# Effect of Thermal Processing Conditions on the Physicochemical and Moisture-Sorption Characteristics of Two Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*) Varieties

## Theophilus M. Ikegwu [a*], Chika U. Obiora [a], Joy C. Mba [a], Eunice N. Odo [a] and Nkemakonam M. Anene [a]

[a] *Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria.*

*Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author TMI designed the study and performed the statistical analysis, author CUO wrote the protocol, author CJM wrote the first draft of the manuscript, author ENE managed the literature searches, while author NA managed the analyses of the study. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

*Original Research Article*

## ABSTRACT

Two cocoyam varieties (*Colocasia esculenta)* known as taro grown in Cross-River State and Bendel were subjected to different processing conditions (boiling and drying) and the effect of boiling temperature, boiling time and drying temperatures were investigated. They were processed into flour using standard methods, packaged in low-density polyethylene bags and kept in the laboratory for analysis. The results obtained showed that crude fat, crude protein, ash, moisture, crude fibre and carbohydrate contents ranged from 0.42-0.92%, 6.03-9.01%, 2.13-3.90%, 5.20-12.07%, 0.43-0.77% and 73.32-81.73%, respectively. The anti-nutrients contents of the samples showed that oxalate ranged from 0.01-0.99 mg/g, alkaloids (0.12-0.73 mg/g), flavonoids (0.00-0.83 mg/g), phytate (0.01-1.90 mg/g), saponin (0.00-0.83 mg/g) and tannin (0.00-0.01 mg/g). The investigation revealed that there were significant (p<0.05) variations in the functional properties of the cocoyam samples with the water absorption capacity ranging from (1.56-3.01 mg/g), bulk density(0.58-0.82 mg/g), swelling index (1.54-2.91 mg/g), Oil absorption capacity (1.32-1.67 mg/g),

*Corresponding author: Email: tm.ikegwu@unizik.edu.ng, maduson2003@yahoo.com;*

while porosity ranged from 0.30-0.76 mg/g. There were also significant (p<0.05) variations in the thermal diffusivity of the samples with the samples of Cross River Cocoyam having higher thermal diffusivity compared to sample of Bendel Cocoyam. The higher thermal diffusivity observed in the cross river cocoyam could be due to the lower moisture content of the samples. The result of the pasting properties showed that the peak viscosity, peak time, final viscosity, breakdown and set back viscosities ranged from 10.88-15.81 N/m$^2$, 7.87-20.87 mins, 8.00-9.97 N/m$^2$, 15.10-17.90 N/m$^2$, 6.0-7.5 N/m$^2$ and 2.3-3.8 N/m$^2$. The research discovered that sample with less pasting temperatures and high peak viscosity had better thickening effect as seen in sample of Cross River Cocoyam. The moisture sorption isotherms had sigmoid-shaped profiles for all of the three temperatures. The hysteresis effect at the three temperatures was distinctly expressed. The increasing temperatures resulted in less hysteresis effect on taro flour which meant the adsorption and desorption curves were closer.

*Keywords: Cocoyam; physicochemical; moisture-sorption isotherm; thickener; thermal conductivity.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cocoyam is an herbaceous perennial plant that belongs to the family Araceae. The genus *Colocasia esculenta* and the genus *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* are the most consumed and cultivated species the world over. Though all parts of the cocoyam plant can be eaten, they are grown mainly for their roots edibility, otherwise called the corms. Cocoyam grows from the fleshy corm (tuber) and can be processed using various methods such as boiling, baking and mashing mashing (whereby the corm is mashed into a meal). It could also be processed into snacks. In the eastern part of Nigeria, it serves as a staple food and used as a thickener in food preparations as is applied in soups.

According to Ejoh et al. [1], cocoyam accounts as one of the most valued root and tuber crops throughout the tropical and temperate regions of the world. As one of the prehistoric food crops in the world (more than nine thousand years old), it is cultivated throughout the tropical world, although its evolution and cultivation could be traced to Asian countries [2-4]. Nigeria ranks first in world production of cocoyam (47.5% supply in the world and 77.9% supply in Africa) with nearly 5,400,000 Mt valued at approximately USD 555M. In Nigeria, Ebonyi State (approximately 12%) is the highest producer of cocoyam followed by Ondo State while Cross River State ranked third. However, the cultivation of cocoyam in Nigeria is receding arising from the notion that the root crop is meant for the poor, and there is urgent need to step up research in cocoyam production and utilization. Cocoyam is rich in nutrients and has some healing and or remedial effects for increased body resistant to diseases [5]. Furthermore, the improvement in the production potentials of cocoyam would lead to commensurate economic benefits for households sustenance and a decrease in food insecurity.

Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) and Tania (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) are the most important species of the edible aroids grown in tropical and sub-tropical countries [6]. It is an under-exploited tuber crop, although the literature is replete with its potential nutritional applications [7]. The annual production of taro in Nigeria is estimated at 2.59 million tons while China and Cameroon ranked second and third, respectively, in world taro production (McGregor, 2010). In terms of nutrition, taro is rich in nutrients, especially vitamins (vit. E, C and Vit. B$_6$) and minerals (potassium, copper, phosphorous and folates). The root crop has a texture that is viscous (sticky) when cooked, which has been exploited by the food processors as a geniune food thickening source. In light of its importance in food processing and preservation, it is imperative that research in the thermal processing of the taro crop into flour be given prominence in the food industry.

The tuber crop is rich in anti-nutrients such as saponin, alkaloids, phytates, among others. Saponin has the ability to increase the body's level of resistance to diseases. According to Kregiel et al. [8] and Hifnawy et al. [9], saponin is a constituent of a spermicide and steroidal vaccines, and is reported to inhibit the growth of mild and infectious swellings of tissues to have microbial and anti-viral characteristics [10]. Saponin, though non-toxic, exhibit cytotoxic effects and growth inhibition against variety of cells making it to have anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer properties [11,12]. Researchers also revealed that alkaloids help biologically in storage of waste nitrogen, cationic balancing and protection against parasites [13,14]. Alkaloids are

used as medicine for reducing headache and fever. These are attributed for their anti-bacterial and analgesic properties [15].

This research evaluated the effect of some processing conditions on the physicochemical and moisture sorption characteristics of two cocoyam varieties for improved commercial production and utilization by the consumers.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Raw Materials

Freshly harvested taro (*Colocasia esculenta*, Bendel cultivar) (BDB) was purchased from Eke-Awka market in Awka South Local Government area of Anambra State, Nigeria while Cross river cultivar (BDC) was purchased from Ogbete Main Market, Enugu, Enugu State. They were brought to the Department of Food Science and Technology Laboratory. Both cultivars were processed immediately after purchase into flours.

### 2.2 Production of Fresh Cocoyam Flour

Cocoyam corms were peeled with stainless steel knife. The peeled corms were cut into slices and boiled at different temperatures and time. Thereafter, they were dried at different drying temperatures with the use of an oven (Model, YC-1, China). After drying, they were milled with disc attrition mill into flours and then sieved using muslin cloth to obtain fine flours. The flours were packaged with low-density polyethylene bag and stored at room temperature in the Food Science and Technology Laboratory until they were needed for laboratory analysis.

### 2.2.1 Experimental design

The research was designed using $2 \times 3^n$ Full Factorial Design using Minitab Software Version 20.0. The Table 1 showed the independent variables that were considered in the research design.

