset  ${x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from an infinite Hamel basis (without loss of generality, we may assume that  $||x_n|| = 1$ ). Let

$$
M_n = [\{x_1, \dots x_n\}] \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Since each  $M_n$  is finite- dimensional,  $M_n$  is closed for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  is linearly independent it follows that

$$
M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \ldots \subset M_n \subset M_{n+1} \subset \ldots
$$

(strict containment). Since  $M_1$  is strictly contained in  $M_2$ , by Riesz's lemma there exists a  $y_2 \in M_2$ such that  $||y_2|| = 1$ , and dist  $(y_2, M_1) > \frac{1}{2}$  Take *y*<sub>1</sub> = *x*<sub>1</sub> ∈ *M*<sub>1</sub>, so

$$
||y_2 - y_1|| > \frac{1}{2}.
$$
 (2)

Since  $M_2$  is a proper closed subspace of  $M_3$ , by Riesz's lemma there exists a  $y_3 \in M_3$  such that  $||y_3|| = 1$  and dist  $(y_3, M_2) > \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $y_1, y_2 \in M_2$ , so

$$
||y_1 - y_3|| > \frac{1}{2}, ||y_2 - y_3|| > \frac{1}{2}
$$
\n(3)

Continuing in this manner, we have  $y_i \in M_i$ ,  $i = 1,...n$  such that  $||y_i|| = 1$  and  $||y_i - y_j|| >$  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\forall i \neq j, i = 1, \ldots n, j = 1, \ldots n$  {M<sub>3</sub> *⊂ M*<sub>4</sub> properly therefore there exists  $y_4 \in M_4$  such that  $|[y_4]| = 1$  and dist  $(y_4, M_3) > \frac{1}{2}$  but  $y_1, y_2 \in M_3$  therefore

$$
||y_4 - y_1|| > \frac{1}{2} ||y_4 - y_2|| > \frac{1}{2} ||y_4 - y_3|| > \frac{1}{2}
$$

In the next stage since  $M_{n+1} \subset M_n$  properly we would get  $y_{n+1} \in M_{n+1}$  such that  $||y_{n+1}|| = 1$ .  $||y_{n+1} - y_1|| > \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $i = 1, ..., n$ and thus

$$
||y_i - y_j|| > \frac{1}{2}
$$
 for all  $i \neq j$   $i = 1, \dots n + 1, j = 1, \dots n + 1$ 

and the induction is complete.

We thus get a sequence  $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of unit vectors in *X* such that

$$
||y_i - y_j|| > \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall \ i \neq j
$$

Since I is the identity operator,

$$
Ix=x \quad \forall x \in X
$$

So

$$
I y_i = y_i \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Now  $M = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \ldots\}$  is a bounded subset of X and  $I(M)$  (image of M under the linear operator I) is also *M*. The distance between any two distinct points in

$$
I(M) \text{is greater than } \frac{1}{2} \left( \|Iy_i - Iy_j\| = \|y_i - y_j\| > \frac{1}{2} \forall i \neq j \right).
$$

Hence any sequence of distinct elements of *I*(*M*) cannot therefore have a convergent subsequence. Hence (by proposition 1)  $I(M)$  is not relatively compact i.e.  $\overline{I(M)}$  is not compact. Therefore, *I* is not compact ( when Hamel dimension of *X* is infinite).  $\Box$ 

*Remark* 1*.* In the Hilbert space situation, the proof is simpler. Let *H* be a Hilbert space of infinite orthogonal dimension. Let  $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a subset of an orthonormal basis of H. Clearly if  $i \neq j$   $||e_i - e_j||^2 = \langle e_i - e_j, e_i - e_j \rangle$ 

$$
= ||e_i||^2 + ||e_j||^2 \text{ since } \langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j = 1 + 1 = 2
$$

Hence  $||e_i - e_j|| = \sqrt{2}$ , whenever  $i \neq j$ . Let  $M = \{e_n : n \in N\}$ . Clearly M is bounded and as before  $I(M) = M$  is not relatively compact.

**Definition 7.** Let *X* and *Y* be normed linear spaces over K. The set of all compact operators on *X* into *Y* is represented by the symbol  $B_\infty(X, Y)$  or  $(K(X, Y))$ . Obviously  $B_\infty(X, Y) \subset B(X, Y)$ (proper). If  $X = Y$ , we use the symbol  $B_{\infty}(X)$  or  $K(X)$ . Moreover

**Proposition 5.** Let *X* and *Y* be normed linear spaces over K. Then  $K(X, Y)$  is a linear space *over* K*.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $S, T \in K(X, Y)$ , i.e. are compact, we shall show that  $S + T$  is compact. Let  $(x_n)$ be any bounded sequence of elements from *X*. Since *S* is compact, by proposition 2 there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(Sx_{n_k})$  converges to some element in  $Y$  say

$$
Sx_{n_k} \xrightarrow{s} y \tag{4}
$$

Since  $(x_n)$  is bounded so is  $(x_{n_k})$ . Since *T* is compact  $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  will have a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that  $(Tx_{n_{k_r}})_{r=1}^{\infty}$  converges in *Y*. Since  $SX_{n_k} \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} y$  (by (4)) and  $(x_{n_{k_r}})$  is a subsequence of  $(x_{n_k})$ . So  $SX_{n_k} \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} y$ 

(If a sequence is convergent, then every subsequence of the sequence is also convergent and the limit to which the subsequence converges is the same as the limit of the sequence). Thus  $Tx_{n_{k_r}} \stackrel{s}{\rightarrow} a$ limit in *Y*.  $Sx_{n_{k_r}} \stackrel{S}{\to} a$  limit in *Y*.

Consequently,

 $(S + T)x_{n_{k_r}} \longrightarrow a$  limit in *Y* 

and  $(x_{n_{k_r}})$  is a subsequence of a bounded sequence  $(x_n)$ . Therefore,  $S + T$  is compact. The proof that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  and *T* is compact, then  $\lambda T$  is compact is similar. Indeed, if  $(x_n)$  is any bounded sequence in X, then, since T is compact, there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $Tx_{n_k} \stackrel{s}{\to}$  some limit  $y \in Y$ .

Then  $(\lambda x_n)$  is also bounded and

$$
(\lambda T)(x_{n_k}) = T(\lambda x_{n_k}) = \lambda T(x_{n_k}) \stackrel{s}{\to} \lambda y \in Y
$$

so  $\lambda T$  is also compact. Thus  $K(X, Y)$  is a linear space over K.

