International Journal of Plant & Soil Science



34(23): 1771-1776, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.93889 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Effect of Rock Phosphate, Single Super Phosphate and Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria on Phosphorus Concentration and Dry Matter Yield of Paddy

Ningthoujam Babulu<sup>a\*</sup>, N. Surbala Devi<sup>a</sup>, Athokpam Herojit Singh<sup>a</sup>, K. Nandini Devi<sup>b</sup>, N. Gopimohan Singh<sup>c</sup> and Ralte Lalmalsawma<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur, India. <sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur, India. <sup>c</sup> Department of Basic Science, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232603

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93889

**Original Research Article** 

Received 05 October 2022 Accepted 08 December 2022 Published 14 December 2022

# ABSTRACT

The majority of tropical and subtropical soils are acidic which are mostly deficient in phosphorus and have strong phosphorus sorption capacity. Exploring different phosphorus inputs is essential not only to increase crop production but also to improve soil phosphorus status to avoid further soil degradation. Acidic nature of the soils of these regions help to solubilize the rock phosphate and increases the amount of phosphorus that is made available to the plants. A pot experiment was conducted in Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry of College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal (Manipur) during *kharif* season of 2021 to study the effect of rock phosphate, single super phosphate and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on phosphorus concentration and dry matter yield of paddy. To each experimental pot, recommended dose of 60 kg of N ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of urea and 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of muriate of potash were applied as

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: babulu.ningthoujam@gmail.com;

basal and thoroughly mixed with the soil. Rock phosphate and single super phosphate were administered to the pots as phosphorus sources according to different sets of treatment based on the recommended amount of 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> for paddy. Seeds were treated with *Bacillus megatherium*. Result revealed that the changes in soil phosphorus concentration and dry matter yield of paddy were significantly affected by rock phosphate applied either singly or in combination with single super phosphate and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria. All the parameters showed different trend of changes during the whole crop growth stages till harvest. Among the treatments higher values were recorded in soil treated with  $T_{10}$  (50% recommended dose of  $P_2O_5$  from single super phosphate + 50% recommended dose of  $P_2O_5$  from rock phosphate + phosphorus solubilizing bacteria enhances release of less soluble and fixed forms into easily available form as well as reduces phosphorus fixation. Efficiency of rock phosphate as phosphorus source for crop production is improved by the solubility effect of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria.

# Keywords: Dry matter; paddy; phosphorus solubilizing bacteria; rock phosphate; single super phosphate; total phosphorus.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

More people are directly fed by rice than any other crop, making it the most significant crop for human food production worldwide. India's national economy significantly depends on the production of rice. With a cultivated area of about 43 mha, India ranks second globally in terms of production, producing 22% of the world's rice. A staple food crop, rice thrives in hot, humid weather because it is a tropical plant. It can be grown under diverse soil and climatic conditions. Developing resourceful fertilizers and fertilization methods are imperative considerations for sustainable rice production [1].

Phosphorus is one of 17 nutrients essential for plant growth and is found in every living plant cell. It participates in a number of essential plant processes, including the transfer of energy, photosynthesis, the conversion of sugars and starches, the flow of nutrients within the plant, and the transmission of genetic traits from one generation to the next. Numerous different organic and inorganic substances containing phosphorus can be found in soil. Both organic as well as inorganic forms of phosphorus are found as compounds with aluminium (Al), iron (Fe), and calcium (Ca). These compounds affect the availability of phosphorus to plants. Acidic soils commonly have large reserves of 'fixed' P that could be mobilized through appropriate soil management involving organic matter additions and/or use of P solubilizing microbes. Organic resources, mineral P fertilizers, or phosphate rocks are available options for P inputs. Despite the fact that the overall amount of P in the soil may be high, P availability in the soil is frequently a limiting factor for plant growth. Solubility and

how guickly phosphorus fixes in soils determine its availability [2]. There is a significant amount of benefits in the use of rock phosphate (RP) due to the high expense of soluble phosphate fertilizer, such as single or triple super phosphate. Since rock phosphate releases phosphorus slowly, it frequently falls short of crop needs for phosphorus [3]. Actinomycetes, bacteria, and fungus are among of the microorganisms that are known to assist in the dissolution of fixed P. Many crops could use RP as a P source in conjunction with phosphate-solubilizing microbes and organic manure [4]. Inorganic P has been reported to be effectively solubilized in soils by phosphobacterins in general. Rice output in the nation may rise with proper soil management, which includes the use of effective phosphatic biofertilizers and inorganic sources of P. To improve the use efficiency of chemical P fertilizer by rice crops, integrated usage of chemical fertilizers and phosphatic biofertilizer may be a viable solution. The application of effective PSB strain as phosphatic biofertilizer for rice agriculture, however, is poorly documented.