Freshly harvested Taro

↓

Cleaning (washing, peeling)

↓

Slicing

↓

Boiling

↓

Drying

↓

Grinding (Disc attrition mill)

↓

Cocoyam Flour

↓

Packaging

↓

Storage (at room temperature)

**Fig. 1. Flow diagram of cocoyam flour production modified from Mbanali et al. (2019)**

**Table 1. Experimental Design Applied in this Study**

| S/N | Sample Runs | Boiling Temp. (˚C) | Boiling Time (Min) | Drying Temp. (˚C) |
|-----|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | BDC1 | 100 | 30 | 50 |
| 2 | BDC2 | 100 | 30 | 80 |
| 3 | BDC3 | 100 | 50 | 50 |
| 4 | BDC4 | 100 | 50 | 80 |
| 5 | BDC5 | 70 | 50 | 80 |
| 6 | BDC6 | 70 | 50 | 50 |
| 7 | BDC7 | 70 | 30 | 80 |
| 8 | BDB1 | 100 | 30 | 50 |
| 9 | BDB2 | 100 | 30 | 80 |
| 10 | BDB3 | 100 | 50 | 50 |
| 11 | BDB4 | 100 | 50 | 80 |
| 12 | BDB5 | 70 | 50 | 80 |
| 13 | BDB6 | 70 | 50 | 50 |
| 14 | BDB7 | 70 | 30 | 80 |

## 2.3 Proximate Analysis

The moisture, ash, crude fat, crude protein and Crude fiber contents were determined using AOAC [16] while the total carbohydrate content was calculated by difference.

## 2.4 Determination of Anti-Nutrients

The oxalate was determined according to the method of Sefa-Dedeh and Agyir-Sackey [17]. The saponin, phytate and alkaloids contents were determined by the method of Onwuka [18] while the flavonoids were determined according to the method described by Bohm and Kouipai-Abyazani [19].

## 2.5 Determination of Functional Properties

The Water absorption capacity, bulk density, oil absorption capacity, porosity and swelling index were determined using AOAC [20].

## 2.6 Determination of Pasting Properties

The pasting characteristics of the composite flour were evaluated using Rapid-ViscoAnalyser (RVA) described by Awolu [21].

## 2.7 Determination of Thermal Diffusivity

The thermal diffusivity of the samples was determined using the equation reported by Sopa et al. [22].

## 2.8 Determination of Moisture-Sorption Characteristics of Varieties of Cocoyam Flour

Moisture Analyzer MX-50 was used to determine the moisture contents of taro flour samples immediately before measuring the sorption isotherms of the taro flour as described by Bell and Labuza [23].

## 2.9 Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed statistically using Minitab software, version 20.0. In order to correlate the response variable to the independent variables, multiple regressions was used to fit the coefficient of the polynomial model of the response. The quality of the fit of the model was evaluated using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with SPSS statistical package (Version 17.0). Duncan Multiple Range test and mean ± standard deviation was chosen to determine any significant difference among the samples at ($p< 0.5$).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Proximate Composition of Taro Species

The results of the proximate composition of the cocoyam samples are shown in Table 2. It was observed that there were significant (*P<0.05*) differences in the proximate composition of the samples. The crude fat content of BDC1 was the highest while sample BDB1 had the least ash content. The samples BDC1and BDB4 are not significantly (*P>0.05*) different. The result of the crude fat showed that the fat content ranged from 0.42-0.92%. Akpan and Umoh [24] reported that cocoyam has an average crude fat content of 0.93%, while Ndabikunze et al. [25] stated that the average fat content of cocoyam was 0.43%. These results implied that taro has a low fat content and could be a better food crop that can have minimal contribution to the health problems associated with consumption of food with an surfeited fat. However, Lola [26] attributed the low fat content to be inclusive of the heat treatment (blanching) done preceding drying that may have melted the fat into the boiling water, thus gave rise to reduction in the fat content. This assertion may have been supported by Khor et al. [27] who argued that heat could precipitate the realignment of monomer units into polymers as well as the putrefaction and oxidations of fat that would lead to losses during heat-moisture processing. The linear component of the variables such as boiling temperature, boiling time and drying temperature had positive effects on the samples. In contrast to the above assertions, the research showed that boiling, drying and the boiling time increased the crude fat content while the interaction effect negative influence on the crude fat content. The quadratic effects were positively correlated (*P<0.05*).

The crude protein ranged from 6.03-11.04%. The sample BDB4 had the highest crude protein content while sample BDC5 had the least. The results also showed that all the samples were significantly (*P<0.05*) different from each other. The values determined by this study were higher than the mean protein (4.47%) of taro reported from Tanzania, Uganda and Nigeria by Ndabikunze et al. [25]. Higher mean crude protein contents of taro as observed in this study may be associated with the inclusion of

**Table 2. Proximate composition of cocoyam flour**

| Runs BT$_p$:BT:DT | Crude fat % | Crude protein % | Ash content % | Moisture content % | Crude fiber % | Carbohydrate % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 100:30:50 | 0.92±0.01[a] | 7.98± 0.01[g] | 3.61± 0.02[c] | 5.20± 0.01[j] | 0.56± 0.01[f] | 81.73± 0.01[a] |
| 100:30:80 | 0.89±0.01[b] | 8.12±0.01[f] | 3.78±0.01[b] | 6.00± 0.01[i] | 0.53±0.01[g] | 80.68±0.01[bc] |
| 100:50:50 | 0.85[c]±0.01[c] | 8.45±0.01[e] | 2.88±0.01[j] | 6.80±0.18[h] | 0.51±0.01[h] | 80.51±0.01[bc] |
| 100:50:80 | 0.77±0.01[e] | 9.01±0.01[d] | 2.44±0.01[k] | 7.02±0.01[g] | 0.51±0.01[h] | 80.25±0.01[bc] |
| 70:50:80 | 0.62±0.01[h] | 7.12±0.01[k] | 3.01±0.02[i] | 7.75±0.01[f] | 0.48±0.01[i] | 81.02±0.01[ab] |
| 70:50:50 | 0.55±0.01[i] | 6.33±0.01[l] | 3.11±0.01[h] | 8.90±0.01[h] | 0.55±0.01[f] | 80.56±0.01[bc] |
| 70:30:80 | 0.66±0.01[g] | 6.12±0.01[m] | 3.17±0.01[g] | 8.95±0.01[e] | 0.67±0.01[d] | 80.43±0.01[bc] |
| 100:30:50 | 0.77±0.01[e] | 6.03±0.01[n] | 3.23±0.01[f] | 9.50±0.01[d] | 0.69±0.01[c] | 79.78±0.01[c] |
| 100:30:80 | 0.74±0.02[f] | 10.08±0.01[c] | 3.45±0.01[e] | 9.54±0.01[d] | 0.72±0.01[b] | 75.47±0.01[ef] |
| 100:50:50 | 0.76±0.00[e] | 10.56±0.01[b] | 3.56±0.01[d] | 9.56±0.02[d] | 0.53±0.01[g] | 75.03±0.01[f] |
| 100:50:80 | 0.77±0.01[de] | 11.04±0.01[a] | 3.78±0.01[b] | 9.58±0.01[d] | 0.51±0.01[h] | 73.32±0.73[g] |
| 70:50:80 | 0.78±0.01[d] | 7.44±0.01[h] | 3.90±0.00[a] | 10.00±0.00[c] | 0.60±0.00[e] | 77.28±0.01[e] |
| 70:50:50 | 0.52±0.02[j] | 7.32±0.01[i] | 2.13±0.01[m] | 11.05±0.01[b] | 0.77±0.01[a] | 78.03±0.01[d] |
| 70:30:80 | 0.42±0.01[k] | 7.14±0.01[j] | 2.33±0.01[l] | 12.07±0.01[a] | 0.43±0.01[j] | 77.61±0.01[d] |

*Results are Mean ± SD of triplicate determinations. Results with different superscript along the same column are significantly different (P<0.05). BTp= Boiling Temperature (ºC); BT: Boiling Time (min); DT: Drying Temperature (ºC).*

cormels in the flour. The present study were in agreement with a review conducted by Shewry [28] who reported that tuberous plant contain protein up to 10%. The regression analysis showed that the linear variables had positive effect on the crude protein content of the samples while the interactive effect had negative correlation on the crude protein content. However, the quadratic factors had positive effect on the crude protein content. The high protein content in taro flours is important for improved nutrition [29]. Cocoyam, especially taro, is one of the tuberous crops with the highest protein content and is thus recommended for sustainable food security in the developing countries, especially to countries with huge humanitarian crises, as obtained in the Northeastern Nigeria.