**Proposition 6.** *Let*  $X$  *be a normed linear space over*  $K$  *and*  $S \in B(X)$  *and*  $T \in K(X)$ *. Then*  $ST$ *, TS* are also compact i.e.  $ST, TS \in K(X)$ . (of course  $S, T \in K(X) \Rightarrow ST, TS \in K(X)$ ).

*Proof.* Consider ST. Let (*xn*) be any bounded sequence of elements of *X*. Since *T* is compact, there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(Tx_{n_k})$  converges in *X*. Since *S* is bounded, it is continuous and hence  $STx_{n_k} = S(Tx_{n_k})$  also converges.

Thus every bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  such that  $(ST_{n_k})$  converges in X. This shows that *ST* is compact.

Consider *TS*. If  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence of elements of X, then so is  $(Sx_n)$  for S is bounded there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $||x_n|| \leq M \,\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $||Sx_n|| \leq ||S|| ||x_n|| \leq M||S|| \,\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since *T* is compact, there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(T S x_{n_k})$  converges in *X* which shows that  $TS$  is compact.  $\Box$ 

*Remark* 2. Thus the linear space  $K(X)$  is a two-sided ideal in the algebra  $B(X)$  of all bounded operators.

**Example 3.** Let *X* be a normed linear space and  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  be compact linear operators on *X*; I is the identity operator on X. Define T on X by  $I - T = (I - T_1)(I - T_2)...(I - T_n)$ . Show that *T is compact.*

 $\Box$ 

**Solution**

.

$$
T = I - (I - T)
$$
  
\n
$$
= I - (I - T_1)(I - T_2) \dots (I - T_n).
$$
  
\n
$$
= I - [I - (T_1 + T_2) + T_1T_2](I - T_3) \dots (I - T_n).
$$
  
\n
$$
= I - [I - (T_1 + T_2 + T_3) + (T_1T_2 + T_1T_3 + T_2T_3) - T_1T_2T_3](I - T_4) \dots (I - T_n)
$$
  
\n
$$
= I - \left[ I - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) + \left( \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n} T_iT_j \right) \overline{\oplus} \left( \sum_{ik} T_iT_jT_k \right) + \dots + (-1)^n T_1 \dots T_n \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \right) \overline{\oplus} \left( \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n} T_iT_j \right) + \left( \sum_{ik} T_iT_jT_k \right) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1}T_1 \dots T_n \tag{5}
$$

Since  $T_i \in K(X)$  for  $i = 1, \dots n$  and  $K(X)$  is a linear space, so  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i \in K(X)$  By the proposition 6,  $(K(X))$  is an ideal in  $B(X)$  ) it follows that all the rest of the terms in the right hand side of (5) represents compact operator and once again, using the fact that  $K(x)$  is a linear space the expression on the right side of (5) represent a compact operator. Thus  $T \in K(X)$ .

**Proposition 7.** *Let*  $X$  *be a normed linear space of infinite Hamel dimensional and*  $T \in B(X)$ *. If T is invertible then T cannot be compact.*

*Proof.* Since *T* is invertible,  $T^{-1}$  exists in  $B(X)$  and  $T^{-1}T = I$ . If *T* were compact, then since *T*<sup>-1</sup>  $∈ B(X)$ , so by proposition 6, *T*<sup>-1</sup>*T* must be compact, i.e. *I* must be compact, which cannot be so since *X* is of infinite Hamel dimension. Hence *T* cannot be compact.  $\Box$ 

*Remark* 3*.* i) Every linear subspace of finite Hamel dimension in a normed linear space is closed

ii) Every bounded sequence in a normed linear space of finite dimension has a subsequence which converges to a limit in the normed linear space.

**Proposition 8.** *Let X be a normed linear space over* K*. Then X is of finite dimension if and only if every closed and bounded subset of X is compact.*

*Proof.* Let *X* be of finite dimension. If *M* is a finite set, then clearly every *M* is closed (finite subsets of metric spaces are always closed). Also it is clear that every open cover for *M* has a finite subcover.

Suppose *M* is infinite. Let  $(x_n)$  be any sequence of points of *M*. Since *M* is bounded so is  $(x_n)$ . By Remark 3(ii),  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  converging to a limit say  $x \in X$ . Clearly,  $x \in M$ (for  $(x_n \in M \text{ for all } n \in N)$ ). But *M* is closed. So  $x \in M$ .

Thus every sequence  $(x_n)$  of points of *M* has a subsequence which converges to a limit in *M*. Thus *M* is sequentially compact implies *M* is compact. Thus if *X* is of finite dimension, *M* is closed and bounded implies *M* is compact.

Conversely, let every closed and bounded subset of a normed linear space *X* be compact. We must show that *X* is of finite Hamel dimension. Hence the unit sphere  $S(x) = \{x \in X :$  $||x|| = 1$ } must be compact (it is closed, bounded). Clearly, if we represent by *N* (*x*;  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) the set  $\{y \in X : ||y-x|| < \frac{1}{2} : ||x|| = 1\}$ . Then the family  $\{N(x; \frac{1}{2}) : x \in S(x)\}$  is an open cover for  $S(X)$ . By compactness of  $S(X)$ , there exists a finite subset  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subset S(X)$  such that

$$
\bigcup\nolimits_{i=1}^n N\left(x_i; \frac{1}{2}\right) \supset S(X)
$$

Let  $M = \{ \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \}$ . Either  $M = X$  or M is a proper linear subspace of X. Suppose the later, that is, *M* is a proper linear subspace of *X*. Clearly, *M* is of finite dimension and hence *M* is closed.

Thus *M* is a proper closed linear subspace of the normed linear space *X*. Hence, by Riesz lemma, we can find a  $y \in X$  such that  $||y|| = 1$  and dist  $(y, M) > \frac{2}{3}$ . Since  $||y|| = 1$ , so  $y \in S(X)$ . Hence

$$
||y - x_i|| > \frac{2}{3}
$$
  $\forall i = 1, ..., n$ 

since  $M = [(x_1, \dots, x_n)]$  i.e.  $y \notin N(x_i, \frac{1}{2})$   $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $y \in S(X)$ . This gives a contradiction!! since *y* is not covered by the finite subcover  $\{N(x_i; \frac{1}{2}) : i = 1, ..., n\}$ .

