

Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports

16(6): 13-20, 2022; Article no.AJARR.86315 ISSN: 2582-3248

# Transfer Factor of Selected Radionuclides from Soil to Plant in Selected Dump Site of Obio/Akpor and Ikwerre Local Government Areas of Rivers State

C. D. Anyalebechi<sup>a\*</sup>, O. L. Gbarato<sup>a</sup> and C. P. Ononugbo<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Natural and Applied Science, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria. <sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJARR/2022/v16i630476

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86315

> Received 20 February 2022 Accepted 30 April 2022 Published 21 May 2022

**Original Research Article** 

## ABSTRACT

Indiscriminate dumping of municipal and industrial wastes in our environment has increased human exposure to hazardous substances which is detrimental to their health. In this research, radionuclide transfer factor was ascertained from crops planted near major dump sites in Rivers State. Samples of food crops and soil near the dumpsites were collected for gamma spectroscopy. The average activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th in soil are 115.87 ± 17.01 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>,  $31.54\pm7.13$  Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> and  $18.77\pm6.02$  Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>. While in crop are  $403.46\pm13.06$  Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>,  $9.54\pm4.02$  and  $22.24\pm5.11$ . In soil, all the radionuclide values were all within the world average value and in crop, the values were also within the world average value except for <sup>40</sup>K that was more prevalent in crop and exceeds the world average value of 400Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>. The activity concentration of radionuclides <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th for transfer factor are 8.187667Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.231667Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> and 2.144333Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively with potassium having the highest mean transfer factor which may be due to its higher accumulation in crop. Based on this finding, cassava products cultivated in dumpsites are not radiologically free and safe for human consumption.

Keywords: Transfer factor; specific activity; dumpsites.

\*Corresponding author: Email: anyalebechi24@gmail.com;

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Our environment and its compartments have been severely contaminated by natural radionuclide, which has potential to affect the wellbeing of man existence. Even humans carry naturally occurring radioactive materials within their bodies [1]. Natural radioactivity and its corresponding gamma radiation exposure and demonstrate geological geographical dependence. Such materials exist at varying levels in different regions worldwide [2]. Primordial isotopes of  $^{226}$  Ra,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ k are the main sources of external radiation on earth; [3]. These radionuclides, along with essential nutrients, may be absorbed from the soil via plant roots and transported to other parts of the plant. The presence of radioactivity in the edible parts of crops causes human internal exposure to<sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th which are radiotoxic elements, whereas <sup>40</sup>K is both radiotoxic and nutritionally important [4]. Natural exposures arise mainly from the primordial radionuclides which are distributed widely and are present in almost all geological materials in the earth's environment. These radionuclides are known as naturally occurring radioactive material 'NORM'. The majority of naturally occurring radionuclide originates from <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th decay series. These radionucles have ionizing properties and they can be found in soil, ambient air food crops and so on. The most common radiation exposure to which all individuals are exposed, can occur in both in public places and in working environment due to radionuclides in the earth's environment and the interaction of cosmic ravs in the earth's atmosphere (atm). According to NCRP (National Council on Radiation Protection, 1998), the most significant source of radiation exposure to man is from the natural radiation in the environment.

There is always a need to have baseline background level information about natural radionuclides and the radiological impact of radionuclides released in the environment, which is usually predicted by mathematical models in which the transfer of radionuclides from soil to the plant is described with the transfer factor most commonly are <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, The encountered (TF). <sup>232</sup>Th. their decay radionuclides are products and<sup>40</sup>K. It is important to understand the behavior of natural radionuclides in the environment (distribution pathways, mobility, transfers, etc.) because the information can be used as a natural analogue for the long-term behavior of materials, processes in developing and testing models, and in obtaining the

associated parameter values appropriate for radiological performance assessments [5].

Many studies on food contamination by radionuclides in the environment and their transfer or pathway mechanism to plant, animals, and human population have been reported. Considerable efforts are being made by many researchers in many parts of the world to measure the activity of radionuclides in the food chain and to estimate the soil-plant transfer.