There were significant (*P<0.05*) difference in the ash content of the samples which ranged from 2.13 to 3.90%. The increased ash content of the samples is indicative of the high mineral content in taro. These values were higher than the ash content of cocoyam from southern Nigeria which ranged from 0.88-1.15% [30]. The differences could be due to the influence of the environmental condition in which the cocoyam was grown as well as the age of the tuber during harvest. Some of the values were within the range of total ash contents of cocoyam (3.51%) grown along the lake Victoria Basin in Tanzania and Uganda [25]. The regression analysis showed that the linear variables positively influence the ash content which implied that

increased boiling, drying and length of boiling led to increased ash content of the samples. Conversely, the interactive effects had negative correlation effect (*P<0.05*) on the ash content of the samples. Therefore, increased association of any two of the treatment effect led to decrease in ash content in taro. The quadratic factors had positive effect (*P<0.05*) on the ash content.

The Moisture content analysis of the samples ranged from 5.20 to12.07%. The result showed that sample BDB7 was the highest while sample BDC1 was the least. Some of the samples such as sample BDC1, BDC2, BDC3 and BDC4 were not significantly *(P>0.05)* different from each other. It has been observed that incipient heat on food placed a hot oven could create a moisture vapor pressure gradient that may cause moisture at the surface of the food to escape. The action could lead to movement of fluid (moisture) from the interior of the food to the exterior [31]. Thus, the amount of moisture in the samples correlates with the heat-moisture treatment effect, in addition to the other treatment effects and the presence of varied amounts of nutrients that may have inhibited moisture escape from the surface of the samples. The result of the regression analysis showed that the linear variables positively influenced the crude fat content of the cocoyam samples while the interactive effects had negative correlation effect on the moisture content of the samples. More so, the quadratic factors had positive effect on both the moisture and crude fat of the samples.

The result of crude fiber ranged from 0.43 to 0.77%. It showed that the sample BDB6 (70: 50: 50) had the highest crude fiber content while BDB7 was the lowest. The samples BDC2 (100:30:80) and BDB3 (100:50:50) are not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from each other. The samples BDC4, BDC3 and BDB4 were not significantly different from each other while sample BDC5 and BDB7 were significantly ($P<0.05$) different from other samples. The crude fiber were in the range of 0.6-1.90% as was reported by Akpan and Umoh [24] but contrasted the range of crude fiber content (1.11-3.00%) of cocoyam as reported by Sefa-Dedeh and Kofi-Agyir [17]. The differences in the fiber content could be attributed to the genotypic differences, and probably, due to differences in the species of taro as well as environmental conditions. Analysis of the results obtained showed that the linear variables had positive influence on the crude fiber content while the interactive effects had negative correlation effect on the crude fiber content of the samples. Furthermore, the quadratic factors had positive effect on the crude fiber content.

The carbohydrate content of the sample BDC1 was the highest while the sample BDB4 had the least. The result of the carbohydrate content of taro species ranged from 73.32 to 81.73%, and it was observed that sample BDC1and BDC5 are not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from each other. These results were similar to the total carbohydrate content of taro variety from Ethiopia which was 85.65% [32]. This indicates that cocoyam grown in the Southeastern Nigeria had high energy content and could serve as household staple for improved food security. The results of the regression analysis showed the influence of processing conditions on the carbohydrate content of the samples. It was observed that the linear factors had positive influence ($P<0.05$) on the carbohydrate content of the samples while the interactive effects had negative ($P<0.05$) on the carbohydrate content. In the same vein, the quadratic effects positively influenced the content of the samples.

## 3.2 Anti-Nutritional Contents of Cocoyam Samples

The results of the anti-nutrient composition of the samples showed that oxalate, saponin, phytate, alkaloid, and flavonoids ranged from 0.01-0.99 mg/g, 0.01-0.82 mg/g, 0.01-1.90 mg/g, 0.11-0.67 mg/g and 0.01-0.04 mg/g respectively while the tannin was negligible and those detected was at 0.01 mg/g (Table 3). The observation from the results indicates that Cross-river cocoyam had less of these phyto-chemicals compared to Bendel cocoyam. Additionally, processing conditions affected the content of these compounds in the two cocoyam samples leading to significant ($P<0.05$) variations in the samples. However, Cross-river cocoyam had more flavonoids compared to Bendel cocoyam.

The reduction in oxalate content may be due to different treatments done to the raw taro prior to drying like peeling, dicing, boiling at different temperatures and time. Washing, according to the studies of Huang et al. [33], reduced the concentration of oxalate by 92% while Akpan and Umoh [24] stated in their study that the peel of tubers contained more oxalate than the peeled tubers. Buntha et al. [34] also reported that high oxalate acridity cultivars of taro can be reduced by peeling, grating, soaking and fermentation during processing. Cocoyam should be properly processed to reduce the oxalate level to a acceptable levels devoid of irritation and scratching perception in the mouth and throat when consumed. Adequate cooking before consumption significantly ($P<0.05$) reduces the total oxalate of leaves and vegetables [35]. The regression analysis showed the effect of boiling temperature; boiling time and drying temperature on the cocoyam sample indicate a positive effect (increases) on the oxalate content. The interactive effect on the boiling temperature and boiling time, boiling time and drying temperature had a negative correlation on the oxalate content of the samples while the boiling temperature versus drying temperature had a positive correlation on the oxalate content of the samples. Furthermore, the quadratic factors had a negative effect on the oxalate content.

The Saponin content of the samples ranged from 0.01 mg/g to 0.82 mg/g. The sample BDB1 (100:30:50) had the highest value 0.9 mg/g and saponin was not detected in the sample BDB5. It was also observed that sample BDC3 and BDB4 are not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from each other. The samples BDC1, BDC4 and BDB3 are not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from each other. Also, samples BDC5, BDC6, BDC7, BDB2, BDB5 are not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from each other while samples BDB1 and BDB5 differed significantly ($P<0.05$) from the other samples.

41

**Table 3. Anti-Nutriional Contents of cocoyam samples**

| Runs BT$_p$:BT:DT | Oxalate (mg/g) | Saponin (mg/g) | Phytate (mg/g) | Alkaloid (mg/g) | Flavonoid (mg/g) | Tannin (mg/g) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 100:30:50 | 0.01±0.00$^g$ | 0.77±0.01$^c$ | 0.99±0.00$^c$ | 0.13±0.02$^i$ | 0.04±0.01$^b$ | ND |
| 100:30:80 | 0.57±0.12$^{fg}$ | 0.01±0.00$^f$ | 0.79±0.00$^e$ | 0.20±0.01$^{fg}$ | 0.03±0.00$^c$ | ND |
| 100:50:50 | 0.60±0.01$^c$ | 0.08±0.01$^b$ | 0.07±0.00$^g$ | 0.14±0.04$^{hi}$ | 0.04±0.00$^a$ | ND |
| 100:50:80 | 0.41±0.01$^c$ | 0.75±0.01$^c$ | 0.03±0.00$^g$ | 0.18±0.01$^{tg}$ | 0.02±0.00$^d$ | ND |
| 70:50:80 | 0.38±0.12$^c$ | 0.02±0.00$^f$ | 0.03±0.01$^g$ | 0.18±0.01$^{gh}$ | 0.01±0.00$^f$ | ND |
| 70:50:50 | 0.27±0.01$^{fg}$ | 0.03±0.01$^f$ | 0.19±0.01$^f$ | 0.22±0.01$^f$ | 0.01±0.00$^e$ | ND |
| 70:30:80 | 0.17±0.00$^{de}$ | 0.02±0.01$^f$ | 0.89±0.01$^d$ | 0.12±0.01$^i$ | 0.02±0.00$^j$ | ND |
| 100:30:50 | 0.21±0.69$^d$ | 0.09±0.00$^a$ | 0.07±0.00$^g$ | 0.73±0.58$^a$ | ND | ND |
| 100:30:80 | 0.99±0.01$^a$ | 0.07±0.00$^d$ | 0.06±0.00$^g$ | 0.67±0.00$^b$ | ND | ND |
| 100:50:50 | 0.41±0.01$^c$ | 0.08±0.00$^c$ | 0.07±0.00$^g$ | 0.44±0.01$^c$ | ND | ND |
| 100:50:80 | 0.35±0.01$^c$ | 0.08±0.00$^b$ | 0.90±0.00$^d$ | 0.39±0.00$^d$ | ND | 0.01±0.00$^a$ |
| 70:50:80 | 0.11±0.0$^{ef}$ | ND | 1.90±0.10$^a$ | 0.30±0.00$^e$ | ND | ND |
| 7050:50 | 0.57±0.12$^b$ | 0.05±0.00$^e$ | 0.03±0.10$^g$ | 0.17±0.00$^{gh}$ | 0.01±0.00$^g$ | ND |
| 70:30:80 | 0.17±0.12$^{de}$ | ND | 1.10±0.10$^b$ | 0.11±0.00$^i$ | 0.01±0.00$^{gh}$ | ND |