Hence the supposition that *M* is a proper linear subspace of *X* is incorrect and hence unacceptable. Therefore,

$$
M = X
$$
 i.e.  $X = [\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}]$  i.e. X is of finite dimension.

 $\Box$ 

*Corollary* 2. Let *X* be a normed linear space. Then *X* is of finite dimension if and only if  $S(X)$  ${x \in X : ||x|| = 1}$  or  $\overline{N}(x) = {x \in X : ||x|| \le 1}$  is compact.

**Proposition 9.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed linear space over  $K$  and  $T: X \to Y$  be a linear operator.

*(i) If*  $T \in B(X, Y)$  *and* dim  $\mathcal{R}_T < +\infty$  *(i.e. T is of finite rank) then T is compact.* 

*(ii) If X is of finite Hamel dimension, then T is compact.*

*Proof.* (i) Let *M* be any bounded subset of *X*. We must show that  $\overline{T(M)}$  is compact. Since *T* is bounded, and *M* is a bounded subset, so  $T(M)$  ) is bounded. Hence  $\overline{T(M)}$  is bounded. Since *T* is linear,  $\mathcal{R}_T$  is a linear subspace of *H*. Since dim  $\mathcal{R}_T$  < + $\infty$ , so  $\mathcal{R}_T$  is closed and  $T(M) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ . So  $\overline{T(M)} \subset \overline{R}_T = R_T$ .

We thus see that  $\overline{T(M)}$  ) is a closed bounded subset of a finite dimensional normed linear space  $\mathcal{R}_T$ . So  $\overline{T(M)}$  is compact (by proposition 8). Hence *T* is compact.

(ii) If dim  $X < \infty$ . Then dim  $T(X) = \dim \mathcal{R}_T < \infty$ . Since T is a linear operator on a finite dimensional normed linear space, so *T* is bounded. Hence by (i) *T* is compact.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 10.** Let *X* be a normed linear space and *Y* be a Banach space and  $(T_n)$  be a sequence of compact operators on X into Y such that  $T_n \to T$  uniformly in  $B(X,Y)$ , i.e.  $||T_n-T|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  *(in the norm of B(X,Y). Then T is compact.* 

*Proof.* Since *T*<sub>1</sub> is compact, if  $(x_n)$  is any bounded sequence of elements of  $X_1$  say  $||x_n|| \leq c$   $\forall$  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists a subsequence  $(x_n^{(1)})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(T_1(x_n^{(1)})$  converges strongly in *Y*. Since  $T_2$  is compact, there exists a subsequence  $\left(x_n^{(2)}\right)$  of  $\left(x_n^{(1)}\right)$  such that  $\left(T_2(x_n^{(2)})\right)$  converges strongly in *Y*. Continuing in this manner we get subsequences  $(x_n^{(r)})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that for any  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}, r \leq s, (x_n^{(r)})$  is a subsequence of  $(x_n^{(r)})$ . We can list these subsequences as below in order

$$
(x_n^{(1)}) \subset (x_n^{(2)}) \subset (x_n^{(3)}) \subset \cdots \subset (x_n^{(r)}) \subset \ldots
$$

General picture:

$$
\begin{matrix} x_1^{(1)} & x_2^{(1)} & x_3^{(1)} & x_4^{(1)} \cdots \\ x_1^{(2)} & x_2^{(2)} & x_3^{(2)} & x_4^{(2)} \cdots \\ x_1^{(3)} & x_2^{(3)} & x_3^{(3)} & x_4^{(3)} \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{matrix}
$$

Consider the sequence consisting of the principal diagonal in the above array, i.e. the sequence  $\left(x_n^{(n)}\right)^\infty$ . This sequence is bounded since  $(x_n)$  is bounded. Since  $T_n \to T$  uniformly, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find an  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$
||T_n - T|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3c} \quad \forall n \ge n_{\varepsilon}.
$$

It is clear that each one of the  $T_n$ 's converges strongly on the diagonal subsequence  $(x_r^{(r)})$ . In particular,  $T n_{\varepsilon}$  converges strongly on  $(x_r^{(r)})$  i.e. there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$
\left\|T_{n_r}x_s^{(s)} - T_{n_\varepsilon}x_r^{(r)}\right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \forall r, s \ge n_0
$$

Now if  $r, s \geq n_0$ 

$$
\left\|Tx_s^{(s)} - Tx_r^{(r)}\right\| \leq \left\|Tx_s^{(s)} - T_{n_\varepsilon}x_s^{(s)}\right\| + \left\|T_{n_\varepsilon}x_s^{(s)} - T_{n_\varepsilon}x_r^{(r)}\right\| + \left\|T_{n_\varepsilon}x_r^{(r)} - Tx_r^{(r)}\right\|
$$
  

$$
<\left\|T - T_{n_\varepsilon}\right\| \left\|x_s^{(s)}\right\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \left\|T_{n_\varepsilon} - T\right\| \left\|x_r^{(r)}\right\|
$$
  

$$
<\frac{\varepsilon}{3c}c + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3c}c = \varepsilon
$$

Thus  $(T(x_n^{(n)})$  is strongly Cauchy in *Y* and *Y* is a Banach space. Hence  $(T(x_n^{(n)})$  converges in *Y*. Thus for each bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements of *X*, there is a subsequence  $(x_n^{(n)})$  such that  $(Tx_n^{(n)})$  converges in *Y*. i.e. *T* is compact.  $\Box$ 

*Corollary* 3*.* If *X* is a Banach space and  $(T_n)$  is a sequence of compact linear operators in *X* such that  $T_n \to T$  uniformly, then *T* is compact.

*Remark* 4*.* The result would not be valid if we replace uniform convergence by strong convergence i.e.  $T_n$  compact,  $T_n \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} T$  does not imply *T* is compact.