Interactions between radionuclides and plants are very complicated and depend on many factors such as type and shape of plants, soil characteristics, behavior of radionuclides, climatic conditions, etc.

In many states across the country, wastes are usually burnt outdoors and ashes are poorly disposed at dump-site, the process destroys the organic components and causes the oxidation of metals. The ashes from the burnt waste are enriched with metal, which results in pollution of the present environment/Soil [6].

Following the fact that food is one of the most important needs of man and the increasing world population has become a threat to global food security. The need to increase food production therefore arises to ensure food security for the growing world population.

Just like the rest of the world, Nigeria's population is increasing and there is also the need to increase availability of food by increasing the rate of food production that is free from unnecessary exposure to radiation. Cassava as a tuber is one of the staple food for over one billion (1,000,000,000) people in the developing world, [7]. In Nigeria, it appears to be the major staple food that matches population growth.

Cassava is a tropical, perennial plant with an dibble root serving as a major source of carbohydrates in human diet as a vegetable and is considered to be toxic in raw form therefore it must be cooked before being consumed (FAO, 2010). Cassava originated from tropical America and was first introduced in Africa in the Congo basin by the Portuguese around 1558. Cassava has been the major source of the production of dry chips, used as animal feed, ethanol production, with more secondary products like textile, soft drinks adhesives etc. starch making which is used in the production of biscuits, bread custard powder, baby food etc. These products

are highly commercialized. Generally, Africans depends much on root and tuber crops more than other Continents in feeding its population, it is processed in several forms in Nigeria such as garri (for making eba popular food in Nigeria), fufu, tapioca, (African salad) served with nuts and coconut [7].

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Study Area

The study area lies within two Local Government Areas in Rivers State, which are Obio/akpor and Ikwerre Local government Area respectively and the study locations are indicated in Fig. 1.

The study area has a land mass of 260km<sup>2</sup> and at 2006 census held a population of 464,789. Obio/Akpor LGA is bounded by Port Harcourt local government area to the south, Oyigbo and Eleme to the west, Ikwerre and Etch local government area to the north and Emohua to the west which is located between latitudes 4°45'N and 4°60N and longitude 6°50'E and 8°00'E.

For Ikwerre LGA, the area is bounded by Etche local government area by the east, Obio/Akpor local government area by the south, Emohua local government area by the west, and Ohaji /Egbema local government area in Imo State at the north. Ikwerre local government area is located between latitudes 4°50'N and 5°15'N with longitude of 6°30'E and 7°15E.



Fig. 1. Map of the study area showing the sample locations

#### 2.2 Samples Collection and Preparation

Total of sixty (60) sample (30 soil and 30 cassava) were collected from selected refuse dump sites in Ignatius Ajuru University of Education (IAUE), Port Harcourt in Obio/Akpor Local Government Area, Aluu and Igwuruta in Ikwerre Local Government Area all in Rivers State.

30 samples of soil were collected from same points as the corresponding crops using a hand trowel, from the refuse dumpsites all in Rivers State, at 20cm depth according [8]. After its collection, the soil were air-dried for 3-5 weeks, pulverized to powdery form and sieved through a 2mm mesh and The sample were weighed to 200g of each soil and packed in special air tight polyethylene plastic containers and closed (tightly) sealed using masking –tape for 28 days to give room for secular equilibrium.

30 samples of cassava were collected from the three (3) study area (IAUE, Aluu and Igwuruta). The samples were peeled and washed with pipeborne water to remove the dust and mud content from it. The tubers samples were chopped and sun- dried for about three (3) weeks, pulverized in powdered form to achieve homogeneity and then package in an air-tight polyethylene materials for 28 days to achieve secular equilibrium all the samples weighted in an average value of 200g (0.2kg). The soil and tuber samples where then taken to the Nigeria Nuclear Regulatory Agency in university of Ibadan for Gamm-Ray spectroscopy [9].