*Results are Mean±SD of triplicate determinations. Results with different superscript along the same column are significantly different (P<0.05).BTp = Boiling Temperature (ºC); BT: Boiling Time (mins); DT: Dehydration Temperature (ºC). ND: Not detected.*

The saponin has a bitter taste when consumed and is common in secluded protein-rich food substrates; has reduced tastiness as well as causes decline in feed intake. It is however, noted that most of these toxicants are eliminated during processing/boiling.

The Phytate content ranged from 0.01-1.90 mg/g. The sample BDB5 had the highest value of 0.90 mg/g while sample BDC5 and BDB6 had the lowest value 0.03 mg/g. The results showed that sample BDC3, BDC4, BDC5, BDB1, BDB2, BDB3and BDB6 are not significantly ($P<0.05$) different (from each other while samples BDC1, BDC2, BDC6, BDB4, BDB5 and BDB7 are ($P<0.05$) significantly different from the other samples. This value falls within the range gotten from the studies conducted by Abdulrashid and Agwunobi [36]. High content of phytate in foods is of nutritional significance because phytate binds phosphorus and makes it unavailable to human and its presence lowers the availability of many other dietary minerals such as iron and zinc [37]. The level of phytate in cocoyam in this work appeared less than most of the plant leaves and therefore might be safer. Kaala [38] indicated that phytate causes more calcium binding than oxalate [38]. Phytates are the leading cause of anaemia and impaired immune system function [38]. The regression analysis showed that the boiling temperature had a negative effect on the linear factors and the boiling time and drying temperature had a positive effect on the linear factors. The interactive effect of boiling temperature and boiling time and boiling time, and drying temperature had a positive correlation on the phytate content while the boiling temperature and drying temperature had a negative correlation on the phytate content. The quadratic factors had a positive effect on the phytate content.

The alkaloid content ranged from 0.11-0.73 mg/g. The result showed that sample BDB1 had the highest value 0.73 mg/g while sample BDB7 was the lowest value of 0.11 mg/g. It was also observed that the sample BDC2, BDC4, BDC5 and BDC6 are not significantly different ($P<0.05$) from each other while samples BDC3, BDC5 andBDB6 are not also significantly different from each other. The regression analysis showed that the boiling temperature and the drying temperature had a positive effect on the alkaloids content while linear the boiling time had a negative effect on the alkaloid content. The interaction of the boiling temperature and boiling time as well as boiling temperature and drying temperature had negative interactive effect while the boiling time versus drying temperature had a positive interactive effect on the alkaloid contents. The quadratic factors had negative effect on the alkaloids contents.

The flavonoid content of the samples ranged from 0.01 mg/g to 0.04 mg/g. The result showed that samples BDC1 and BDC3 had the highest value 0.04 mg/g while the least value was 0.01 mg/g. Flavonoids were not detected in samples BDB1, BDB2, BDB3, BDB4 and BDB5 that could be attributed to the level of instrumentation used for analysis as processing conditions reduced the

level of flavonoids in the cocoyam varieties and due to the low level of flavonoids in Cross-river cocoyam, they were highly reduced during processing. According to Himeda et al. [39] and Wunlandari et al. [40], heat processing has effect of decreasing the functional properties of taro. Flavonoids are group of phenolic compounds which influence the radical scavenging; inhibition of hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes and also act as anti-inflammatory agent [41,42]. The biological properties of flavonoid, apart from its antioxidant properties include protection against aggregation, microbes, ulcers, hepatoxins, viruses and tumors [43,44]. The regression analysis showed that the boiling temperature and drying temperature had a negative effect on the flavonoids content while the boiling time had a positive effect on the flavonoids contents of cocoyam. The interactive effects of boiling temperature and boiling time and; boiling time versus drying temperature had negative correlation on the flavonoid content of the cocoyam samples while the interactive effect of the boiling temperature and drying temperature had a positive correlation. The quadratic factors had positive effects on the flavonoid contents ($P<0.05$).

The tannin content was negligible and was only found in some of the samples as 0.01 mg/g. Tannins have been reported to have anti-helminthic properties and anti-carcinogenic effects [10]. However, reports had revealed that higher intake of tannic acid has been associated with poor protein utilization, liver and kidney toxicity. The levels of tannins in cocoyam in this work appeared relatively low when compared to its high levels in some common vegetables being consumed as delicacies. Boiling, cooking and washing reduce the tannin content of vegetable since tannin is soluble in water. The results of the regression analysis showed that the linear variables positively influenced the tannin content of the samples. The interactive effect on the boiling temperature and boiling time as well as boiling time and drying temperature had a positive correlation of the tannin content of the cocoyam samples while the interactive effect of the boiling temperature versus drying temperature had a negative correlation on the tannin content of the samples. The quadratic factors had positive effect on the tannin content of the samples.

### 3.3 Functional Properties of Taro Species

The results of the functional properties of cocoyam samples are as shown in Table 4. The

results showed that water absorption capacity, bulk density, swelling index, oil absorption capacity and porosity ranged from 1.56-0.82%, 0.58- 2.98%, 1.60-3.35%, 1.30-1.67% and 0.30-0.76%, respectively. There was significant ($P<0.05$) differences in the functional properties of the samples as evaluated which were the different processing treatments given to the samples. Also, the water absorption capacities of Cross-river cocoyam were lower compared to Bendel cocoyam which received similar treatments. It was evident from the results obtained that increases in the processing variables led to increase in the water absorption capacities of the cocoyam samples. The bulk density of the samples differed significantly ($P<0.05$) among the samples.

It was observed that all the samples are significantly ($P<0.05$) different from each other. Increased drying increased the absorption capacity of flour [45]. Niba et al. [46] also stated that water absorption capacity is important in bulking and consistency of products as well as baking applications. The water absorption capacity is important in the development of ready to eat foods and high absorption capacity may assure product cohesiveness [47].

The bulk ranged from 0.58 mg/g-0.82 mg/g. The result from this study showed that sample BDB6 had the highest value 0.82 mg/g while sample BDB2had the lowest value 0.58 mg/g. BDC1 and BDB5 are not significantly different ($P<0.05$) from each other. Sample BDC1 and BDB3 are not significantly ($P>0.05)$ different from each other. Sample BDB3and BDC5 are not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from each other. Bulk density is an important factor for packaging considerations.

The swelling index analysis ranged from 1.54 to3.3 mg/g. The sample BDC5 had the highest content of the swelling index value 3.35% while sample BDB4 had the least value of 1.54%. It was observed that sample BDC1 and BDC7 are not significantly ($P>0.05$) different from each other. According to Ojinnaka et al. [48] cocoyam samples shows good swelling power when compared to other root crops as a result of the type of granules cocoyam starch has and it is highly digestible in nature. The results of the regression analysis showed that negative effect on the boiling temperature and boiling time had negative effects on the swelling index of the cocoyam samples while drying temperature had a positive effect ($P<0.05$) on the swelling index.