#### **Counter Example:**

Let  $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  define  $T_n$  by

$$
T_n x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, 0, 0, \ldots)
$$

i.e.  $x_i = 0 \quad \forall i > n$ . Each  $T_n$  has  $\mathcal{R}T_n$  of finite dimension *n*. Also, for all  $x = (x_n) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ .

$$
||Tx_n||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i||^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^\infty ||x_i||^2 = ||x||^2.
$$

So each  $T_n$  is bounded ( $||T_n|| < 1$ ). Therefore, each  $T_n$  is compact by proposition 9(i). Also, for each  $x = (x_n) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ , we have

$$
||T_n x - Ix|| = || (x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n, 0, 0, \ldots) - (x_1 x_2, \ldots x_n, x_{n+1}, \cdots) ||
$$
  
= || (0, 0, \ldots 0, x\_{n+1}, x\_{n+2}, \cdots) ||.  
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty
$$

for each  $\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2$  is the "tail" of the convergent series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2$ . Thus  $T_n \stackrel{s}{\to} I$ . But since  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  is infinite-dimensional, the identity operator *I* is not compact. Thus the strong limit of  $(T_n)$ is not compact.

**Example 4.** Consider  $H = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and let  $T : H \to H$  be defined by  $Te_n = \frac{1}{n}e_n \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}$ , given that (*en*) *is a complete orthonormal set in H. The matrix of T is the diagonal matrix*

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & & & & \\ 0 & & \frac{1}{3} & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (6)

 $(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \cdots)$  *are in*  $P\sigma(T)$ *). Show that T is compact.* 

#### **Solution**

If  $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^2$ , then

$$
Tx = \left(x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{3}, \frac{x_4}{4}, \ldots\right)
$$

*{*For

$$
Tx = T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n Te_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \frac{e_n}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n} e_n =
$$

$$
= \left(x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{3}, \ldots\right)
$$

$$
\text{Note } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < +\infty \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i} ||x_i|^2 < \infty.
$$

$$
\left(x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \cdots\right) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})\}
$$

Define for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; operators  $T_n$  by  $T_n x = \left(x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n}, 0, 0, \dots\right)$ , for  $x = (x_k) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . Each  $T_n \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$  and has finite dimensional range. Therefore, each  $T_n$  is compact.  $\text{Now } ||T_n - T|| = \sup \{ ||(T_n - T)x|| : x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and } ||x|| = 1 \}$  and

$$
= \left\{ \sup \left\{ \left\| (x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n}, 0, 0, \dots) - \left( x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{n}, \frac{x_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \right\} \right\}
$$

$$
= \sup \left\{ \left\| \left( 0, 0, \dots, \frac{x_{n+1}}{n+1}, \frac{x_{n+2}}{n+2}, \dots) \right\| \right\} : \left\| x \right\| = 1 \right\}
$$

$$
= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} |xi|^2 \right\}
$$
, where  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 = 1 \right\} \le \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 = 1 \right\}$ 

$$
\leqslant \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}.
$$

If we let  $n \to +\infty$ , we get,  $||T_n - T|| \to 0$ . i.e.  $T_n \to T$  uniformly. Each  $T_n$  is compact. Therefore, *T* is compact (by proposition 10).

**Proposition 11.** *Let*  $X$  *be a Banach space and*  $\mathcal{D}_T$  *be a linear subspace of*  $X$ *. Suppose*  $T = \mathcal{D}_T \rightarrow X$ *is compact. Then its closure*  $\overline{T}$  *is compact. (If*  $T$  *is closable and compact, then its closure*  $\overline{T}$  *is compact).*

*Proof.* We can extend *T* by continuity to all of  $\mathcal{D}_T$  (If  $x \in \mathcal{D}_T$ ,  $(x_n)$  is any sequence of points of  $\mathcal{D}_T$ and such that  $x_n \stackrel{s}{\to} x$ , then we define the extension  $\overline{T}$  of  $T$  by  $\overline{T}_x$  = strong limit Tx<sub>n</sub>. Moreover,  $||T|| = ||T||$ *.* So  $\mathcal{D}_{\overline{T}} = \mathcal{D}_T$ . Moreover, *T* is closed). Let  $(x_n)$  be any bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{D}_T$ . Hence for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find a  $y_n \in \mathcal{D}_T$  such that  $||y_n - x_n|| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . Clearly,  $(y_n)$  is bounded; since  $(x_n)$  is bounded. Indeed,

$$
||y_n|| = ||(y_n - x_n) + x_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
\le ||y_n - x_n|| + ||x_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \frac{1}{n} + ||x_n||
$$

Therefore  $(y_n)$  is bounded. Since *T* is compact, and  $(y_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{D}_T$  so there exists a subsequence  $(y_{n_k})$  of  $(y_n)$  such that  $(Ty_{n_k})$  converges strongly in *X* to *y*, say.

Consider the corresponding subsequence  $(x_{nk})$  of  $(x_0)$ . Then

$$
\overline{T}x_{nk} - y = \overline{T}x_{n_k} - \overline{T}_{y_{nk}} + \overline{T}y_{nk} - y
$$
\nTherefore  $||\overline{T}x_{n_k} - y|| \le ||F|| ||x_{n_k} - y_{n_k}|| + ||\overline{T}y_{nk} - y||$   
\n $\le ||T|| \frac{1}{n_k} + ||Ty_k - y||$   
\nTherefore  $\overline{T}x_{n_k} \stackrel{s}{\rightarrow} y$ 

This shows that for any bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\{Tx_{n_k}\}$ converges strongly in  $\overline{X}$ . So  $\overline{T}$  is compact.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 8.** Let *X* and *Y* be normed linear spaces and  $T : X \to Y$  a linear operator. We say that *T* is of **finite rank** *m* (where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) if the Hamel-dimension of the range  $\mathcal{R}_T$  of *T* is *m*.