#### 2.3 Sample Analysis

The transfer Factor (TF) is defined as the ratio of the activity concentration in a plant part (Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) to the activity concentration in soil (Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) [8]. It is calculated following a simple model which is also recommended by International Union of Radioecology (IUR) and its standardize root location of 10-20cm in order to deal with the soil depth variability [10].

1.0  

$$TF = \frac{A_P B_q k g^{-1}}{A_s B_q k g^{-1}}$$
Where;

Ap = Activity concentration of radionuclide (isotopes) of plant (Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>dry weight). As = Activity Concentration of radionuclides (Isotopes) of soil (Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>dry weight)

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result for the concentration of specific activity of radionuclide of <sup>40</sup>K, <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>32</sup>Th in cassava tuber samples are presented in Table 1. The activity concentration due <sup>40</sup>K ranged from 25.33±2.12 to 839.40±66.72 with its mean value of  $9.54 \pm 4.02$  Bkkg<sup>-1</sup> and the concentration of <sup>32</sup>Th varies between  $1.20 \pm 0.12$  to  $47.99 \pm 4.95$ with its mean value of  $22.24\pm5.11$  Bgkg<sup>-1</sup>. The result obtained is less than the work reported by Ononugbo et al., [6]. The result for the concentration of specific activity of radionuclide of <sup>40</sup>K, U<sup>238</sup> and <sup>232</sup>Th in soil samples are presented in Table 2. The activity concentration due  $^{40}$ K ranged from 0 to 533.20 ± 4.55 Bgkg<sup>-1</sup> with its mean value of  $115.87 \pm 17.01$  Bgkg<sup>-1</sup>. When compared with the world standard, it is below its permissible limit of 400.00 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>. The concentration of <sup>238</sup>U in soil ranged from 0 to 68.98±18.70 with its mean value of 31.54±7.13 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> It shows increase in the level of <sup>238</sup>U which could be due to indiscriminate dumping of different types of waste but may not cause immediate health effect. Concentration of <sup>232</sup>Th in soil sample lies between  $2.73 \pm 0.40$  to  $77.73 \pm 8.43$  with mean value of  $18.77 \pm 6.02$ Baka respectively, which is below the permissible limits of 35.00 Bgkg<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that there will be no potential radiological risk. The results obtained, is above that related by Avwiri and Agbalagba [10].

The Transfer Factor (TF) is the ration that depicts the quantity of radionuclides expected to enter the crop from soil [10]. The transfer factors are recorded in table 3 for potassium uranium and thorium, the transfer factor ranged from 0.00-33.809, 0800-3.742 and 0.044-7.944 respectively with its means concentration of 7.194, 0.243 and 2.527 respectively. These values are all above the recommended IAEA value for 0.04 and 0.05 for potassium, uranium and thorium respectively. This implies that the crop (cassava) cultivated in dumpsites are not radiologically safe for consumption and may pose health threat due to the high level of transfer factor of the aforementioned radionuclides. The high value of transfer factor for potassium may be due to its adaptability of plant to environmental measures [11]. It may also be enhanced by the unnecessary disposal of refuse of different kinds within the dumpsites [11] to [14].