**Table 4. Functional properties**

| Runs BT$_p$:BT:DT | Water absorption capacity (mg/g) | Bulk density (mg/g) | Swelling index (mg/g) | Oil absorption capacity (mg/g) | Porosity (mg/g) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 100:30:50 | 2.91± 0.01[c] | 0.79±0.01[bc] | 1.90[h]±0.01 | 1.33±0.01[j] | 0.76±0.01[a] |
| 100:30:80 | 1.89± 0.00[k] | 0.71±0.00[g] | 2.30±0.10[e] | 1.67±0.01[a] | 0.64±0.01[d] |
| 100:50:50 | 2.01± 0.00[h] | 0.73±0.00[f] | 2.10±0.00[f] | 1.58±0.01[b] | 0.60±0.01[e] |
| 100:50:80 | 1.68± 0.01[m] | 0.72±0.00[g] | 1.78±0.00[i] | 1.54±0.01[c] | 0.57±0.01[f] |
| 70:50:80 | 1.98±0.01[i] | 0.73±0.00[f] | 3.35±0.00[a] | 1.51±0.01[d] | 0.54±0.01[g] |
| 70:50:50 | 2.77±0.01[d] | 0.77±0.01[d] | 2.91±0.00[b] | 1.47±0.01[e] | 0.75±0.01[a] |
| 70:30:80 | 1.56±0.01[n] | 0.67±0.01[j] | 1.86±0.01[h] | 1.44±0.01[f] | 0.72±0.01[b] |
| 100:30:50 | 2.19±0.01[g] | 0.69±0.01[h] | 1.97±0.01[g] | 1.40±0.01[g] | 0.68±0.01[c] |
| 100:30:80 | 2.45±0.02[f] | 0.58±0.02[k] | 2.37±0.01[d] | 1.35±0.01[i] | 0.56±0.01[f] |
| 100:50:50 | 3.01±0.01[a] | 0.78±0.00[bcd] | 1.66±0.00[j] | 1.32±0.01[j] | 0.44±0.01[h] |
| 100:50:80 | 2.98±0.01[b] | 0.75±0.00[e] | 1.54±0.00[l] | 1.30±0.01[k] | 0.35±0.01[j] |
| 70:50:80 | 2.66±0.01[e] | 0.77±0.00[cd] | 1.60±0.01[l] | 1.50±0.01[d] | 0.33±0.01[k] |
| 70:50:50 | 1.78±0.01[l] | 0.82±0.01[a] | 1.78±0.01[i] | 1.48±0.01[e] | 0.30±0.01[l] |
| 70:30:80 | 1.93±0.01[j] | 0.74±-0.06[b] | 2.53±0.00[c] | 1.38±0.01[h] | 0.37±0.01[i] |

*Results with different superscript along the same column are significantly different Results are Mean±SD of triplicate determinations*

The interactive effect of the boiling temperature and drying temperature as well as boiling time and drying temperature had a negative correlation while the interactive effect of the boiling temperature and boiling time had positive correlation. The quadratic factors had positive effects on the cocoyam samples. The results of the regression analysis showed that negative effect on the boiling temperature and boiling time had negative effects on the swelling index of the cocoyam samples while drying temperature had a positive effect (*P<0.05*) on the swelling index. The interactive effect of the boiling temperature and drying temperature as well as boiling time and drying temperature had a negative correlation. The oil absorption capacity of the cocoyam samples ranges from 1.33-1.67 mg/g. The results showed that sample BDC2 had the highest value 1.67 mg/g while sample BDC1 had the least value 1.33 mg/g. The samples BDC5 and BDB5 are not significantly (*P>0.05)* different from each other and sample BDC1and BDB3 are not significantly (*P<0.05*) different from each other. There were significant (*P<0.05)* differences in samples BDC2, BDC3, BDC4, BDC7, BDBI, BDB2, BDB4 andBDB7. Oil absorption capacity reflects the emulsifying capacity and the amount of oil that can be picked up by a sample. The major chemical component affecting oil absorption capacity is protein, which is composed of both hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts. Oil gives soft texture and good flavour to food. Therefore, the interactive effect of the boiling temperature and drying temperature and; boiling time and drying temperature had negative

correlation effect (*P<0.05)*) while the interactive effect of the boiling temperature and boiling time had a positive correlation on the oil absorption capacity of the samples. The quadratic factors had positive effect on the oil absorption capacity cocoyam samples.

The porosity of the samples ranged from 0.30-0.76 mg/g. The result showed that sample BDC1 had the highest of value 0.76 mg/g while sample BDB5 had the least value of 0.30 mg/g. From this research, sample BDC1 and BDC6 were not significantly (*P<0.05*) different from each other and Sample BDC4 and BDB2 are not significantly (*P>0.05)* different from each other. Sample BDC2, BDC3, BDC5, BDC7, BDB1, BDB3, BDB4, BDB5 and BDB7 are significantly different from the other samples (*P<0.05*). Porosity has an inverse relationship with bulk density due to decrease in porosity arising from an increase in bulk density of a material. The regression analysis on the boiling temperature and drying temperature on the samples had a positive effect on the linear factors while the boiling time had negative effect on the linear factors. The interactive effect had negative correlation on the porosity of the cocoyam samples. Also, the quadratic factors had a positive effect on the cocoyam samples.

## 3.4 Thermal Diffusivity

The result of thermal diffusivity in Table 5 of the cocoyam samples ranged from 0.20 m$^2$/s to 0.99 m$^2$/s. The result showed that sample BDB1 had

the highest value while sample BDC2 had the lowest value. Sample BDC1, BDC2, BDC3, BDC4, BDC5 and BDC6 are not significantly (*P<0.05*) different from each other while the samples BDC7, BDB1, BDB2, BDB3, BDB4 and BDB7 are not significantly (*P>0.05)* different from each other. The sample BDB6 is significantly (*P<0.05*) different from other samples of taro. The ease to which heat is transferred in food is important in the design of food storage, drying and refrigeration equipment and the calculation of process times in this equipment through the use of mathematical models that integrates chemical composition and temperature of the process.

## 3.5 Pasting Properties of Cocoyam Samples

The pasting properties of the cocoyam samples are shown in Table 6. The results showed that taro grown in Cross-river had more pasting temperatures compared to the variety grown in Bendel locality. The significance (*P<0.05*) difference in the pasting temperatures could be attributed to changes in the variety, growing conditions as well as the changes in the processing conditions. The same trend was observed in all the pasting properties considered.

Peak viscosity of cocoyam cultivars varied significantly (*P<0.05*) from 15.81to 10.88N/m$^{2.}$ The result showed that sample BDC1 had the highest value while the sample BDB7 had the least value. Peak viscosity, which shows the maximum swelling of the starch granule prior to disintegration, had also been described as the equilibrium point between swelling and breakdown of the granules [49]. Hoover [50] stated that granules with high peak viscosity have weaker cohesive forces within the granules than those with lower values and would disintegrate more easily. Falade and Okafor [51] showed that the peak viscosity and other physicochemical parameters, including amylose, amylopectin and granule sizes of the starches of the cocoyam cultivars varied significantly (*P<0.05).* The pasting properties are greatly influenced by plant source, starch content, interaction among the components, and testing conditions [49]. Breakdown viscosity measures the resistance to heat and shear.

Therefore, lower breakdown viscosity showed greater resistance which would be expected of flours with lower peak viscosities [49].

Setback defines the difference between the breakdown viscosity and the viscosity at 50°C, determines the tendency of starch to retrogradation. The setback values differed significantly (P<0.05) from 2.3 N/m$^2$ to 3.8 N/m$^2$. The higher the setback value, the lower the retrogradation during cooling and the lower the staling rate of the products made from the flour.

Final viscosity of the flours ranged from 15.10-17.90 N/m$^2$. This increase in the final viscosity could be due to the alignment of the chains of amylose in the starch [52].