**Proposition 12.** *Let H* and *K be Hilbert spaces and*  $T : H \to K$  *be a bounded linear operator. T is of finite rank m if and only if there exists linearly independent subsets*  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\} \subset H$  *and {y*1*, y*2*, . . . , ym} ⊂ K such that*

$$
Tx = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle x_j, x_j \rangle y_j \quad \forall \ x \in H.
$$

Then  $T^*y = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle y_j, y_j \rangle x_j$  and  $||T|| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m ||x_j|| ||y_i||$ ,  $T^*$  is of rank m if and only if T is of rank m. We can without loss of generality, take  $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m\}$  or  $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots y_m\}$  to be an orthonormal *system.*

*Proof.* Take  $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$  to be an orthonormal system in  $\mathcal{R}_T$ . Then for any  $x \in H$ , we have

$$
Tx = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle Tx, y_j \rangle y_j
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle x, T^* y_j \rangle y_j
$$

Put  $T^*y_j = x_j$  for each  $j = 1, \ldots, m$  so

$$
T_x = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle x, x_j \rangle y_j
$$

We need to show that  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$  is linearly independent. Assume the contrary then without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $x_1$  is a linear combination of  $x_2, \ldots, x_m$  say  $x_2 = \sum_{j=2}^m a_j x_j$  for scalars  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$ , therefore

$$
Tx = \sum_{j=2}^{m} \langle x, x_j \rangle y_j + \langle x, x_1 \rangle y_1
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=2}^{m} \langle x, x_j \rangle y_i + \langle x, \sum_{j=2}^{m} a_j x_j \rangle y_1
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=2}^{m} \langle x, x_j \rangle y_j + \left( \sum_{j=2}^{m} a_j \langle x, x_j \rangle \right) y_1
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=2}^{m} \langle x, x_j \rangle (y_j + \bar{a}_j y_1)
$$

 $=$  linear combination of  $(m - 1)$  vectors  $\{y_2 + \bar{a}_2y_1, y_3 + \bar{a}_3y_2, \ldots, y_m + \bar{a}_m y_2\}$ which implies  $\dim R_T$  is at most  $(m-1)$ , a contradiction since

$$
\mathcal{R}_T = m
$$

Hence  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$  cannot be linearly dependent. Therefore,  $\{x_2, \ldots, x_M\}$  is linearly independent. It is clear that

$$
\mathcal{R}_T \subseteq [\{y_1, \cdots y_m\}]
$$

Since  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$  is linearly independent;

$$
x_1 \neq \overline{0} \text{ and } x_1 \notin [\{x_2, \dots, x_m\}]
$$
  
so 
$$
x_1 \in [\{x_2, \dots, x_m\}]^{\perp}
$$

Hence we can find an  $z_1 \in H$  such that  $z_1 \perp x_1$  and  $z_1 \perp x_2, \ldots, x_m$ .

This can be done in general, that is for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$  we can find a  $z_k \in H$  such that  $\langle z_k, x_k \rangle \neq 0$  and  $\langle z_k, x_j \rangle = 0$  *∀ j*  $\neq k$ . Putting *x* = *z*<sub>k</sub>, we get

$$
Tz_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle z_k, x_j \rangle y_j = \langle z_k, x_k \rangle y_k.
$$

which implies that  $y_k \in \mathcal{R}_T$  and this is true for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}_T = [\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}]$ . Also from

$$
Tx = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle x, x_j \rangle y_j
$$

we get

$$
||T_x|| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |\langle x, x_j \rangle| ||y_j|| \leq ||x|| \sum_{j=1}^m ||x_j|| ||y_j||
$$

which shows that

$$
||T|| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} ||x_j|| \, ||y_j||
$$

For all  $y \in K$ 

$$
\langle T^*y, x \rangle = \langle y, Tx \rangle = \left\langle y, \sum_{j=1}^m \langle x, x_j > y_j \rangle \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m \left\langle \overline{\{x, x_j\}} \right\rangle \langle y, y_j \rangle
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^m \langle y, y_j \rangle \langle x_j, x \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m \langle y, y_j \rangle x_j, x \right\rangle
$$

As this is true for all  $x \in H$ , we get

$$
T^*y = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle y, y_j \rangle x_j
$$

and rank  $T^* = m$ .

Conversely, if rank  $T^* = m$ , we may assume that  $x'_j s$  are orthonormal and show that  $T^{**}$  is of rank *m* i.e. *T* is of rank *m*.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 13.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. If a subset A of X is totally bounded, then A is *separable.*

*Proof.* Since *A* is totally bounded, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite  $\varepsilon - net N_{\varepsilon}$  for *A*. (i.e. for  $\text{each } x \in A$  there exists  $y \in N_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $||x - y|| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $k$  run through N. Let  $N = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} N_{\frac{1}{k}}$ . Clearly, *N* is at most countable and dense in *A*. For if  $x \in A$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  choose a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon$ . Then there exists  $y \in N_{\frac{1}{k}}$  such that  $||y - x|| < \frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon$ . So  $N(x;\varepsilon)$  has a point  $y \in N_{\frac{1}{k}} \subseteq N$ . Thus *N* is an atmost countable set which is dense in *A*. Thus *A* is separable.  $\Box$  We have seen earlier in proposition 1 that:

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then *A* is relatively compact (i.e.  $\overline{A}$  is compact) if and only if every sequence  $(x_n)$  of points of A has a convergent subsequence (It's limit need not be in *A* ). We now show:

**Proposition 14.** *Let*  $(X, \rho)$  *be a metric space and A a subset of X which is relatively compact. Then A is totally bounded.*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary i.e. suppose *A* was not totally bounded. Then there exists an *ε >* 0 for which *A* does not have a finite  $\varepsilon$  in *X*. In particular, *A* does not have a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net in *A*. (i.e. consisting of points of *A*). Choose  $x_1 \in A$ . Then there exists a points  $x_2 \in A$  such that  $\rho(x_1, x_2) \geq \varepsilon$  for if no such point *x* existed in *A* then  $\rho(x, y) \leq \varepsilon \ \forall y \in A$  which means that  $\{x_1\}$  is an (finite)  $\varepsilon - net$  for *A*, a contradiction!

There exists a point  $x_3 \in A$  such that  $\rho(x_3, x_1) \geq \varepsilon$  and  $\rho(x_3, x_2) \geq \varepsilon$ , for if no such point  $x_3$ existed, it would mean that  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  is a finite  $\varepsilon - net$  for *A* in (*A*), a contradiction!