S/N	Sample Code	<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th
1	ALUU CAS 01	564.30±44.75	BDL	13.20 ± 1.40
2	ALUU CAS 02	594.33±47.13	BDL	1.20 ± 0.12
3	ALUU CAS 03	432.62±34.50	BDL	47.99 ± 4.92
4	ALUU CAS 04	523.23±41.80	BDL	34.32 ± 3.54
5	ALUU CAS 05	680.22±54.11	BDL	36.40 ± 3.77
6	ALUU CAS 06	839.40±66.72	BDL	3.49 ± 0.36
7	ALUU CAS 07	326.90±26.40	BDL	18.32 ± 1.92
8	ALUU CAS 08	311.02±21.9	27.23±1.23	28.50± 2.32
9	ALUU CAS 09	452.01±21.09	25.33± 3.20	23.15±0.34
10	ALUU CAS 10	253.24±20.12	43.22±2.71	22.51±2.18
11	IAUE CAS 01	217.27±23.15	28.45±2.36	7.26±0.29
12	IAUE CAS 02	207.28±2.35	40.12±2.34	8.91±0.37
13	IAUE CAS 03	222.31±17.97	BDL	18.18 ± 1.89
14	IAUE CAS 04	210.20±16.94	BDL	26.13 ± 2.71
15	IAUE CAS 05	752.10±59.85	BDL	16.93 ± 1.75
16	IAUE CAS 06	276.22±22.50	16.16±3.80	8.10 ± 0.83
17	IAUE CAS 07	348.31±28.20	BDL	24.84 ± 2.60
18	IAUE CAS 08	399.90±32.21	BDL	21.13 ± 2.20
19	IAUE CAS 09	218.99±18.03	6.97±1.64	47.99 ± 4.95
20	IAUE CAS 10	210.72±12.10	2.34±0.25	28.22±1.8
21	IGU CAS 01	640.41±50.80	BDL	9.66 ± 1.01
22	IGU CAS 02	543.20±21.03	47.29±2.88	12.32±2.08
23	IGU CAS 03	547.60±43.76	BDL	10.70 ± 1.11
24	IGU CAS 04	310.20±25.10	35.63 ± 8.40	12.91 ± 1.34
25	IGU CAS 05	429.81±34.60	6.35 ± 1.52	$44.90 \pm 4.60$
26	IGU CAS 06	640.41±50.80	BDL	9.66 ± 1.01
27	IGU CAS 07	187.63±15.32	BDL	34.9 ± 3.60
28	IGU CAS 08	25.33±2.12	BDL	11.32 ± 1.20
29	IGU CAS 09	436.82±35.24	BDL	54.84 ± 5.70
30	IGU CAS 10	302.01±23.19	7.28±2.16	32.42±3.18
	Average	403.46±13.06	9.54±4.02	22.24±5.11

Table 1. Specific activity concentration of radionuclide in crop samples

## Table 2. Specific activity concentration of radionuclides in soil samples

S/N	Sample Code	<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th
1	ALUU SOIL 01	BDL	2.92 ± 0.70	4.32 ± 0.43
2	ALUU SOIL 02	32.80±2.53	9.82 ± 2.30	2.90 ± 0.30
3	ALUU SOIL 03	50.32±3.81	9.80 ± 2.10	7.50 ± 0.73
4	ALUU SOIL 04	291.30±22.04	8.40 ± 1.80	4.32 ± 0.42
5	ALUU SOIL 05	27.44±3.05	50.04±14.10	12.71 ± 1.55
6	ALUU SOIL 06	64.50±6.82	19.37±5.51	77.73 ± 8.43
7	ALUU SOIL 07	127.88±12.81	13.52±3.78	8.00 ± 1.01
8	ALUU SOIL 08	129.92±13.60	28.15±7.66	62.76 ± 7.08
9	ALUU SOIL 09	272.86±2183	BDL	29.74 ± 3.06
10	ALUU SOIL 10	89.35±2.66	43.22±3.26	37.22±6.15
11	IAEU SOIL 01	19.01±2.13	29.65±8.50	53.72 ± 6.11
12	IAEU SOIL 02	224.60±17.30	BDL	2.92 ± 0.30
13	IAEU SOIL 03	283.10±21.41	11.70 ±2.51	4.10 ±0.40
14	IAUE SOIL 04	81.50±8.33	53.40 ± 14.23	21.64 ± 2.64
15	IAEU SOIL 05	56.40±6.10	84.97±23.98	2.73 ±0.40
16	IAEU SOIL 06	8.17±0.92	61.50±16.20	13.40±1.65
17	IAEU SOIL 07	160.90±16.26	62.30±17.50	13.40 ± 1.62
18	IAEU SOIL 08	37.25±4.20	62.44±16.54	33.10 ± 3.83
19	IAUE SOIL 09	147.83±15.65	28.93±7.73	50.13 ± 5.78