### Table 5. Thermal property of cocoyam samples

| Runs BT$_p$:BT:DT | Thermal diffusivity (m$^2$s$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|
| 100:30:50 | 0.99±0.01[a] |
| 100:30:80 | 0.98±0.01[ab] |
| 100:50:50 | 0.96±0.01[b] |
| 100:50:80 | 0.95±0.01[bc] |
| 70:50:80 | 0.94±0.01[c] |
| 70:50:50 | 0.90±0.01[d] |
| 70:30:80 | 0.80±0.01[e] |
| 100:30:50 | 0.70±0.01[f] |
| 100:30:80 | 0.60±0.01[g] |
| 100:50:50 | 0.50±0.01[h] |
| 100:50:80 | 0.40±0.01[i] |
| 70:50:80 | 0.30±0.01[J] |
| 70:50:50 | 0.20±0.01[k] |
| 70:30:80 | 0.43±0.15[ijk] |

*Results are Mean± SD of triplicate determinations. Results with different superscript along the same column are significantly different (P<0.05). BTp = Boiling Temperature (0C); BT: Boiling Time (mins); DT: Dehydration Temperature (0C)*

**Table 6. Pasting properties of cocoyam**

| Runs | Pasting temp. ($^oC$) | Peak viscosity ($N/m^2$) | Peak time (mins) | Viscosity ($N/m^2$) | Final viscosity ($N/m^2$) | breakdown ($N/m^2$) | Setback from peak ($N/m^2$) | Setback after holding ($N/m^2$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BDC1 | 95±0.00[a] | 15.81±0.01[a] | 20.87±0.01[a] | 9.97±0.01[a] | 17.90±0.00[a] | 7.50±0.00[a] | 3.80±0.00[a] | 9.50±0.00[a] |
| BDC2 | 90±0.00[b] | 14.91±0.01[b] | 19.89±0.01[b] | 9.13±0.01[b] | 17.80±0.00[b] | 7.40±0.00[b] | 3.70±0.00[b] | 9.40±0.00[b] |
| BDC3 | 85±0.00[c] | 13.81±0.01[c] | 18.77±0.01[c] | 9.08±0.01[c] | 17.77±0.00[c] | 7.30±0.00[c] | 3.60±0.00[c] | 9.30±0.00[c] |
| BDC4 | 80±0.00[d] | 12.91±0.01[d] | 17.45±0.01[d] | 9.07[d]±0.01[cd] | 16.65±0.00[d] | 7.20±0.00[d] | 3.50±0.00[d] | 9.20±0.00[d] |
| BDC5 | 75±0.00[e] | 11.77±0.01[e] | 16.57±0.01[e] | 9.05±0.00[cde] | 17.45±0.00[e] | 7.10±0.00[e] | 3.40±0.00[e] | 9.00±0.00[e] |
| BDC6 | 70±0.00[f] | 10.71±0.01[f] | 15.34±0.01[f] | 9.04±0.00[de] | 17.40±0.00[f] | 7.00±0.00[f] | 3.30±0.00[f] | 8.80±0.00[f] |
| BDC7 | 65±0.00[g] | 9.33±0.01[g] | 14.27±0.01[g] | 9.03±0.00[e] | 17.30±0.00[g] | 6.90±0.00[g] | 3.20±0.00[g] | 8.70±0.00[g] |
| BDB1 | 60±0.00[h] | 12.88±0.01[h] | 13.22±0.01[h] | 9.02±0.00[e] | 17.10±0.00[h] | 6.80±0.00[h] | 3.10±0.00[h] | 8.60±0.00[h] |
| BDB2 | 55±0.00[i] | 13.71±0.01[i] | 12.34±0.01[i] | 8.05±0.00[f] | 17.00±0.00[i] | 6.70±0.00[i] | 3.00±0.00[i] | 8.50±0.00[i] |
| BDB3 | 50±0.00[j] | 12.31±0.01[j] | 11.23±0.01[j] | 8.04±0.00[fg] | 16.90±0.00[j] | 6.60±0.00[j] | 2.70±0.00[j] | 8.40±0.00[j] |
| BDB4 | 45±0.00[k] | 11.70±0.01[k] | 10.67±0.01[k] | 8.03±0.00[fg] | 16.80±0.00[k] | 6.50±0.00[k] | 2.60±0.00[k] | 8.30±0.00[k] |
| BDB5 | 40±0.00[l] | 12.59±0.01[l] | 9.57±0.01[l] | 8.02±0.00[fg] | 16.70±0.00[l] | 6.40±0.00[l] | 2.50±0.00[l] | 8.20±0.00 |
| BDB6 | 35±0.00[m] | 11.78±0.01[m] | 8.670.01[m] | 8.01±0.00[fg] | 16.50±0.00[m] | 6.30±0.00[m] | 2.40±0.00[m] | 8.10±0.00 |
| BDB7 | 30±0.00[n] | 10.88±0.01[n] | 7.87±0.01[n] | 8.00±0.00[g] | 15.10±0.00[n] | 6.00±0.00[n] | 2.30±0.00[n] | 7.00±0.00 |

*Results are Mean ±SD of triplicate determinations. Results with different superscript along the column are significantly different (P<0.05). BTp = Boiling Temperature (0C); BT: Boiling Time (mins); DT: Dehydration Temperature*

Pasting temperatures of the flours ranged from 30-95°C. Pasting temperature depends on the size of the starch granules in the flour; small starch granules are more resistant to rupture and loss of molecular order (Zeng et al., 2013). Therefore, sample BDC1 with higher pasting temperature was found to have smaller granular size.

The ability of starch to imbibe water and swell is primarily dependent on the pasting temperature. Pasting properties indicate the tendency to form paste, the higher the pasting temperature, the faster the tendency for paste to be formed. Starch granules swell and form paste by imbibing water in the presence of water and heat [53].

Peak time of the of cocoyam flour samples ranged from 7.87-20.87 mins. This is to be expected as high peak times characterize low swelling starch granules in the flour. After peak paste viscosity, the samples showed differences in their patterns of pasting properties which can be grouped to predict the cooking and other food utilization properties of the cultivars [51]. However, pasting behaviours that result from interactions between starch and non-starch components (e.g. as in sorghum) or high-amylose starches may not change by increasing starch concentrations [54]. Also, surface lipids and proteins, rehydration time, method of sample preparation (e.g. milling and particle size),

presence of impurities, pH, type of cultivar, and presence of endogenous enzymes can affect starch swelling, pasting and gelatinization properties [55-57].

## 3.6 Moisture-Sorption Characteristics of Taro Species

The result of moisture-sorption characteristics of cocoyam in Figs. 2,3 showed the adsorption and desorption isotherms of taro flour at 18, 25 and 35°C respectively. The data points for each adsorption and desorption curve were generated by the ease with which water is imbibed after rehydration during the measurement of sorption isotherms. The moisture contents of taro flour (% dry basis) measured before the isotherm testing were 7.82 ± 0.10, 6.01± 0.12 and 5.63±0.03% for 18, 25 and 35°C, respectively. The moisture-sorption isotherms had sigmoid-shape profiles for all of the three temperatures which were similar with yam flour [58]. The hysteresis effect at the three temperatures was distinctly expressed. The increasing temperatures resulted in less hysteresis effect on taro flour which meant the adsorption and desorption curves were closer. The behavior reflects that of a non- Newtonian behavior, there is therefore the tendency for the different flour samples to rehydrate at varying temperatures and thus exhibited different moisture-sorption characteristics.



**Fig. 2. Equilibrium moisture-sorption content at different temperatures**

**Fig. 3. Moisture-sorption isotherm characteristics of cocoyam flour**

## 4. CONCLUSION

This study has shown the comparison of proximate, antinutrients, functional, pasting, thermal diffusivity and moisture sorption characteristics of taro varieties bought in Eke Awka market, Anambra state which was produced in Bende state (Bendel variety) and one bought in Ogbete market, Enugu State (Cross-River cocoyam). All the samples indicated low levels of crude fiber which ranged from 0.43-0.77% and low crude fat that ranged from o.42-0.92%. Bendel cocoyam has the highest crude protein, ash content and moisture content while cross river cocoyam had the highest carbohydrate. The anti-nutrients found in the taro species were relatively low. The results obtained showed that the two varieties had different thickening capabilities at different temperatures. The cross-river cocoyams were found to have higher pasting temperatures and time compared to Bendel cocoyam variety. Furthermore, the Bendel cocoyam (taro) with the 100:50:50 (BDB3) processing treatment had the best acceptable properties due to the fact that food processors expects a thickner that would absorb more water and had low oil absorption capacity. The sample was also moderately dense; can swell moderately and had no observable tannin and flavonoids. It can be concluded that the processing techniques used in the production of cocoyam flour had a significant (*P<0.05*) effect on the proximate characteristics as well as the anti-nutritional factors particularly, oxalate content. We can conclude that Bendel cocoyam had the most soup thickening effect than Cross River cocoyam.

## DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Ejoh SI, Obatolu VA, Olanipekun OT,Farinde EO. Extending the use of an underutilised tuber I: Physicochemical and pasting properties of cocoyam (Xanthosoma sagittifolium) flour and its suitability for making biscuits. Africa J of Food Sci. 2013(7):264-273.
   DOI: 10.5897/AJFS12.111.

2. Brown AC, Ibrahim SA, Song D. *Poi* history, uses, and role in health. In: Watson RR, Preedy VR, editors. Fruits, vegetables, and herbs. San Diego (CA): Academic Press. 2016;331–342.
   DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802972-5.00016-0

3. Si H, Zhang N, Tang X, Yang J, Wen, Y, Wang, L, Zhou X. Transgenic Research in Tuber and Root Crops: A Review. In: Rout GR, Peter KV editors. Genetic Engineering of Horticultural Crops. San Diego (CA): Academic Press. 2018:225-248.
   DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-810439-2.00011-8

4. Nabeshima EH, Moro TMA, Campelo PH, Sant'Ana AS, Clerici MTPS. Tubers and roots as a source of prebiotic fibers. In: Adv in Food and Nutr Res. 2020(94): 267–293.
   Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.afnr.2020.06.005.

5. Alabi AO, Awotunde JM, Adekunle AA, Adeoye AS. Approaches for Improving Cocoyam Production and Utilization Among Rural Farmers in Ogun and Oyo State, Nigeria. J of Biol, Agric and Healthcare, 2019(9)4: 20-27.
   Available:https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JBAH/article/viewFile/50670/52363.

6. Food and Agricultural Organization Database Results; 2006.
   Available:www.fao.org.

7. Amandikwa C. Proximate and functional properties of open air, solar and oven dried Cocoyam flour. International J of Agric and Rural Dev. 2012:15(2): 988-994.

8. Kregiel D, Berlowska J, Witonska I, Antolak H, Proestos C, Babic M, Babic L, Zhang B. Saponin-Based, Biological-Active Surfactants from Plants. In: Najjar, R. , editor, Application and Characterization of Surfactants. London, United Kingdom: Intech Open, 2017.
   DOI: 10.5772/68062.

9. Hifnawy MS, Aboseada MA, Hassan HM, Tohamy AF, El Naggar EMB, Abdelmohsen UR. Nature-inspired male contraceptive and spermicidal products. Phytochem Rev. 2020;20:797–843.
   Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-020-09721-5.

10. Ocheja JO, Aduku AO, Ayoade JA, Lalab BC. Effects of steaming and soaking on chemical composition of cashew nutshell: implication for ruminant animal nutrition. Pak.J of Nut. 2013(12)7:683-687.
    DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2013.683.687.

11. Sahu P, Biswal M, Mishra N. Use of underutilized green leafy vegetables as food nutrition and ethnobotanical among rural community of Odisha: A review. Int J of Chem Stu. 2020;(8)5:851-859.
    DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i5l.10405.

12. Oyugi JO. Analysis of Proximate, Micronutrients and Determination Of Phytochemicals in Selected Medicinal Plants in Mbita-Homabay County. A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Degree of Master of Science (Chemistry) in the School of Pure and Applied Sciences of Kenyatta University.
    Available:https://irlibrary.ku.ac.ke/bitstream/handle/123456789/15296/Analysis%20of%20proximate,%20micronutrients%20and.pdf?sequence=1, 2016.

13. Humphrey GC. Evaluation of biological activity of alkaloids and flavanoids in Zanthoxylum gilletii (de wild waterman) extracts from different geographical regions in Kenya. A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of masters of science (biotechnology) in the School of Pure and Applied Sciences, Kenyatta University. 2011.
    Available:https://irlibrary.ku.ac.ke/bitstream/handle/123456789/3401/Humphrey%20Gaya%20Cyprian%20.pdf;sequence=3.

14. Achilonu M, Shale K, Arthur, G, Naidoo K, Mbatha M. Phytochemical Benefits of Agroresidues as Alternative Nutritive Dietary Resource for Pig and Poultry Farming. J of Chem. 2018(15).
    Available:https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1035071.

15. Shi J, Arunasalam K, Yeung D, Kakuda Y, Mittal G, Jiang Y. Saponins from edible legumes chemistry, processing and health benefit. J Med Food. 2004;7(1):67-78.
    DOI: 10.1089/109662004322984734.

16. AOAC. Official Methods of Food Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists.18th Edition. Washington DC, U. S. A; 2010.

17. Sefa-Dedeh, Kofi-Agyir. Chemical composition and the effect of processing on the oxalate content of cocoyam Xanthosoma sagittifolium and Colocasia esculenta cormels. Food Chem. 2014; 4 (85):479-487. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(02)00244-3.

18. Onwuka GI. Food analysis and instrumentation, theory and practice 2nd Edn. Naphtali prints, Umuahia, Nigeria. 2018:179-381. Available:https://www.amazon.com/Food-Analysis-Theory-Practice-4Ed/dp/9386800667.

19. Bohm BA, Koupai-Abayzani R. Flavonoids and condensed Tannins from leaves of Hawaiian Vaccinium Reticulatum and V. Calycinum (Ericaceae). Pacific Sci. 2004:48(4):458-463. Available:https://scholarspace.manoa.haw aii.edu/bitstream/10125/2298/v48n4-458-463.pdf.

20. AOAC. Official Methods of food analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (18th Edition), Washington DC, USA; 2019.

21. Awolu OO. Optimization of the functional characteristics, pasting and rheological properties of pearl millet-based composite flour. Heliyon. 2017(3):e00240. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2017.e00240.

22. Sopa C, Cumnueng W, Thavachai T, Juntanee U. Jatuphong V. Effects of temperature and concentration on thermal properties of cassava starch solutions. Songklanakarin J Sci Technol. 2008;3(30):405-411. Available:https://doaj.org/article/bc8558034 5a9429c8eff18f757136120.

23. BellLN, Labuza TP. Moisture sorption practical: Aspects of Isotherm Measurement and use, 2nd Edn. American Asso.of Cereal Chem. 2000;(56):49-52. Available:https://www.amazon.com/Moistur e-Sorption-Practical-Isotherm-Measurement/dp/1891127187.

24. Akpan EJ, Umoh IB. Effect of heat and tetracycline treatments on the food quality and acridity factors in cocoyam (Xanthosoma sagittifolium .L.). Pak J of Nutr. 2004;(3):240-243. DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2004.240.243.

25. Ndabikunze HL, Talwana RJ. Proximate and mineral composition of cocoyam (Colocasia esculenta L.) Schott grown along the lake Victoria Basin in Tanzania and Uganda. Afri.J of Food Sci. 2011(5)4:248-254. Available:http://erepo.usiu.ac.ke/bitstream/ handle/11732/4515/Proximate%20and%20 mineral%20composition%20of%20cocoya m.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.

26. Lola A. The effect of boiling on the nutrients and antinutrients in two non conventional vegetables. Pak. J of Nut. 2009(8):1430-1433. DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2009.1430.1433.

27. Khor YP, Hew KS, Abas F, Lai OM, Cheong LZ, Nehdi IA, Sbihi HM, Gewik MM, Tan CP. Oxidation and Polymerization of Triacylglycerols: In-Depth Investigations towards the Impact of Heating Profiles. Foods (2019)8: 3-15. DOI:10.3390/foods8100475.

28. Shewry PR. Tuber storage proteins. Ann Bot. 2003;7(91):755–769. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcg084.

29. Otekunrin OA, Sawicka B, Adeyonu AG, Otekunrin OA, Rachoń L. Cocoyam (Colocasia esculenta (L.) Schott]: Exploring the Production, Health and Trade Potentials in Sub-Saharan Africa. Sust. 2021(13):4483. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/su130844 83.