Proceeding in this manner, we get a sequence  $(x_n)$  of distinct points of *A* such that  $\rho(x_i, x_j) \geq \varepsilon$ for all  $i \neq j$ . This sequence  $(x_n)$  of points of *A* clearly has no convergent subsequence. Hence *A* cannot be relatively compact. Thus *A* is not totally bounded implies *A* not relatively compact. *A* is relatively compact implies *A* is totally bounded.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 15.** *Let*  $(X, \rho)$  *be a metric space and*  $A$  *be relatively compact. Then*  $A$  *is separable.* 

*Proof. A* is relatively compact implies *A* is totally bounded which implies *A* is separable.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 16.** Let *X* and *Y* be normed linear spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be compact. Then the *range*  $\mathcal{R}_T$  *of T is separable.* 

*Proof.* Denote an open neighborhood of  $\overline{0}$  of radius *n* by  $N(\overline{0}; n)$ . Then

$$
x = \bigcup_{n \in N} N(\bar{0}; n)
$$

Clearly,  $x \supseteq \bigcup_{n \in N} N(\overline{0}; n)$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in X$ , then  $||x||$  is a non-negative real. Hence  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||x|| < n_0$  i.e.

$$
x \in N(\bar{0}; n_0) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N(\bar{0}; n) \text{ i.e. } X \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\bar{0}, n).
$$

Now  $R_T = T(x) = T(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N(\bar{0}; n)) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T(N(\bar{0}; n))$ 

(Note:The last step would not be true if we had an intersection of a family of set.) Since each  $N(\overline{0} : n)$  is bounded and *T* is compact, so  $T(N(\overline{0}; n))$  is relatively compact and hence separable. Thus  $\mathcal{R}_T$  being a countable union of separable sets is separable.

 $\Box$ 

### **3 Compact Operators in Hilbert Spaces**

We now investigate the action of a bounded linear operator on weakly convergent sequence. We shall work with Hilbert spaces as our main interest lies in these spaces.

**Proposition 17.** Let *H be a Hilbert space and*  $T \in B(H)$ *. Let*  $(x_n)$  *be a sequence of points of H*  $such that x_n \xrightarrow{\omega} x$ *. Then*  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{\omega} Tx$ 

*Proof.* Since  $T \in B(H)$ ,  $T^* \in B(H)$ . Also  $x_n \stackrel{w}{\to} x$  implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x_n, T^*y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle \quad \forall y \in$ *H.* i.e.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle Tx_n, y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle \quad \forall y \in H$ , which implies  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{\omega} Tx$  $\Box$ 

*Remark* 5. The result goes through if  $T \in B(H, K)$  (then  $T^* \in B(K, H)$ ). We also know that strong convergence implies weak convergence but weak convergence does not imply strong convergence.

**Example 5.** *Consider*  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  *and take*  $(e_n)$  *to be the orthonormal basis.* 

$$
e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots)(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

Now  $||e_n - e_m||^2 = 2 \quad \forall \; n \neq m$ . So  $\rho(e_n, e_m) = \sqrt{2} \quad \forall \; m \neq n$ . So  $(e_n)$  cannot be strongly *convergent. For every*  $x \in \ell^2$  *we have*  $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n$  and  $||x||^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2$  (*Parseval's equality*)

So 
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2 < \infty
$$
 i.e.  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle x, e_n \rangle|^2$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}$  and hence

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} |\langle x, e_n \rangle| = 0 \text{ i.e. } \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x, e_n \rangle = 0.
$$

*Thus*

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle e_n, x \rangle = \langle \overline{0}, x \rangle \quad \forall x \in H. \ i.e. \ e_n \xrightarrow{\omega} e
$$

Hence in example 1, for  $T \in B(H)$ ,  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{\omega} Tx$  does not imply strong convergence i.e.  $(Tx_n)$  is not strongly convergent. In distinction to this, we get a surprising result:

**Proposition 18.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be Hilbert spaces and  $(x_n)$  be a weakly convergent sequence in  $H$ .  $T \in B(H, K)$  *is compact if and only if*  $T$  *maps every weakly convergent sequence in*  $H$  *to a strongly convergent sequence.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{\omega} x$ . So  $(x_n)$  is bounded (earlier result). Consider the set  $M = \{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ This is bounded and also relatively compact. If  $(T x_n)$  is not strongly convergent, then there exist at least two subsequences  $(x'_n)$ ,  $(x''_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $(Tx'_n)$ ,  $(Tx''_n)$  converge to distinct limits  $y', y''$ respectively. Now  $\forall x \in H$ 

$$
\langle y', x \rangle = \langle s. \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx'_n, x \rangle
$$
  
=  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle Tx'_n, x \rangle$   
=  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x'_n, T^*x \rangle$   
=  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x''_n, T^*x \rangle$ 

*{Reason: Since*  $x_n \xrightarrow{\omega} x$  *(note the weak limit is unique) so*  $(x'_n)$ *,*  $(x''_n)$  *being subsequences of*  $(x_n)$ also converges weakly to *x*, i.e.

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle x'_n, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle
$$
,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle x''_n, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  etc. }. Thus

$$
\langle y', x \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x''_n, Tx^* \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle Tx'', x \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle s. \lim_{n \to \infty} Tx''_n, x \rangle = \langle y'', x \rangle
$$
  
\nThat is  $\langle y', x \rangle = \langle y'', x \rangle \quad \forall x \in H$ .  
\nThus  $\langle y' - y'', x \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in H$   
\nTherefore,  $y' - y'' \perp H$  i.e.  $y' - y'' = \overline{0}$ .  
\ni.e.  $y' = y''$  a contradiction.

Hence the assumption that  $(Tx_n)$  is not strongly convergent is unacceptable. Hence  $(Tx_n)$  must converge strongly.

Conversely, let  $(x_n)$  be a bounded sequence of elements of *H*. Then  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$ which convergent weakly to  $H$  [1]. By hypothesis,  $(Tx_{n_k})$  converges strongly in  $K$ . Thus every bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  of elements in *H* has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  such that  $(Tx_{n_k})$  converges strongly in *K*. Therefore, *T* is compact. (By proposition 2) П **Proposition 19.** Let  $H, K$  be Hilbert spaces. Let  $T : H \to K$  be compact. If  $x_n \xrightarrow{\omega} x$  then  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{s} Tx$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T \in B(H, K)$  we have already seen by proposition 17 that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\omega} x$  implies  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{\omega} Tx$ . Now since T is compact,  $(x_n)$  is weakly convergent implies  $(Tx_n)$ is strongly convergent, say to  $y \in K$ . Thus  $Tx_n \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} y$ . But strong convergence implies weak convergence, so  $Tx_n \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} y$  implies  $Tx_n \stackrel{w}{\longrightarrow} y$ . By uniqueness of weak limit (of a weakly convergent sequence) we have

```
Tx_n \xrightarrow{\omega} y, Tx_n \xrightarrow{\omega} Tx \text{ implies } Tx = yTherefore, Tx_n \xrightarrow{S} y = Txi.e. Tx_n \stackrel{S}{\longrightarrow} Tx
```
**Proposition 20.** *Let H and K be Hilbert spaces and*  $T \in B(H, K)$ *. The following statements are equivalent.*

- *(i) T is compact*
- *(ii) T <sup>∗</sup>T is compact*
- *(iii) T ∗ is compact.*

*Proof.*  $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$ 

 $T \in B(H, K)$  implies  $T^* \in B(K, H)$ . *T* is compact and  $T^*T$  is meaningful. *T* is compact,  $T^*$  is bounded implies *T <sup>∗</sup>T* is compact.