The investigation was carried out with the abovementioned considerations in mind to study the effect of rock phosphate, single super phosphate and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on total phosphorus concentration and dry matter yield of paddy (variety CAU-R1) grown in soil of Manipur, India.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A pot experiment was conducted during the *Kharif* season of 2021 to investigate the effect of applied rock phosphate, single super phosphate and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on

phosphorus concentration and dry matter yield of paddy (variety CAU-R1). Composite soil samples (0-15 cm depth) were collected from the Research farm, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur, India following the standard process as described by Jackson [5]. The general characteristics of the experimental soil were: sand (28.20%), silt (22.50%), clay (49.30%), soil texture (clayey), pH (5.20), EC (0.31 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), CEC [14.92 cmol(p+) kg<sup>-1</sup>], organic carbon (1.65%), available N (263.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available  $P_2O_5$  (22.76 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available K<sub>2</sub>O (211.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1).

A total of 198 plastic pots were procured and each pot was filled with 5 kg of air-dried soil. To each experimental pot, recommended dose (RD) of 60 kilograms of N ha-1 in the form of urea and 30 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of muriate of potash were applied as basal and thoroughly mixed with the soil. Rock phosphate and SSP were administered to the pots as phosphorus sources according to different sets of treatment based on the recommended amount of 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> for paddy. Seeds were treated with PSB (Bacillus megatherium) collected from the department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, CAU, Imphal, Manipur, India). The inoculated seeds were dried under shade and four seeds per pot were sown immediately. After germination, a single healthy seedling was retained throughout the experiment. The soils of each treatment were kept at submergence during the entire experiment. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized block design replicated thrice. The treatments were: T<sub>1</sub>= Control, T<sub>2</sub>= 100% RD of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> from SSP, T<sub>3</sub>= 100% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from RP,  $T_4$ = 75% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from SSP + 25% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from RP,  $T_5=50\%$  RD of  $P_2O_5$ from SSP + 50% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from RP,  $T_6=25\%$ RD of  $P_2O_5$  from SSP + 75% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from

RP,  $T_7$ =100% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from SSP + PSB, T\_8=100% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from RP + PSB,  $T_9$ =75% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from SSP + 25% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from RP + PSB,  $T_{10}$ =50% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from SSP + 50% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from RP + PSB,  $T_{11}$ =25% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from SSP + 75% RD of  $P_2O_5$  from RP + PSB.

The plant samples were collected on  $25^{th}$ ,  $50^{th}$ ,  $75^{th}$  and  $100^{th}$  days after sowing (DAS) seeds and at harvest from the rhizosphere region by destructive sampling. Different soil parameters like soil texture (hydrometer method), pH (1:2.5 soil: water suspension using glass electrode systronic pH meter), EC (1:2.5 soil: water suspension using systronic direct reading conductivity meter), organic carbon [6], cation exchange capacity (leaching with 1N NH<sub>4</sub>OAc), available N (alkaline potassium permanganate), P [7] and K (flame photometrically by using extractant 1N NH<sub>4</sub>OAc) were estimated as described by Jackson [5].

Plant fresh weight, dry weight and dry matter yield were also recorded on 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 75<sup>th</sup> and 100<sup>th</sup> days after sowing seeds (DAS) and at harvest. Plant samples were digested in a di-acid mixture of nitric acid and perchloric acid in a 4:1 ratio, and the digested plant materials were examined using Vanadomolybdo phosphoric yellow colour technique described by Jackson [5].

The experiment was carried out under completely randomized design (CRD). For the purpose of comparing the effects of the treatments, the experiment's data were statistically analyzed using the analysis of variance technique. At a 5% level of probability, the importance of various impacts was evaluated [8].