30. Ndon BA, Ndulaka NH, Ndaeyo NU. Stabilization of yield parameters and some nutrient components in cocoyam cultivars in Uyo, southern Nigeria. Global J of Agric. Sci. 2003(2)2:74-78. Available:https://www.ajol.info/index.php/gj ass/article/download/2209/10984.

31. Tekle A. The effect of blend proportion and baking condition on the quality of cookies made from Taro and wheat flour blend. A thesis submitted to the School of Graduate studies of Addis Ababa University in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science in Food Science and Nutrition. 2009(23): 389-393. Available:http://213.55.95.56/bitstream/han dle/123456789/8452/Abinet%20Tekle.pdf? sequence=1&isAllowed=y

32. Boloso I, Adane T, Shimelis A, Negussie R,Haki G. Effect of processing method on the proximate content and anti-nutritional factors of taro (Colocasia esculenta L.) Schott grown in Ethiopia. African J of

Food, Agric, Nutri and Dev.2013(13):7383-7398.
Available:https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ajfand/article/download/87463/77154.

33. Huang CC, Chen WC, Wang CCR. Comparison of Taiwan paddy and upland cultivated taro (*Colocasiaesculenta* L) cultivars for nutritive values. Food Chem. 2007(102):250-256.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.04.044.

34. Buntha P, Borin K, Preston T, Ogle B. Survey of taro varieties and their use in selected areas of Cambodia. Livestock Res for Rural Dev. 2008;(20):2314-2320.
Available:http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd20/supplement/bunt1.htm.

35. Awkaowo EU, NdonBA,Etuk EU. Mineral and antinutrients in flutted pumpkin (*Telfaria occidentalis* Hook f.). J of food Chem.2000 (70): 235-740.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(99)00207-1.

36. Abdulrashid M, Agwunobi LN.Tannia (Xanthosoma sagittifolium) cocoyam as a dietary substitute for maize in broiler chicken. Greener J Agric Sci. 2012(2):167-171.
DOI: 10.15580/GJAS.2012.5.08181244.

37. Siddhuraju P, Becker K. Effect of various domestic processing methods on antinutrients, in vitro-protein and starch digestibility of two varieties of Indian pulses, mucuna pruries varieties Var. Utilis. J of Agric. and Food Chem. 2001(49):3058-3067.
DOI: 10.1021/jf001453q.

38. Kaala TDE. Plants bite back: Natural anti-nutrients in plant foods. J of Nutri. 2010;(17):497-501.

39. Himeda M, Njintang YN, Gaiani C, Nguimbou RM, Scher J, Facho B, Mbofung CM. Physicochemical and thermal properties of taro (*Colocasia esculenta sp)* powders as affected by state of maturity and drying method. J Food Sci Technol. 2014;9(51):1857-65.
DOI: 10.1007/s13197-012-0697-9. Epub 2012 Apr 21. PMID: 25190840; PMCID: PMC4152527.

40. Wulandari E, Marta H, Lembong E, Ariela F. The Study of Functional and Pasting Properties of Taro "SEMIR" (*Colocasia esculenta* L. Schott) Starch Modified by Various Thermal Process. J of Powder Tech and Adv Func Matrl. 2018;1:36-47.

Available:https://doi.org/10.29253/jptafm.1.1.2018.6.

41. Rashid MI, Fareed, MI, Rashid H, Aziz H, Ehsan, N, Khalid S, Ghaffar I, Ali R, Gul A, Hakeem KR. Flavonoids and Their Biological Secrets. In: Ozturk, M., Hakeem, K. (eds) Plant and Hum Health. 2019(2):579-605. Springer, Cham.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03344-6_24.

42. Gonçalves S, Romano A. Inhibitory Properties of Phenolic Compounds Against Enzymes Linked with Human Diseases. In: Soto-Hernandez, M. , Palma-Tenango, M. , Garcia-Mateos, M. d. R. , editors. Phenolic Compounds - Biological Activity. London: Intech Open; 2017.
Available:https://www.intechop

43. Ekalu A, Habila JD. Flavonoids: isolation, characterization, and health benefits. Beni-Suef Univ J Basic Appl Sci. 2020(9):45.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s43088-020-00065-9.

44. Zhang W, Lian Y, Li Q, Sun L, Chen R, Lai X, Lai Zhaoxiang, Yuan E, Sun S. Preventative and Therapeutic Potential of Flavonoids in Peptic Ulcers. Mol. 2020:4626.
DOI:10.3390/molecules25204626.

45. Hayta M, Alpaslan M, Bayer AD. Effect of drying on functional properties of Tarhana-a wheat flour-yogurt mixture. J of Food Sci 2002;2(67):740-744.
DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2002.tb10669.x.

46. Niba LL, Bokanga M, Jackson FI,Schlimme DS, Li BW. Physiochemical properties and starch granular characteristics flour from various Manihot esculenta (Cassava) genotypes. J of Food Sci. 2006;5(67):1701-1705.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2002.tb08709.x.

47. Houson P, Ayenor GS. Appropriate processing and food functional properties of maize flour. Africa J of Sci. and Technol. 2002(3):121-126.
Available:https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=AJ2013000019.

48. Ojinnaka MC, Akobundu ENT, Iwe MO. Cocoyam Starch Modification Effects on Functional, Sensory and Cookies Qualities. Pakistan J of Nutr. 2009;4(8):558-567.
DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2009.558.567.

49. Liu C, Liang R, Dai T, Ye J, Zeng Z, Luo S, Chen J. Effect of dynamic high pressure microfluidization modified insoluble dietary

fiber on gelatinization and rheology of rice starch. Food Hydroc. 2016(57):55-61. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2016.01.015.

50. Hoover R. Composition, molecular structure, and physicochemical properties of tuber and root starches: A review. Carbohydr Polym. 2001(45):253–267. DOI: 10.1016/S0144-8617(00)00260-5.

51. Falade KO, Okafor CA. Physical, functional, and pasting properties of flours from corms of two Cocoyam (Colocasia esculenta and Xanthosoma sagittifolium) cultivars. J Food Sci Technol 2015(52)6: 3440–3448. doi: 10.1007/s13197-014-1368-9.

52. Flores-Farias F, Martinez-Bustos Y, Salinas-Moreno YK, Chang JS, Hernandez, Rios, E. Physicochemical and rheological characteristics of commercial nixtamalised Mexican corn flours for tortillas. J Sci Food Agric. 2000(80):657–664. Available:https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(20000501)80:6%3C657::AID-JSFA576%3E3.0.CO;2-J.

53. Rincon AM, Padilla FC. Physicochemical properties of breadfruit (*Artocarpus altilis*) starch from Margarita island, Venezuela. Arch Latinoam Nutr. 2004(8):95–97. Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15969272/. PMID: 15969272.

54. Waramboi JG, Dennien S, Gidley MJ, Sopade PA. Characterization of sweet potato from Papua New Guinea and Australia: Physicochemical, pasting and gelatinization properties. Food Chem 2011(126): 1759-1770. PMID: 25213955. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.12.077.

55. Chen J-j, Lai VM-F, Lii C-Y. Effects of Compositional and Granular Properties on the Pasting Viscosity of Rice Starch Blends. Star. 2003(55)5: 203-212. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/star.200390039

56. Chen B, Zhang B, Li M-Na, Xie Y, Chen H-Q. Effects of glutenin and gliadin modified by protein-glutaminase on pasting, rheological properties and microstructure of potato starch. Food Chem 2018(253): 148-155. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.155.

57. Mahasukhonthachat K, Sopade PA, Gidley MJ. Kinetics of starch digestion and functional properties of twin-screw extruded sorghum. J of Cereal Sci. 2010;3(51):392-401. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2010.02.008.

58. Oyelade OJ, Tunde-Akintunde TY, Igbeka JC. Predictive equilibrium moisture content equations for yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*, Poir) flour and hysteresis phenomena under practical storage conditions. J of Food Engr. 2008;2(87):229-235. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2007.11.036.

---

*Peer-review history:*
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*
*https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86250*