(*ii*) *⇔* (*i*)

Let  $(x_n)$  be a weakly convergent sequence in *H* such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\omega} x$ . Since  $T^*T$  is compact we have by proposition 19  $T^*T x_n \xrightarrow{s} T^* T_x$  i.e.  $T^* T$  is strongly Cauchy in *H*. Since  $(x_n)$  is weakly convergent,  $(x_n)$  is bounded, hence there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $||x_n|| \leq c \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
||Tx_n - Tx_m||^2 = \langle T(x_n - x_m), T(x_n - x_m) \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle T^*T(x_n - x_m), (x_n - x_m) \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= |\langle T^*T(x_n - x_m), (x_n - x_m) \rangle| \quad (\because T^*T \ge 0)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le ||T^*T(x_n - x_m)|| ||x_n - x_m||
$$

Since  $T^*T$  is strongly Cauchy in  $H, \|T^*T(x_n - x_m)\| \to 0$  as both  $m, n \to \infty$ . Since  $||x_k|| \leq$  $c \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $||x_n - x_m|| \le ||x_n|| + ||x_m|| \le 2c$ . Therefore,  $||Tx_n - Tx_m|| \to 0$  as both  $m, n \to \infty$ i.e.  $(Tx_n)$  is strongly Cauchy in *K*. But *K* is strongly complete. Therefore,  $Tx_n$  converges strongly in *K*. So *T* maps weakly convergent sequences to strongly convergent sequences. Therefore, *T* is compact.

 $(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$ .

Since *T* is compact and  $T^* \in B(K,H)$ , so  $TT^*(\in B(K))$  is compact i.e.  $(T^*)T^*$  is compact. From (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii), we conclude, T\* is compact. Now  $T^* \in B(H)$  and  $(T^*)^* = T$ . So  $T^*$  is compact  $\Rightarrow (T^*)^*$  $\Box$ is compact *⇒ T* is compact

**Proposition 21.** Let *H* be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. If *T* is compact, then  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ .

*Proof.* Since *T* is compact and *H* is infinite dimensional so *T* is not invertible (by proposition 7). *{For if*  $T^{-1}$  existed in *B*(*H*) then  $T^{-1}T$  would be compact i.e. I would be compact which is not possible when *H* is infinite dimensional*}*. i.e.  $T - 0I$  is not invertible. Therefore,  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 22.** *Let*  $T : H \to K$  *be compact. Then*  $\eta_T^{\perp}$  *is separable.* 

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_\alpha : x \in \Lambda\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\eta^{\perp}_T$ . For any sequence  $(e_{\alpha_i})$  from  $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ with  $e_{\alpha_i} \neq e_{\alpha_j}$  if  $i \neq j$ , we know that  $e_{\alpha_i} \stackrel{\omega}{\rightarrow} \overline{0}$  i.e.  $(e_{\alpha_i})_{i=1}^{\infty}$  converges weakly. Since T is compact,  $(T e_{\alpha_i})_{i=1}^{\infty}$  converges strongly to  $\overline{0}$ .

Hence for each real  $\varepsilon > 0$  there can be at most a finite number of  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  such that

 $||Te_{\alpha_i}|| \geqslant \varepsilon.$ 

(For if  $\{\alpha \in \Lambda : ||Te_{\alpha_i}|| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is of infinite cardinality, then we would select from this bounded sequence  ${e_{\alpha_i}}$  a subsequence  ${e'_{\alpha_i}}$  which converges weakly to  $\overline{0}$ ; therefore  $Te'_{\alpha_i} \longrightarrow = \overline{0}$  (for *T* is compact) and this is a contradiction! since  $||Te_{\alpha_i}|| \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ . It now follows that the set

$$
\{\alpha \in \Lambda : \|Te_{\alpha}\| > 0\}
$$

is at most countable. To see this, put  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$  and let *k* run through N. Then

$$
\{\alpha \in \Lambda : ||Te_{\alpha}|| > 0\} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{\alpha_i : ||Te_{\alpha_i}|| \ge \frac{1}{k}\}
$$

Hence the orthormal basis spanning  $\eta_T^{\perp}$  is at most countable. This shows that  $\eta_T^{\perp}$  is separable.

We use: A normed linear space X is separable if and only if there exists an atmost countable family *F* of linearly independent elements of *X* such that  $\overline{[F]} = X$ . (For if  $Q = \{ \alpha \in \Lambda : ||Te_{\alpha}|| \geq \varepsilon \}$  is of infinite cardinality We would select a sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  of elements of  $Q$  and for this sequence we would have (as already above)  $T_{\alpha_n} \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \overline{0}$  which contradicts the condition  $||Te_\alpha|| \geq \varepsilon \,\forall \alpha \in Q$ 

**Proposition 23.** *Let*  $T \in B(H)$ *. Then*  $T$  *is compact if and only if there is a sequence*  $(T_n)$  *of*  $P(\text{elements of } B(H) \text{ which are of finite rank such that } T_n \stackrel{\|\cdot\|}{\longrightarrow} T \text{ i.e. } T_n \text{ converges to } T \text{ uniformly.}$ 

*Proof.* By proposition 10 we have seen that: If  $(T_n)$  is a sequence of compact linear operators in *H* and  $T_n \to T$  in the norm of *H*, then *T* is compact. If each  $T_n \in B(H)$  is of finite rank, then we have, seen that  $T_n$  is compact and then we apply proposition 22 to conclude the proof of the given theorem in one direction.