Soil property	Results	Remarks
Soil texture:		
Sand (%)	28.20	Clayey Soil
Silt (%)	22.50	
Clay (%)	49.30	
pH (1:2.5 soil: water ratio)	5.20	Acidic
EC (1:2.5 soil: water ratio, dSm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.31	No deleterious effect on crops
CEC (cmol(p+) kg-1)	14.92	
Organic carbon (%)	1.65	High
Available nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	263.42	Medium
Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	22.76	Medium
Available potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	211.37	Medium

Table 1. General properties of the soil used in the experiment

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3.1 Total Phosphorus in Plant**

Data on variations in P concentration in paddy cu Itivated in soil treated with SSP, RP, and PSB ar e shown in Table 2. Regardless of the various treatments, the results showed that there was a growing tendency up to the 50<sup>th</sup> day and a declining trend up until the 100<sup>th</sup> day, with a Total-P minor increase at harvest [9]. accumulation is noticeably higher under all phosphorus application treatments compared to the control. Similar reports on higher P concentration in rice plants receiving P sources was also presented by White et al. [10] and Banerjee and Pramanik [11] and Vandamme et al. [12]. Molla et al. [13]; Gaur [14] and Adhikari

et al. [15] recorded that introduction of P solubilizing microorganisms in the rhizosphere of crop and soil increases the availability of P from insoluble sources of phosphates, desorption of fixed phosphates and also increases the efficiency of phosphorus fertilizers. Application of PSB significantly enhanced plant total P concentration comparing with the corresponding treatment without PSB at different stages of crop growth. Supportive reports were also given earlier by Costa et al. [16]. At all growth stages, beginning on the 50<sup>th</sup> day and continuing through harvest, soil fertilized with T<sub>10</sub> (50%SSP + 50%RP + PSB) exhibits a relatively larger accumulation of total P. At  $50^{th}$  day, among the PSB untreated soils  $T_4$  (75% SSP + 25% RP) show greater concentration which was at par with  $T_5$  (50% SSP + 50% RP).

rable z. Changes in total phosphorus in pauly plant (ing kg	Table 2.	Changes	in total	phos	phorus i	n padd	y plant	(mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
---	----------	---------	----------	------	----------	--------	---------	----------------------

Treatment	Sampling days					
	25DAS	50DAS	75DAS	100DAS	Harvest	
T <sub>1</sub>	514.30 <sup>i</sup>	675.60 <sup>i</sup>	537.00 <sup>e</sup>	201.67 <sup>g</sup>	259.33 <sup>g</sup>	
T <sub>2</sub>	566.47 <sup>e</sup>	709.53 <sup>h</sup>	641.60 <sup>e</sup>	256.50 <sup>e</sup>	283.40 <sup>f</sup>	
T <sub>3</sub>	555.83 <sup>f</sup>	728.63 <sup>fg</sup>	667.53 <sup>c</sup>	252.63 <sup>e</sup>	315.57 <sup>e</sup>	
$T_4$	540.57 <sup>c</sup>	742.70 <sup>d</sup>	655.23 <sup>d</sup>	244.03 <sup>f</sup>	327.40 <sup>d</sup>	
T <sub>5</sub>	575.07 <sup>d</sup>	737.33 <sup>de</sup>	666.70 <sup>c</sup>	264.50 <sup>d</sup>	349.63 <sup>c</sup>	
T <sub>6</sub>	613.77 <sup>c</sup>	741.10 <sup>d</sup>	675.60 <sup>b</sup>	252.97 <sup>e</sup>	332.72 <sup>d</sup>	
T <sub>7</sub>	532.80 <sup>h</sup>	723.93 <sup>9</sup>	667.37 <sup>c</sup>	253.57 <sup>e</sup>	357.62 <sup>c</sup>	
T <sub>8</sub>	636.70 <sup>ab</sup>	732.53 <sup>ef</sup>	682.80 <sup>ab</sup>	275.53 <sup>c</sup>	354.33 <sup>°</sup>	
T <sub>9</sub>	640.13 <sup>a</sup>	764.13 <sup>b</sup>	678.17 <sup>b</sup>	283.47 <sup>b</sup>	415.40 <sup>b</sup>	
T <sub>10</sub>	641.81 <sup>a</sup>	777.77 <sup>a</sup>	685.47 <sup>a</sup>	311.47 <sup>a</sup>	440.07 <sup>a</sup>	
T <sub>11</sub>	630.63 <sup>b</sup>	752.23 <sup>c</sup>	680.60 <sup>ab</sup>	284.83 <sup>b</sup>	430.92 <sup>a</sup>	
S.E.d(±)	3.41	3.69	3.62	2.67	4.42	
CD <sub>0.05</sub>	7.07	7.65	7.50	5.55	9.16	

Table 3. Changes in dry matter yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) content in paddy plant