Anyalebechi et al.; AJARR, 16(6): 13-20, 2022; Article no.AJARR.86315

S/N	Sample Code	<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>238</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th
20	IAEU SOIL 10	21.05±2.23	81.05±21.31	14.65 ± 1.80
21	IGU SOIL 01	60.10±4.55	BDL	7.72 ± 0.80
22	IGU SOIL 02	533.20±41.10	BDL	6.10 ± 0.60
23	IGU SOIL 03	256.70±19.60	8.20±1.90	9.70 ± 1.0
24	IGU SOIL 04	28.73±2.22	9.52±2.2	$3.50 \pm 0.34$
25	IGU SOIL 05	124.60±9.61	9.40±2.22	$5.0 \pm 0.50$
26	IGU SOIL 06	80.96±8.10	71.23 ± 19.12	15.91 ± 1.96
27	IGU SOIL 07	58.62±4.93	1.60 ± 0.37	19.60 ±2.03
28	IGU SOIL 08	96.30±10.10	68.98 ± 18.70	19.90 ± 2.42
29	IGU SOIL 09	53.70±5.54	51.11±13.50	7.70 ± 0.95
30	IGU SOIL 10	57.30±3.44	65.23±2.77	11.21±1.22
	Average	115.87±17.01	31.54 <u>+</u> 7.13	18.77 <u>+</u> 6.02
		400.00	30.00	35.00

Table 3. Transfer factor of soil to tuber

S/No	Sample	<sup>40</sup> K	<sup>234</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th
1	ALUU 01	0.00	0.00	3.00
2	ALUU 02	18.11	0.00	0.41
3	ALUU 03	8.59	0.00	6.31
4	ALUU 04	1.79	0.00	7.94
5	ALUU 05	24.78	0.00	2.86
6	ALUU 06	13.03	0.00	0.04
7	ALUU 07	2.55	0.00	0.00
8	ALUU 08	2.00	0.96	0.45
9	ALUU 09	32.0	0.00	0.77
10	ALUU 10	2.83	0.00	0.60
11	IAUE 01	11.42	0.95	0.13
12	IAUE 02	0.92	0.00	3.05
13	IAUE 03	0.72	0.00	4.43
14	IAUE 04	2.59	0.00	1.20
15	IAUE 05	13.33	0.00	6.20
16	IAUE 06	33.8	0.26	0.60
17	IAUE 07	2.16	0.00	1.85
18	IAUE 08	10.73	0.00	0.63
19	IAUE 09	1.48	0.24	0.95
20	IAUE 10	10.01	0.02	1.92
21	IGU 01	10.65	0.00	1.25
22	IGU 02	1.01	0.00	2.01
23	IGU 03	2.13	0.00	1.10
24	IGU 04	10.79	3.74	3.68
25	IGU 05	3.44	0.67	0.00
26	IGU 06	7.91	0.00	0.60
27	IGU 07	3.20	0.00	1.78
28	IGU 08	0.26	0.00	0.56
29	IGU 09	8.13	0.00	7.12
30	IGU 10	5.27	0.11	2.89
	Minimum	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Maximum	33.8	3.74	7.94
	Average	8.187667	0.231667	2.144333
	Sum	245.63	6.95	64.33

Anyalebechi et al.; AJARR, 16(6): 13-20, 2022; Article no.AJARR.86315



Fig. 2. Percentage contribution of radionuclide in transfer factor

From the definition of transfer factor, it is assumed that the plant concentration increases with increase soil concentration. The result in this work shows the opposite of this assumption. The activity concentration of <sup>40</sup>K in soil sample IGU Soil 04 is 28.73+2.22 with transfer factor of 1.018. The transfer factor result of this work buttresses the fact that transfer factors are not linearly related to soil concentration. Many factors affect the transfer factor such as physiochemical characteristics of radioisotopes and soil, plant species, soil pH and fertility, plant organic matter content and soil type, management practices. Comparing the result with available literatures, the result in this work is higher than the values obtained by [6]. This could be due to difference in soil properties indiscriminate dumping of different class/types of refuse around the farmland and climatic conditions of the area [3]. Fig. 1. shows the percentage contribution of radionuclide in transfer factor, where potassium was recorded 2% 78%. Uranium and Thorium 20% respectively.