Conversely, let *T* be compact. Hence, by proposition 22,  $\eta_T^{\perp}$  is separable. Let  $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\eta_T^{\perp}$ . Let  $P_n$  be the orthoprojector on H onto  $M_n = \vee_{i=1}^n \{e_i\}$  and let P be the orthoprojector on H onto  $\vee_{i=1}^{\infty} \{e_i\} = \eta_T^{\perp}$ . Let  $T_n = TP_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e.  $T_n x = TP_n x \forall x \in H$ . So domain of  $T_n$  is  $M_n = \mathcal{R}_{P_n}$  and is of orthogonal dimension *n* and hence dimension of  $\mathcal{R}_{T_n}$  is at most *n*. (Note that

$$
T_n x = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle Te_i \quad \forall x \in H
$$
  
Therefore,  $T_n x = TP_n x = T(P_n x)$   

$$
= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle e_i\right) \text{ for } \quad \mathcal{R}_{P_n} = M_n = \vee_{i=1}^n \{e_i\}
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, e_i \rangle Te_i
$$

Since  $M_n \subseteq M_{n+2}$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So  $P_n \leq P_{n+1} \leq P$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  i.e.  $P_1 \leq P_2 \leq \ldots \leq P_n \leq \ldots \leq P_n$ Hence  $P_n \xrightarrow{s} P$ .

Consider the operators  $T-T_n \in B(H)$ . There exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  of element of *H* such that  $||x_n|| =$ 1 and

$$
||(T - T_n)x_n|| \ge \frac{1}{2}||T - T_n|| \tag{7}
$$

 $(f \text{or } ||T - T_n|| = \sup{||T - T_n | x|| : x \in H} \text{ and } ||x|| = 1).$ 

For all  $y \in H$ , we have  $\langle (P - P_n)x_n, y \rangle = \langle x_n, (P - P_n)y \rangle \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  since  $P_n \stackrel{s}{\to} P \Rightarrow$  $P_n y \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} P_y$  i.e.  $(P_n - P)_y \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \overline{0}$ .

Therefore  $\langle (P - P_n)x_n, y \rangle \to 0 = \langle \overline{0}, y \rangle \quad \forall y \in H$ i.e.  $(P - P_n)x_n \xrightarrow{\omega} \overline{0}$ .

Since *T* is compact,

$$
T\left(P - P_n\right)x_n \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \overline{0} \tag{8}
$$

Now from (7)

$$
||T - T_n|| \le 2 ||(T - T_n)x_n|| = 2 ||Tx_n - T_nx_n|| = 2 ||Tx_n - TP_nx_n||
$$

Noting that (by projection theorem), we can write each  $x_n$  as  $x_n = x'_n + x''_n$  where  $x'_n \in \eta_{\mathcal{T}}^{\perp}$  and  $x''_n \in \eta_T$ , we have

$$
Tx_n = Tx_n'' + Tx_n'' = Tx_n'
$$

since  $x_n'' \in \eta_T$ , so  $Tx_n'' = \overline{0}$ . But  $x_n' =$  component of  $x_n$  in  $\eta_T^{\perp} =$  range P. So  $x_n' = Px_n$ . Therefore  $Tx'_n = TPx_n$  i.e.  $Tx_n = TPx_n$ . Hence  $||T - T_n|| \le 2||TPx_n - (P_nx_n)|| = 2||T(P - P_n)x_n||$ . By (8)  $T(P - P_n)x_n \longrightarrow 0$  and thus we obtain  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||T - T_n|| = 0$ i.e.  $T_n \longrightarrow T$  uniformly.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 6.** Let T be a compact operator in H and  $(e_n)$  be any infinite orthonormal sequence in H. *Then*  $\lim_{n\to\infty}$   $\langle |T\alpha_n, e_n| \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $e_n \xrightarrow{\omega} \overline{0}$  and *T* being compact  $Te_n \xrightarrow{s} \overline{0}$ . Now by Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Shwarz inequality,

$$
|\langle Te_1, e_n \rangle| < ||Te_n|| ||e_n|| = ||Te_n|| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

But  $Te_n \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} \overline{0}$ , therefore,

$$
||Te_n|| \to ||\overline{0}|| = 0
$$
  
thus 
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} |\langle Te_n, e_n \rangle| = 0
$$



## **4 Conclusion**

The results in this paper reveal that every linear subspace of finite Hamel dimension in a normed linear space is closed and every bounded sequence in a normed linear space of finite dimension has a subsequence which converges to a limit in the normed linear space. We based our consideration on concepts which are of general interest in connection with the compactness of operators. The elementary exposition of compact linear operators and the results in the general context of normed linear spaces may be needed in the study of the spectral properties of compact linear operators which can be treated fairly completely in the sense that Fredholm's famous theory of linear integral equations may be extended to linear functional equations  $Tx - \lambda x = y$  with a complex parameter *λ*.

# **Competing Interests**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## **References**

<span id="page-16-0"></span>[1] Kreyszig E. Introductory functional analysis with applications. John Wiley and Sons; 1991.

- [2] Aubin JP. Applied functional analysis. (3rd Edition) John Wiley and Sons; 2011.
- [3] Din A. Compact operator and Fredholm operator; 2017.
- [4] Daners D. Introduction to functional analysis. (2nd Edition.) The University of Sydney; 2008.
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>[5] Narcowich FJ. Compact sets and compact operators. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 2014;3(1):1-11.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span><span id="page-17-1"></span>[6] Alexanderian A. On compact operators. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications. 2013;18(2):5-36.
- [7] Balakrishnan AV. Applied functional analysis. (2nd Edition.) Springer Science and Business Media; 2012.
- [8] Driver KB. Compact and Fredholm operators and the spectral theorem. Analysis tools with applications, Lecture Notes. 2003;35:579-600.
- [9] Dunford N, Schwartz JT, Bade WG, Bartle RG. Linear operators: Part III: Spectral Operators [by] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz, with the Assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Wiley-Interscience; 1957.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span><span id="page-17-3"></span>[10] Kantorovitz S. Spectral theory of Banach space operators. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer; 1983.
- [11] Lang S. Real and functional analysis. (2nd Edition) Springer Science and Business Media; 2012
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>[12] Oden JT, Demkowicz LF. Applied functional analysis. Chapman and Hall; 2017.
- [13] Bachman G, Narici L. Functional analysis. (3rd Edition) Courier Corporation; 2000.

<span id="page-17-7"></span><span id="page-17-6"></span> $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$  , we can consider the constant of the con *⃝*c *2022 Simiyu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

### *Peer-review history:*

*The peer review his[tory for this paper can be accessed here \(Please](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)*

*https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86273*