Treatments	Sampling days						
	25 DAS	<b>50 DAS</b>	75 DAS	100 DAS	Harvest		
T <sub>1</sub>	2.44 <sup>d</sup>	4.37 <sup>d</sup>	8.63 <sup>9</sup>	21.68 <sup>9</sup>	27.68 <sup>j</sup>		
T <sub>2</sub>	2.58 <sup>d</sup>	5.64 <sup>c</sup>	10.59 <sup>f</sup>	23.51 <sup>e</sup>	31.79 <sup>i</sup>		
T <sub>3</sub>	3.44 <sup>bc</sup>	5.47 <sup>c</sup>	10.37 <sup>f</sup>	22.53 <sup>f</sup>	32.95 <sup>h</sup>		
$T_4$	3.34 <sup>°</sup>	6.67 <sup>b</sup>	11.28 <sup>e</sup>	23.75 <sup>°</sup>	34.12 <sup>9</sup>		
T <sub>5</sub>	3.46 <sup>bc</sup>	5.69 <sup>c</sup>	11.27 <sup>e</sup>	24.60 <sup>d</sup>	34.86 <sup>f</sup>		
$T_6$	3.47 <sup>bc</sup>	6.63 <sup>b</sup>	11.50 <sup>de</sup>	24.62 <sup>d</sup>	36.58 <sup>e</sup>		
T <sub>7</sub>	3.52 <sup>bc</sup>	6.55 <sup>b</sup>	11.92 <sup>cd</sup>	24.75 <sup>d</sup>	40.80 <sup>d</sup>		
T <sub>8</sub>	3.66 <sup>ab</sup>	7.54 <sup>a</sup>	12.16 <sup>bc</sup>	25.09 <sup>c</sup>	42.32 <sup>b</sup>		
Т <sub>9</sub>	3.52 <sup>bc</sup>	7.66 <sup>a</sup>	12.30 <sup>abc</sup>	25.56 <sup>b</sup>	41.54 <sup>°</sup>		
T <sub>10</sub>	3.87 <sup>a</sup>	7.56 <sup>a</sup>	12.72 <sup>a</sup>	26.65 <sup>ª</sup>	43.33 <sup>a</sup>		
T <sub>11</sub>	3.54 <sup>bc</sup>	7.46 <sup>a</sup>	12.46 <sup>ab</sup>	25.56 <sup>b</sup>	42.70 <sup>b</sup>		
S.E.d(±)	0.13	0.12	0.25	0.14	0.22		
CD(p=0.05)	0.25	0.25	0.51	0.29	0.46		

# 3.2 Dry Matter Yield

The information on the dry matter yield of paddy cultivated in soil treated with SSP and RP in the presence or absence of PSB is shown in Table 3. According to the findings, there was a rising trend in the dry matter of paddy up until harvest regardless of the various treatments. Delin and Zhu [9] also observed that the increase in rice dry matter correlated with crop growth. All phosphorus-treated soil showed significantly higher dry matter yields of paddy at various stages of crop growth as compared to the untreated control. This is consistent with the findings of Muraoka et al. [17]; Poleshi et al. [18] and Baneriee and Pramanik [11]. Compared to the other treatments, the soil that received  $T_{q}$ (75% SSP + 25% RP + PSB) application had considerably more dry matter on 50<sup>th</sup> day followed by  $T_{10}$  (50%SSP + 50%RP + PSB). Moreover, subsequent data analysis showed that paddy grown in  $T_{10}$  (50%SSP + 50%RP + PSB) treated soil had greater dry matter build-up on  $100^{\text{th}}$  day and at harvest which is analogous to T<sub>9</sub> (75% SSP + 25% RP + PSB) and T<sub>11</sub> (25% SSP + 75% RP + PSB), respectively. Results also showed that paddy planted in  $T_2$  (100% SSP) treated soil had significantly higher dry matter yields than paddy cultivated in  $T_3$  (100% RP) treated soil. Increased dry matter production was a result of rock phosphate improving agronomic performance [19]. Furthermore, it was shown that starting on the 50<sup>th</sup> day until harvest, applying PSB considerably enhanced the dry matter yield of paddy compared to the similar phosphorustreated soil without PSB. The sustained availability of P may have contributed to the proliferation of root development, which improved nutrient uptake and biomass accumulation. This could account for the enhanced output under rock phosphate and PSB application [20-22,16].