## 4. CONCLUSION

The naturally radioactivity levels has been measured in cultivated soil and the most stable crop (cassava) from selected refuse-dump site in Obio/Akpor and Ikwerre Local Government Area of Rivers State in Nigeria. The activity concentration of transfer factor for <sup>40</sup>k, <sup>238</sup>U <sup>232</sup>Th estimated in the transfer factor are 8.187, 0.231 and 2.144 respectively. The mean concentration of all the radioisotopes in the crop may not cause instant health hazard to the public but there may be a long-term accumulative effect following present dose intake from the consumption of the crop (cassava).

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Acquah UF, Pooko-Aikins M. Foodstuffs and cancer: analysis of radionuclides and its radiation levels in common Ghanaian maize International. Journal of Science Basic Applied Resources: 2013;12:1-7.
- Avwiri GO, Aghalagba EA. Assessment of natural radioactivity associated radiological health hazard indices and soil to crop transfer factors in cultivated area around a fertilizer factory in Onne, Nigerian. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity. 2007;7(1): 7-12.
- United Nations Scientific Committee on the effects of atomic radiation (UNSCEAR). Sources and effects of ionizing radiation (report to the general

assembly). United Nation, New-York; 2000.

- Gaffer SMJ, Ferdous A, Begum SM (2014) Transfer of Natural Radionuclides from soil to plants in North western part of Dhaka Bangladesh Malaysian Journal of Soil Science. 2014;18:(61-74).
- Chen, SB, Zhu, YG, Hu, QH. Soil to plant transfer of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>226</sup>Ra on uranium mine slag-contaminated soils from southeast China. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity. 2005;82(2): 223-236.
- Ononugbo CP, Azikiwe O, Avwiri GO. Uptake and distribution of Natural Radionuclides in Cassava crops from Nigeria Government farms. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. 2019;23(5):1-5.
- Ononugbo CP, Anyalebechi, CD. Natural Radioactivity level and radiological risk assessment of surface water from Coastal communities of Ndokwa East, Delta State. Physical Science. International Journal. 2017;14(1):1-14.
- Avwiri GO, Agbalagba E. Assessment of Natural Radioactivity Associated Radiological Health Hazards Indices and Soil-to-Crop Transfer Factors in Cultivated Area around a Fertilizer Factory in Onne, Nigeria. Environmental Earth Science. 2014;71:1541-1549.

- 9. Idowu M. Physics in Radiation Application and Safety for National Technological Advancement. Nigeria Institute of Physics Conference, NIP; 2014.
- Avwiri GO, Aghalagba OE. 2007 Survey of gross alpha and gross beta radionuclide activity in Okpara creek, Delta state, Nigeria. Asia Network for science Information Journal of Applied Science. 2014;7(22):3542—3547.
- Pulhiani V, Dafauti S, Hegde A, Sharma R, Mishra U. Uptake and distribution of natural radioactivity in wheat plants from soil. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity. 2005;79(3):331-346.
- Eriksson J, Oborn I, Jansson G, Andersson A. Factors influencing Cdcontent in crops. Results from Swedish field investigations. Swedish Journal of Agricultural Resources. 1996;26:125-33.
- 13. Okei MC, Agwu KK. and Idigo FU. Natural Radioactivity in cultivated land in the vicinity of a phosphate fertilizer plant in Nigeria. Radiation Physics and Chemistry. 2012;81:1823-1826.
- 14. Shanthi G, Kurnaran JT T, Raj GAG, and Maniyan C. Transfer factor of the radionuclides in food crops from high background radiation area of south west India. Radiation Protection Dosimetry. 2012;149(3):327-332.

© 2022 Anyalebechi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86315