# 4. CONCLUSION

The application of a single superphosphate, rock phosphate, and phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria greatly altered the phosphorus concentration and dry matter yield of paddy variety CAU-R1. Higher values are observed in soil treated with  $T_{10}$  (50% SSP + 50% RP + PSB). The application of single superphosphate and phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria together increases the agronomic effectiveness of rock phosphate. At all phases of the plant's growth, rock phosphate and SSP-fertilized soil significantly outperforms the control in terms of dry matter production and total P concentration.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Slaton NA, Norman RJ, Roberts TL, DeLong RE, Massey C, Clark S, Branson J. Evaluation of new fertilizers and different methods of application for rice production. BR Wells Rice Research Series-Arkansas Agricultural Experiment Station University of Arkansas. 2011;591:266-277.
- 2. Manimaran M. Dynamics of phosphorus in soil under the influence of inorganic phosphorus supply. The International Journal of Information Research and Review. 2014;13(1):179-80.
- 3. Bhattacharyya NG, Bhupal S. Transformation of applied phosphate and its availability in acid soils. Two and a Bud. 1990;37(1):24-30.
- Wahid F, Sharif M, Khan MA, Ali A, Khattak AM, Saljoqi AR. Addition of rock phosphate to different organic fertilizers influences phosphorus uptake and wheat yield. Ciência e Técnica. 2015;30:91-100.
- 5. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India. 1973;498-151.
- 6. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 1934;37(1):29-38.
- Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. Soil science. 1945;59(1):39-46.
- 8. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and Sons;1984.
- 9. Delin L, Zhaomin Z. Effect of available phosphorus in paddy soils on phosphorus uptake of rice. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry. 1996;205(2):235-243.
- White PF, Nesbitt HJ, Ros C, Seng V, Lor B. Local rock phosphate deposits are a good source of phosphorus fertilizer for rice production in Cambodia. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 1999;45(1):51-63.
- 11. Banerjee K, Pramanik BR. Effect of different doses and sources of phosphorus and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on the growth and yield of kharif rice. Research on Crops. 2009;10(3):489-491.

- 12. Vandamme E, Wissuwa M, Rose T, Ahouanton K, Saito K. Strategic phosphorus (P) application to the nursery bed increases seedling growth and yield of transplanted rice at low P supply. Field Crops Research. 2016;186:10-17.
- Molla MA, Chowdhury AA, Islam A, Hoque S. Microbial mineralization of organic phosphate in soil. Plant and Soil. 1984;78(3):393-399.
- Gaur AC. Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and organic matter in soil productivity. National Academic Sciences. 1990;259-268.
- 15. Adhikari, Tapan, Kundu, Samaresh, Subba Rao, Anangi. Microbial solubilization of phosphorus from nano rock phosphate. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. 2014;4(1):468-474.
- da Costa EM, de Lima W, Oliveira-Longatti SM, de Souza FM. Phosphate-solubilising bacteria enhance *Oryza sativa* growth and nutrient accumulation in an oxisol fertilized with rock phosphate. Ecological Engineering. 2015;83:380-385.
- 17. Muraoka T, Boaretto AE, Scivittaro WB, Brasil EC. Plant-availability and fate of P from applied phosphatic fertilizers in two latosols. International Nuclear Information System (INIS). 2002;33(20):132-142.
- 18. Poleshi CM, Hebsur NS, Bharamagoudar TD, Pradeep HM. Response of groundnut

and paddy to rock phosphate at varying levels of base saturation. Journal of Ecotoxicology and Environmental Monitoring. 2008;18(4):347-350.

- 19. Ikerra TW, Mnkeni PN, Singh BR. Effects of added compost and farmyard manure on P release from Minjingu phosphate rock and its uptake by maize. Norwegian Journal of Agricultural Sciences (Norway). 1994;8:13-23.
- Egamberdiyeva 20. D, Juraeva D. Poberejskava S, Myachina O, Teryuhova P, Seydalieva L, Aliev A. Improvement of wheat and cotton growth and nutrient uptake by phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Proceeding of 26th Annual In: Tillage Conference Conservation for Sustainable Agriculture, Auburn. 2004;58-65
- Saleem MM, Arshad M, Yaseen M. Effectiveness of various approaches to use rock phosphate as a potential source of plant available P for sustainable wheat production. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2013;15(2):223-230.
- 22. Yu X, Liu X, Zhu TH. Walnut growth and soil quality after inoculating soil containing rock phosphate with phosphate-solubilizing bacteria. Science Asia. 2014;40(1):21-27.

© 2022 Babulu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93889